



Healthpeak Properties, Inc.

GRESB Real Estate Assessment 2021

DATE: 1 Jul 2021 11:21:00pm Thu UTC

SUBMITTED: 1 Jul 2021 11:20:24pm Thu UTC

Table of Contents

ASPECT CHECKLIST	
Aspect Checklist	4
ENTITY AND REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS	
Entity Characteristics	5
Reporting Characteristics	5
LEADERSHIP	
ESG Commitments and Objectives	7
ESG Decision Making	8
POLICIES	
ESG Policies	12
REPORTING	
ESG Disclosure	15
ESG Incident Monitoring	16
RISK MANAGEMENT	
Risk Management	17
Risk Assessments	17
Climate-related Risk Management	19
STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT	
Employees	24
Suppliers	28
REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS	
Reporting Characteristics	31
RISK ASSESSMENT	
Risk Assessments	32
Efficiency Measures	34
TARGETS	
Targets	36
TENANTS AND COMMUNITY	
Tenants/Occupiers	37
Community	41
ENERGY	
Energy Consumption	43
GHG	
GHG Emissions	50
WATER	
Water Use	55
WASTE	
Waste Management	60
DATA MONITORING AND REVIEW	
Review, verification and assurance of ESG data	65
BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS	
Building Certifications	67
DEV - REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS	
Reporting Characteristics	70
DEV - ESG REQUIREMENTS	
ESG Requirements	71
DEV - MATERIALS	
Materials	74

DEV - BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS	
Building Certifications.....	75
DEV - ENERGY CONSUMPTION	
Energy.....	77
DEV - WATER USE	
Water Conservation.....	79
DEV - WASTE MANAGEMENT	
Waste Management.....	80
DEV - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT	
Health, Safety & Well-being.....	81
Supply Chain.....	82
Community Impact and Engagement.....	83
NAREIT LEADER IN THE LIGHT	
Nareit Leader in the Light.....	85

ASPECT CHECKLIST

There are no errors or warnings for this assessment! Click "Contents" to resume the assessment.

ENTITY AND REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Entity Characteristics

EC2

Nature of ownership

- Public (listed on a Stock Exchange) entity

Specify ISIN:

US40414L1098

Legal status:

- Property company
- Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT)
- Private (non-listed) entity
- Government entity

EC3

Entity commencement date

Year of commencement (listed) or Year of establishment (non-listed)

1985

EC4

Reporting year

- Calendar year
- Fiscal year

ENTITY AND REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Reporting Characteristics

RC1

Reporting currency

Values are reported in:

USD United States Dollar

RC2

Economic size

What was the gross asset value (GAV) of the portfolio at the end of the reporting year in millions?

21,064.638

RC3

Floor area metrics

Metrics are reported in:

- m2
- sq. ft.

RC4

Property type and Geography

Portfolio predominant location (*):

Portfolio predominant property type (**):

RC5

✔ Pre-filled from 2020

Nature of entity's business

The entity's core business:

- Management of standing investments only (continue with Management and Performance Components)
- Management of standing investments and development of new construction and major renovation projects (continue with Management, Performance, and Development Components)
- Development of new construction and major renovation projects (continue with Management and Development Components)

LEADERSHIP

ESG Commitments and Objectives

LE1

✔ Pre-filled from 2020

ESG leadership commitments

Has the entity made a public commitment to ESG leadership standards and/or principles?

 Yes

Select all commitments included (multiple answers possible)

- Climate Action 100+
- Global Investor Coalition on Climate Change (including AIGCC, Ceres, IGCC, IIGCC)
- International Labour Organization (ILO) Standards
- Montreal Pledge
- OECD - Guidelines for multinational enterprises
- PRI signatory
- RE 100
- Science Based Targets initiative
- Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
- UN Environment Programme Finance Initiative
- UN Global Compact
- UN Sustainable Development Goals
- WorldGBC's Net Zero Carbon Buildings Commitment
- Other

Other selected. Please describe

SASB (Sustainability Accounting Standards Board); GRI

Provide applicable hyperlink

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/><https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please see our 2020 ESG Report, which reference our disclosure according to:

- U.N. Sustainable Development Goals - pp. 13-14
- TCFD - p. 12
- Science-Based Targets - p. 18
- International Labour Standards - p. 23
- SASB - p. 51
- GRI - pp. 40-50

 No

LE2

✔ Max. score 1

ESG objectives

Does the entity have specific ESG objectives?

 Yes

The objectives relate to (multiple answers possible)

- General sustainability
- Environment
- Social
- Governance
- Health and well-being

The objectives are

- Fully integrated into the overall business strategy
- Partially integrated into the overall business strategy
- Not integrated into the overall business strategy

The objectives are

Publicly available

Provide applicable hyperlink

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please see entire webpage, as well as entire 2020 ESG Report found here - <https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/historical-reports/>
 - TCFD Risks & opportunities - p. 12
 - U.N. Sustainable Development Goals linked to business activities - pp. 13-14
 - Environmental goals - pp. 18-19
 - Social goals - p. 22
 - Governance goals - p. 30

Not publicly available

Communicate the objectives and explain how they are integrated into the overall business strategy (maximum 250 words)

As outlined in our 2020 ESG Report (page 15), our sustainability and ESG objectives are determined through an annual materiality assessment to identify and prioritize our material topics and objectives for each ESG area. Our Environmental Objectives (pp. 18-19) comprise quantifiable priorities and goals including annual 1-2% (short-term) reduction targets for energy, GHG, water, waste, and recycling, as well as a 15% reduction rate for each by the end of 2020 (long-term) from our 2011 baseline. We also adopted new long-term science-based targets aligned with 2 degree Celsius scenario planning (37.5% reduction for Scope 1 and 2, and 18.5% reduction for Scope 3, by 2033 against our 2018 baseline). Our Social Objectives (pp. 22) include our commitment to diversity, inclusion and equal opportunity, talent attraction, engagement and retention, and community and partner engagement. Our Governance Objectives (pp. 30) focus on adhering to best governance practices, ethics and compliance and disclosure transparency and engagement. Our Social Responsibility Committee's mission is to support the advancement of healthcare and disaster relief through charitable activities relating to healthcare research, education, and other activities. Our ESG objectives are fully integrated into our overall business strategy, and we identify risks and the business-related impacts as well as the sustainability-related impacts (pp. 12). By increasing environmental efficiency at our properties, we not only reduce long-term operating costs, but are able to retain and attract green-minded tenants, which directly aligns with our overall business strategy for long-term investment/management of our portfolio to maximize the benefit to our stakeholders.

No

LEADERSHIP

ESG Decision Making

LE3

Max. score 2

Individual responsible for ESG and/or climate-related objectives

Does the entity have one or more persons responsible for implementing ESG and/or climate-related objectives?

Yes

ESG

Select the persons responsible (multiple answers possible)

Dedicated employee(s) for whom ESG is the core responsibility

Provide the details for the most senior of these employees

Name

Carol Samaan

Job title

Vice President - Corporate Counsel and ESG

Employee(s) for whom ESG is among their responsibilities

Provide the details for the most senior of these employees

Name

Tom Klaritch

Job title

Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer

- External consultants/manager
- Investment partners (co-investors/JV partners)

Climate-related risks and opportunities

Select the persons responsible (multiple answers possible)

- Dedicated employee(s) for whom climate-related issues are core responsibilities
- Employee(s) for whom climate-related issues are among their responsibilities

Provide the details for the most senior of these employees

Name

Jack Garrett

Job title

Vice President - Capital Asset Management, MOB

- External consultants/manager
- Investment partners (co-investors/JV partners)

No

LE4

Max. score 1

ESG taskforce/committee

Does the entity have an ESG taskforce or committee?

Yes

Select the members of this taskforce or committee (multiple answers possible)

- Board of Directors
- C-suite level staff
- Investment Committee
- Fund/portfolio managers
- Asset managers
- ESG portfolio manager
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated staff on ESG issues
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
- Other

Other selected. Please describe

Human Resources, Legal, Finance

No

LE5

Max. score 1

ESG and/or climate-related senior decision-maker

Does the entity have a senior decision-maker accountable for ESG issues and/or climate-related issues?

 Yes ESG

Provide the details for the most senior decision-maker on ESG issues

Name

Tom Herzog

Job title

Director and CEO

The individual's most senior role is as part of

- Board of Directors
 C-suite level staff
 Investment Committee
 Fund/portfolio managers
 Other

 Climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe the process of informing the most senior decision-maker on the ESG performance of the entity (maximum 250 words)

The process to inform our most senior-level decision makers, the Board of Directors, as well as Thomas Herzog, CEO, of ESG performance and efforts include the following:

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

- Board Oversight - The Governance Committee of the Board of Directors (the senior most decision-making authority) revised its charter to codify its oversight of ESG matters. Also, ESG is a standing quarterly agenda item for Board meetings, and ESG updates are provided to the Board every quarter regarding initiatives, performance against goals, disclosure, strategy, risks and opportunities.
- Management Meetings - Our CEO conducts regular meetings with senior executives and the business leaders of each of our healthcare segments. Each business leader reports on segment's ESG initiatives and performance against our short-, medium- and long-term ESG objectives and other practices that have occurred since the previous meeting.
- ESG Committee Meetings - The ESG Committee, comprised of management across several functions, meets quarterly to discuss updates on strategy and implementation of our ESG objectives, actively reviews opportunities, and identifies risks and mitigating options in conveying directives to our CEO and our Board. Thomas Klaritch, the Chief Operating Officer, is the chairperson of the ESG Committee, and Troy McHenry, the Chief Legal Officer and General Counsel, is Vice Chair. Both executives report on Committee progress during monthly executive meetings and quarterly Board of Directors meetings. Please also see pp. 32-33 of our 2020 ESG Report describing ESG governance and oversight.

 No

LE6

Max. score 2

Personnel ESG performance targets

Does the entity include ESG factors in the annual performance targets of personnel?

 Yes

Does performance on these targets have predetermined consequences?

 Yes Financial consequences

Select the personnel to whom these factors apply (multiple answers possible):

- Board of Directors
 C-suite level staff
 Investment Committee
 Fund/portfolio managers
 Asset managers
 ESG portfolio manager
 Investment analysts
 Dedicated staff on ESG issues
 External managers or service providers

- Investor relations
- Other

Non-financial consequences

Select the personnel to whom these factors apply (multiple answers possible):

- Board of Directors
- C-suite level staff
- Investment Committee
- Fund/portfolio managers
- Asset managers
- ESG portfolio manager
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated staff on ESG issues
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
- Other

No

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/765880/000120677421000749/peak3837521-def14a.htm>

<https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/765880/000120677421000749/peak3837521-def14a.htm>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Financial Consequences:

2020 Proxy Statement:

- p. 45-46: The 2020 annual executive cash bonus (Short-Term Incentive Plan, or STIP) for Our Chief Operating Officer and Chief Legal Officer was determined, in part, based on their leadership for ESG matters.
- For our Chief Operating Officer: "Led our internationally recognized ESG efforts, including emissions and energy efficiency projects and implementation of long-term science-based targets aligned with well below 2°C scenario planning (see "Proxy Summary—ESG Highlights")"
- For our Chief Legal Officer: "Enhanced ESG reporting efforts to align with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) and Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) frameworks"
- For all executives: "The Compensation Committee determined to award Messrs. Herzog, Brinker, Scott, Klaritch and McHenry the maximum (High) level (150%) for the individual performance portion of the 2020 STIP award. In making award determinations, the Compensation Committee took into consideration each NEO's individual contributions to...Enhance overall ESG performance and accomplishments"
- p. 50: "After reviewing the business strategy for 2021, as well as the potential ongoing impact of the pandemic and various dispositions, the Compensation Committee approved the following changes with respect to our executive compensation structure for 2021... We introduced a new ESG category (with quantitative and qualitative scoring factors) with 15% weighting of the overall 2021 STIP to underscore the importance of ESG to our strategy and business"

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Financial Consequences:

2020 ESG Report:

- p. 10: For 2021 - "Added an ESG metric in the executive 2021 Short-Term Incentive Plan"
- p. 33: "ESG performance factors into financial compensation for members of management responsible for our ESG initiatives, including our Chief Operating Officer, Chief Legal Officer and Chief Human Resources Officer, as well as members of the ESG Committee, such as our Vice President - Corporate Counsel and ESG, and Vice President - Capital Asset Management, Medical Office"

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

[Redacted]

Show investors

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

[Redacted]

Show investors

No

POLICIES

ESG Policies

P01

Max. score 1.5

Policy on environmental issues

Does the entity have a policy/policies on environmental issues?

 Yes

Select all environmental issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Climate/climate change adaptation
- Energy consumption
- Greenhouse gas emissions
- Indoor environmental quality
- Material sourcing
- Pollution prevention
- Renewable energy
- Resilience to catastrophe/disaster
- Sustainable procurement
- Waste management
- Water consumption
- Other

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See attached GRESB Evidence Cover Sheet

 Show investors No

P02

Max. score 1.5 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Policy on social issues

Does the entity have a policy/policies on social issues?

 Yes

Select all social issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Child labor
- Community development
- Customer satisfaction
- Employee engagement
- Employee health & well-being
- Employee remuneration
- Forced or compulsory labor
- Freedom of association
- Health and safety: community
- Health and safety: contractors
- Health and safety: employees
- Health and safety: tenants/customers
- Human rights
- Inclusion and diversity
- Labor standards and working conditions
- Social enterprise partnering
- Stakeholder relations
- Other

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/social/>

https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/social/

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Entire page (which was applicable during the reporting year), and specifically, the Diversity and Human Rights Policy:

- Child Labor: "We comply with all applicable laws concerning employment and individuals' rights where we operate." In the United States, child labor is specifically prohibited by law.
- Community Development: "We aim to support local community initiatives in the areas where our employees work and live by partnering with and donating to local organizations. Our employees are dedicated to engaging in fundraising and volunteering opportunities to support healthcare and, especially, seniors.

Healthpeak partners with organizations that share its desire to support research, education, and other activities related to healthcare. We also aim to support local community initiatives in the areas where our employees work and live by partnering with and donating to local organizations."

- Customer Satisfaction: "Tenant Satisfaction Rate -Our overall MOB tenant engagement rate is 89%, reflecting an increase of 3% from 2018."
- Employee Engagement: "Employee Satisfaction Rate -Our overall employee satisfaction rate has increased for the fourth consecutive year."
- Forced or compulsory labor: "We are committed to the use of voluntary labor and promote fair wages. We prohibit support for any form of human trafficking or involuntary labor in our business operations or supply chain."
- Human Rights: "Diversity and Human Rights" policy at bottom of page
- Inclusion and Diversity: "Our People" section
- Labor Standards: "Our commitment to an inclusive, safe and ethical workplace is incorporated within the provisions of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics , our Vendor Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, as well as our human resources policies."
- Social Enterprise Partnering: "Partners and Community Engagement" section at bottom of page

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/2021/02/Code-of-Business-Conduct-and-Ethics-02.04.21-2.pdf>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/2021/02/Code-of-Business-Conduct-and-Ethics-02.04.21-2.pdf>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See also entire Code of Business Conduct and Ethics linked; please note that the Code was revised in January 2021 for immaterial changes and this Code was substantially applicable for the 2020 reporting year

- Freedom of Association: p. 13 "The Company pursues fair employment practices in every aspect of its business and respects employees' right to freedom of association and collective bargaining in all geographies and complies with obligations to satisfy all local labor laws and regulations."
- Labor Conditions & Safety: "Each employee and director has an obligation to comply with all laws, rules and regulations applicable to the Company. These include, without limitation, laws covering... occupational health and safety." We strive to adhere to all labor and safety laws and regulations in the U.S.

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See 2020 ESG Report, which outlines policies applicable during the 2020 reporting year:

- Customer Satisfaction: p. 27, 2020 tenant engagement and satisfaction survey and rates
- Employee Engagement: pp. 24: Employee engagement survey, satisfaction and engagement rates
- Employee Health & Well-being: pp. 25-26
- Employee Remuneration: p. 25 (Compensation & Benefits)
- Health & Safety: Community: pp. 6, 26 (showing health & safety initiatives for community, including COVID-19 community relief efforts)
- Health & Safety: Employees: pp. 6, 26
- Health & Safety: Tenants & Customers: pp. 6, 26
- Inclusion & Diversity: p. 23
- Labor Standards & Working Conditions: p. 26
- Stakeholder Relations: p. 15 (Stakeholder Engagement)

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/2021/02/Vendor-Code-of-Business-Conduct-and-Ethics-01.30.20.pdf>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/2021/02/Vendor-Code-of-Business-Conduct-and-Ethics-01.30.20.pdf>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See entire Vendor Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which is applicable to all vendors and business partners during the reporting year, including contractors. including:

- Labor Standards and Working Conditions: p. 3 ("Labor Practices and Human Rights")
- Health and Safety: Contractors: pp. 5-6 ("Health and Safety")

 No

P03

 Max. score 1.5 Pre-filled from 2020

Policy on governance issues

Does the entity have a policy/policies on governance issues?

 Yes

Select all governance issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Bribery and corruption
- Cybersecurity
- Data protection and privacy
- Executive compensation
- Fiduciary duty

- Fraud
- Political contributions
- Shareholder rights
- Other

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See GRESB Evidence Cover Page. All documents referenced herein were applicable during the 2020 reporting year, and any updates made in 2021 were not applicable or immaterial to the issues addressed in this question (for example, the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics was revised in February 2021, but the changes were minor and the Code was substantially applicable for the 2020 reporting year).

Show investors

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/governance/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/governance/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Entire page and 2020 ESG Report

No

REPORTING

ESG Disclosure

RP1

Max. score 3.5

ESG reporting

Does the entity disclose its ESG actions and/or performance?

Yes

Please select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- Section in Annual Report
 Stand-alone sustainability report(s)

Select the applicable reporting level

- Entity
 Investment manager
 Group

Aligned with

Disclosure is third-party reviewed:

- Yes
 Externally checked
 Externally verified
 Externally assured

using

No

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See 2020 ESG Report

Page 52 - Provides the assurance/verification statement from our third-party assurer, Cventure. See specifically the conclusion, which provides: "We have found that Healthpeak has adhered to the AA1000AS principles of materiality and responsiveness, and that their sustainability performance information is complete and accurate."

Environmental indicators were reviewed "parameters in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, "Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline", a GRESB- and CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, characteristic data, and reporting boundaries."

Social indicators were reviewed "in accordance with AccountAbility's AA1000 AS (2008) standard, Type 2 engagement (Principles and Sustainability Performance Information), including including quantitative social performance indicators, disclosures, and management approach"

- Integrated Report
 Dedicated section on corporate website

Select the applicable reporting level

- Entity
 Investment manager
 Group

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/ESG>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See whole page and associated links on page

Provide hyperlink

<http://esg.healthpeak.com>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See whole page and associated links on page

- Section in entity reporting to investors
- Other

No

REPORTING

ESG Incident Monitoring

RP2.1

ESG incident monitoring

Does the entity have a process to monitor ESG-related controversies, misconduct, penalties, incidents, accidents, or breaches against the codes of conduct/ethics?

Yes

The process includes external communication of controversies, misconduct, penalties, incidents or accidents to:

- Clients/Customers
- Community/Public
- Contractors
- Employees
- Investors/Shareholders
- Regulators/Government
- Special interest groups (NGOs, Trade Unions, etc)
- Suppliers
- Other stakeholders

Describe the process (maximum 250 words)

Our whistleblower hotline mechanism is available to our directors, employees, vendors, contractors and other stakeholders to report ESG-related misconduct, penalties, incidents or accidents. The whistleblower hotline is made publicly available on our website and internally on company intranet. Any complaints go to our Chief Legal Officer, Chief Human Resources Officer and the head of Internal Audit. If the report is non-frivolous and/or involves a member of our Board or an executive officer, it will also go to the Chairman of the Audit Committee of our Board. The matter would be investigated by our Legal and Internal Audit teams, and a report would be provided to the Audit Committee of the Board, which would work with management to implement any mitigation/corrective steps or further communicate the incident to relevant authorities and stakeholders. If necessary, an internal working group would be formed to mitigate/correct the incident and make recommendations to prevent future similar incidents, including forming policy and protocols, which would be communicated to relevant stakeholders, such as tenants, vendors or employees. Any material violations will be publicly disclosed on a Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the U.S. Securities Exchange Commission, as well as published on our website.

No

RP2.2

ESG incident occurrences

Has the entity been involved in any ESG-related breaches that resulted in fines or penalties during the reporting year?

Yes

No

RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk Management

RM1

Max. score 2 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Environmental Management System (EMS)

Does the entity have an Environmental Management System (EMS)?

- Yes
- The EMS is aligned with a standard
 - ISO 14001
 - EMAS (EU Eco-Management and Audit Scheme)
 - Other standard
 - The EMS is externally certified by an independent third party using
 - The EMS is not aligned with a standard nor certified externally

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Please see entire document [link redacted].

 Show investors

- No

RM2

Max. score 0.5 | Score 2020: 0.5/0.5 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Process to implement governance policies

Does the entity have processes to implement governance policy/policies?

- Yes
- Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)
- Compliance linked to employee remuneration
 - Dedicated help desks, focal points, ombudsman, hotlines
 - Disciplinary actions in case of breach, i.e. warning, dismissal, zero tolerance policy
 - Employee performance appraisal systems integrate compliance with codes of conduct
 - Investment due diligence process
 - Responsibilities, accountabilities and reporting lines are systematically defined in all divisions and group companies
 - Training related to governance risks for employees (multiple answers possible)
 - Regular follow-ups
 - When an employee joins the organization
 - Whistle-blower mechanism
 - Other
- No
- Not applicable

RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk Assessments

RM3.1

Max. score 0.5 | Score 2020: 0.5/0.5 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Social risk assessments

Has the entity performed social risk assessments within the last three years?

- Yes
- Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)
- Child labor
 - Community development

- Controversies linked to social enterprise partnering
- Customer satisfaction
- Employee engagement
- Employee health & well-being
- Forced or compulsory labor
- Freedom of association
- Health and safety: community
- Health and safety: contractors
- Health and safety: employees
- Health and safety: tenants/customers
- Health and safety: supply chain (beyond tier 1 suppliers and contractors)
- Human rights
- Inclusion and diversity
- Labor standards and working conditions
- Stakeholder relations
- Other

No

RM3.2

Max. score 0.5 | Score 2020: 0.5/0.5 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Governance risk assessments

Has the entity performed governance risk assessments within the last three years?

Yes

Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Bribery and corruption
- Cybersecurity
- Data protection and privacy
- Executive compensation
- Fiduciary duty
- Fraud
- Political contributions
- Shareholder rights
- Other

No

RM4

Max. score 1.5

ESG due diligence for new acquisitions

Does the entity perform asset-level environmental and/or social risk assessments as a standard part of its due diligence process for new acquisitions?

Yes

Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Building safety
- Climate/Climate change adaptation
- Compliance with regulatory requirements
- Contaminated land
- Energy efficiency
- Energy supply
- Flooding
- GHG emissions
- Health and well-being
- Indoor environmental quality
- Natural hazards
- Socio-economic
- Transportation
- Waste management
- Water efficiency
- Water supply
- Other

- No
 Not applicable

RISK MANAGEMENT

Climate-related Risk Management

RM5

Resilience of strategy to climate-related risks

Does the entity's strategy incorporate resilience to climate-related risks?

- Yes

Describe the resilience of the organization's strategy

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee ("Governance Committee") of the Board has oversight of ESG issues, including resilience-related issues, and is supported by a cross-functional management-level ESG committee that meets regularly to discuss initiatives, track progress and report back to the Governance Committee. Our Capital Asset Management team conducts regular site inspections of properties within our boundary to assess short-term and long-term risks and opportunities and report findings to senior management to develop mitigation strategy, policy and protocols. We also undertook a portfolio-wide physical climate-risk assessment in 2020, as detailed on page 17 of our 2020 ESG Report (previously linked for GRESB), in partnership with Four Twenty Seven, an independent third-party provider, which initializes their baseline using the period of 1975-2005 as a historical benchmark, and project future states in 2030-2040 under the "Business as Usual" scenario (RCP 8.5 concentration pathway). Updates on resilience-related information are communicated to the SVP of Risk Management, who is primarily responsible for assessing risk and creating and executing the strategy for the management of that risk. We also adopted long-term science-based GHG reduction emission targets aligned with well below 2 degree Celsius scenario planning, which were validated by SBTi to reduce Scope 1 & 2 emissions by 37.5% by 2033 (against a 2018 baseline) and Scope 3 by 18.5% (against a 2018 baseline).

Does the process of evaluating the resilience of the entity's strategy involve the use of scenario analysis?

- Yes

Select the scenarios that are used (multiple answers possible)

 Transition scenarios

- CRREM 2C
 CRREM 1.5C
 IEA SDS
 IEA B2DS
 IEA NZE2050
 IPR FPS
 NGFS Current Policies
 NGFS Nationally determined contributions
 NGFS Immediate 2C scenario with CDR
 NGFS Immediate 2C scenario with limited CDR
 NGFS Immediate 1.5C scenario with CDR
 NGFS Delayed 2C scenario with limited CDR
 NGFS Delayed 2C scenario with CDR
 NGFS Immediate 1.5C scenario with limited CDR
 SBTi
 TPI
 Other

 Physical scenarios

- RCP2.6
 RCP4.5
 RCP6.0
 RCP8.5
 Other

- No

- No

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

In 2019, we adopted long-term science-based GHG emissions reduction targets validated by SBTi to reduce Scope 1 & 2 emissions by 37.5% by 2033 (against a 2018 baseline) and Scope 3 by 18.5% (against a 2018 baseline). This aligns with "well below 2 degree Celsius" scenario planning. Our GHG emissions reductions projects contemplate this scenario planning as we work toward the transition to a carbon neutral economy.

RM6.1

Transition risk identification

Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying transition risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity?

Yes

Select the elements covered in the risk identification process (multiple answers possible)

Policy and legal

Has the process identified any risks in this area?

Yes

Select the risk(s) to which the entity is exposed (multiple answers possible)

- Increasing price of GHG emissions
- Enhancing emissions-reporting obligations
- Mandates on and regulation of existing products and services
- Exposure to litigation
- Other

No

Technology

Has the process identified any risks in this area?

Yes

Select the risk(s) to which the entity is exposed (multiple answers possible)

- Substitution of existing products and services with lower emissions options
- Unsuccessful investment in new technologies
- Costs to transition to lower emissions technology
- Other

No

Market

Has the process identified any risks in this area?

Yes

Select the risk(s) to which the entity is exposed (multiple answers possible)

- Changing customer behavior
- Uncertainty in market signals
- Increased cost of raw materials
- Other

No

Reputation

Has the process identified any risks in this area?

Yes

Select the risk(s) to which the entity is exposed (multiple answers possible)

- Shifts in consumer preferences
- Stigmatization of sector
- Increased stakeholder concern or negative stakeholder feedback
- Other

No

Provide applicable evidence (optional)

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/765880/000120677421000749/peak3837521-def14a.htm>

<https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/765880/000120677421000749/peak3837521-def14a.htm>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See page 24 of 2021 Proxy Statement, outlining ESG, corporate governance and remuneration policies for the 2020 reporting year.

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See also 2020 ESG Report, specifically pp. 12, 15, 31-33, for a description of our materiality and risk assessment

Describe the entity's processes for prioritizing transition risks

Our Board of Directors, including its Audit, Compensation and Human Capital and Governance Committees, meets regularly with management to identify and assess potential transition risks. Our Internal Audit team conducts formal annual assessments to review potential policy, legal, technology, market and reputational risks, including management and employee surveys and interviews, and reports findings annually to the Board. Our Board and management also retain third-party experts to assess and mitigate enterprise risks, such as investment banks to assess market risks, auditors to assess financial control risks and outside legal counsel to assess policy, legal and reputational risks. Risks are prioritized by assessing potential impact, likelihood, vulnerability to determine materiality. Mitigation strategies are developed to address material short-term and long-term risks and opportunities, as outlined on pages 12, 15, 31-33 of our 2020 ESG report linked above.

No

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

Please see pages 12, 15, 31-33 of our 2020 ESG report linked above.

RM6.2

Transition risk impact assessment

Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity?

Yes

Select the elements covered in the impact assessment process (multiple answers possible)

Policy and legal

Has the process concluded that there were any material impacts to the entity in this area?

Yes

No

Technology

Has the process concluded that there were any material impacts to the entity in this area?

Yes

No

Market

Has the process concluded that there were any material impacts to the entity in this area?

Yes

No

Reputation

Has the process concluded that there were any material impacts to the entity in this area?

Yes

No

Provide applicable evidence (optional)

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See also 2020 ESG Report, specifically pp. 12, 15, 31-33, for a description of our materiality and risk assessment. On page 12, we proactively aligned our ESG disclosures with recommendations by the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) because we believe it is a robust framework that helps us to identify short- and long-term climate-related risks and opportunities that are important to our business and strategy. The table on that page summarizes our short-, medium-, and long-term risks and opportunities, including potential policy, legal, technological, market and reputational impacts.

Describe how the entity's processes for identifying, assessing, and managing transition risks are integrated into its overall risk management

Our Board of Directors, including its Audit, Compensation and Human Capital and Governance Committees, meets regularly with management to identify and assess potential transition risks. Our Internal Audit team conducts formal annual assessments to review potential policy, legal, technology, market and reputational risks, including management and employee surveys and interviews, and reports findings annually to the Board. Our Board and management also retain third-party experts to assess and mitigate enterprise risks, such as investment banks to assess market risks, auditors to assess financial control risks and outside legal counsel to assess policy, legal and reputational risks. Risks are prioritized by assessing potential impact, likelihood, vulnerability to determine materiality. Mitigation strategies are developed to address material short-term and long-term risks and opportunities, as outlined on pages 12, 15, 31-33 of our 2020 ESG report linked above.

No

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

Please see page 12 of our 2020 ESG Report linked above.

RM6.3

Physical risk identification

Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity?

Yes

Select the elements covered in the risk identification process (multiple answers possible)

- Acute hazards
 Chronic stressors

Has the process identified any chronic stressors to which the entity is exposed?

Yes

Indicate to what factor(s) the entity is exposed (multiple answers possible)

- Drought stress
 Fire weather stress
 Heat stress
 Precipitation stress
 Rising mean temperatures
 Rising sea levels
 Other

No

Provide applicable evidence (optional)

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See page 12 of our 2020 ESG Report, outlining an assessment of short-, medium- and long-term risks and opportunities.
 See page 17 of 2020 ESG Report, outlining our process for conducting an independent, portfolio-wide physical climate risk assessment in 2020 (the reporting year), as well as 2021. The assessment shown reflects wildfires, water stress, heat stress, sea level rise, earthquakes, hurricanes and typhoons (precipitation stress), and floods. This assessment as described in our ESG Report also reflects potential business impacts and mitigation strategies.

Describe the entity's processes of prioritizing physical risks

In 2020, we undertook a detailed physical climate risk assessment of our portfolio, as reflected on page 17 of our 2020 ESG Report. This assessment allowed us to better understand and prioritize potential business risks and impacts, and enhance our risk mitigation strategies. We use this assessment, as well as regular site inspections of our properties, to determine materiality to our portfolio and business based on potential impact, likelihood and vulnerability. These assessments allow us to give clear

consideration to disaster preparedness in acquiring, developing and redeveloping resilient properties, as well as in assessing insurance coverage, including the impacts of hurricanes, flooding, and wildfires.

No

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

Please see pages 12 and 17 of our ESG Report. To conduct our physical climate risk assessment in 2020, we partnered with Four Twenty Seven, an independent third party, which initializes their baseline using the period of 1975-2005 as a historical benchmark, and project future states in 2030-2040 under the "Business as Usual" scenario (RCP 8.5 concentration pathway).

RM6.4

Physical risk impact assessment

Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity?

Yes

Select the elements covered in the impact assessment process (multiple answers possible)

Direct impacts

Has the process concluded that there are material impacts to the entity?

Yes

No

Indirect impacts

Provide applicable evidence (optional)

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See page 12 of our 2020 ESG Report, outlining an assessment of short-, medium- and long-term risks and opportunities.
See page 17 of 2020 ESG Report, outlining our process for conducting an independent, portfolio-wide physical climate risk assessment in 2020 (the reporting year), as well as 2021. The assessment shown reflects wildfires, water stress, heat stress, sea level rise, earthquakes, hurricanes and typhoons (precipitation stress), and floods. This assessment as described in our ESG Report also reflects potential business impacts and mitigation strategies.

Describe how the entity's processes for identifying, assessing, and managing physical risks are integrated into its overall risk management

We identify and assess physical risks through a third-party, portfolio-wide physical climate risk assessment, as well as regular site visits by our Capital Asset Management (CAM) team and tenant engagement. We determine the materiality of the risks based on potential impact, likelihood and vulnerability, which helps us prioritize the risks. Our Risk Management team works with third-party insurers to insure the risks and mitigate the potential financial losses related to such risks, such as determining potential loss of property or value, business interruptions, and higher insurance costs due to wildfire risk. As another example, our Development and CAM teams look at heat stress risks in construction/redevelopment to implement energy-savings technologies throughout properties, including LED lighting, energy-efficient HVAC systems, occupancy sensors, and use renewable energy where feasible, to mitigate heightened energy costs or power outage risks.

No

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

Please see pages 12 and 17 of our ESG Report.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Employees

SE1

Max. score 1

Employee training

Does the entity provide training and development for employees?

 Yes

Percentage of employees who received professional training during the reporting year

100

Percentage of employees who received ESG-specific training during the reporting year

100

ESG-specific training focuses on (multiple answers possible):

- Environmental issues
- Social issues
- Governance issues

 No

SE2.1

Max. score 1

Employee satisfaction survey

Has the entity undertaken an employee satisfaction survey within the last three years?

 Yes

The survey is undertaken (multiple answers possible)

- Internally
- By an independent third party

Percentage of employees covered

100

Survey response rate

93

The survey includes quantitative metrics

 Yes

Metrics include

- Net Promoter Score
- Overall satisfaction score
- Other

 No

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

[Redacted]
See entire document, including:
[Redacted]

 Show investors

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See entire page, which shows anonymized raw data of Healthpeak's employee survey on the questions:
- [Redacted]

- "Would recommend Healthpeak Properties as a great place to work" with a score of 90.7% (91%).

Show investors

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See email sent to all employees to participate in Employee Survey [link redacted]

Show investors

No

SE2.2

Max. score 1 | Score 2020: 1/1 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Employee engagement program

Does the entity have a program in place to improve its employee satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in SE2.1?

Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- Planning and preparation for engagement
- Development of action plan
- Implementation
- Training
- Program review and evaluation
- Feedback sessions with c-suite level staff
- Feedback sessions with separate teams/departments
- Focus groups
- Other

No

Not applicable

SE3.1

Max. score 0.75 | Score 2020: 0.75/0.75 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Employee health & well-being program

Does the entity have a program in place for promoting health & well-being of employees?

Yes

The program includes (multiple answers possible):

- Needs assessment
- Goal setting
- Action
- Monitoring

No

SE3.2

Max. score 1.25

Employee health & well-being measures

Does the entity take measures to incorporate the health & well-being program for employees described in SE3.1?

Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- Needs assessment

The entity monitors employee health and well-being needs through (multiple answers possible):

- Employee surveys on health and well-being
Percentage of employees

100

- Physical and/or mental health checks
Percentage of employees

- Other

- Creation of goals to address:

- Mental health and well-being
 Physical health and well-being
 Social health and well-being
 Other

- Action to promote health through:

- Acoustic comfort
 Biophilic design
 Childcare facilities contributions
 Flexible working hours
 Healthy eating
 Humidity
 Illumination
 Inclusive design
 Indoor air quality
 Lighting controls and/or daylight
 Noise control
 Paid maternity leave in excess of legally required minimum
 Paid paternity leave in excess of legally required minimum
 Physical activity
 Physical and/or mental healthcare access
 Social interaction and connection
 Thermal comfort
 Water quality
 Working from home arrangements
 Other

- Monitor outcomes by tracking:

- Environmental quality
 Population experience and opinions
 Program performance
 Other

- No
 Not applicable

SE4

 Max. score 0.5

Employee safety indicators

Has the entity monitored conditions for and / or tracked indicators of employee safety during the last three years?

- Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- Work station and/or workplace checks
Percentage of employees

- Absentee rate

- Injury rate

- Lost day rate

- Other metrics

Explain the employee occupational safety indicators calculation method (maximum 250 words)

- Absentee Rate: Total number of days of absenteeism divided by the total number of workdays, based on number of employees.
- Lost Time Injury Frequency: As reported in our DJSI response, we calculate this rate as lost-time injuries per 1 million hours worked.
- Injury rate: In our GRI report, we calculate the number of affected employees.

No

SE5

Max. score 0.5

Inclusion and diversity

Does the entity monitor inclusion and diversity?

Yes

Diversity of the entity's governance bodies

Select all diversity metrics (multiple answers possible)

- Age group distribution
- Board tenure
- Gender pay gap
- Gender ratio

Percentage of personnel that identify as:

Women

Men

- International background
- Racial diversity
- Socioeconomic background

Diversity of the organization's employees

Select all diversity metrics (multiple answers possible)

- Age group distribution

Percentage of personnel that are:

Under 30 years old

Between 30 and 50 years old

Over 50 years old

- Gender pay gap
- Gender ratio

Percentage of personnel that are:

Women

Men

- International background
- Racial diversity
- Socioeconomic background

Provide additional context for the response (maximum 250 words)

The company's Board of Directors has oversight over diversity and human capital initiatives for our governance bodies (the Board of Directors and its committees), as well as the organization's employees.

- The Board of Directors amended our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter to formalize our Board's commitment to identifying diverse director candidates, noting in the Charter: "the Committee shall strive to identify such candidates that create diversity in its broadest sense, including, but not limited to,

profession, skills, perspective, race, gender, ethnicity and experience in the Board as a whole.”

- The Board of Directors adopted a mandatory director retirement policy with a 15-year term limit to ensure Board refreshment and diversity of skills, opinions and experience on the Board.
- The Board of Directors amended the Compensation and Human Capital Committee Charter and formally renamed the Committee to reflect the Board’s oversight over human capital matters, including diversity of the company’s employees. The Charter specifically provides: “The purpose of the Compensation and Human Capital Committee (the “Committee”) of the Board of the Company is... (D) to oversee the Company’s human capital programs and policies, including with respect to management development, succession planning and diversity and inclusion initiatives... The Committee shall periodically review human capital matters with respect to the Company, which may include but is not limited to the Company’s demographics, diversity and inclusion initiatives, employee retention and employee compensation practices.”
- Management provides quarterly updates to the Board on workforce diversity goals, strategy, initiatives and results.

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See 2020 ESG Report:

- Pages 23, 38: Board gender diversity, employee gender, age and racial diversity, and steps the Board has taken to address diversity and human capital management, employee ethnicity, gender pay ratio by employee (men/women)

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/765880/000120677421000749/peak3837521-def14a.htm>

<https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/765880/000120677421000749/peak3837521-def14a.htm>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See 2021 Proxy Statement (reflecting 2020 data and applicable for the 2020 reporting year):

- p. 8 (top of page): Reflecting Board of Directors racial and gender diversity, including identifying diverse directors
-p. 27: Reflecting workforce diversity as of 12/31/2020

No

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Suppliers

SE6

Max. score 1.5

Supply chain engagement program

Does the entity include ESG-specific requirements in its procurement processes?

Yes

Select elements of the supply chain engagement program (multiple answers possible)

- Developing or applying ESG policies
- Planning and preparation for engagement
- Development of action plan
- Implementation of engagement plan
- Training
- Program review and evaluation
- Feedback sessions with stakeholders
- Other

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

- Business ethics
- Child labor
- Environmental process standards
- Environmental product standards
- Health and safety: employees
- Health and well-being
- Human health-based product standards
- Human rights
- Labor standards and working conditions
- Other

Select the external parties to whom the requirements apply (multiple answers possible)

- Contractors
- Suppliers

- Supply chain (beyond 1 tier suppliers and contractors)
 Other

No

SE7.1

Max. score 1

Monitoring property/asset managers

Does the entity monitor property/asset managers' compliance with the ESG-specific requirements in place for this entity?

Yes

The entity monitors compliance of:

- Internal property/asset managers
 External property/asset managers
 Both internal and external property/asset managers

Select all methods used (multiple answers possible)

- Checks performed by independent third party
 Property/asset manager ESG training
 Property/asset manager self-assessments
 Regular meetings and/or checks performed by the entity's employees
 Require external property/asset managers' alignment with a professional standard
 Other

No

Not applicable

SE7.2

Max. score 1

Monitoring external suppliers/service providers

Does the entity monitor other direct external suppliers' and/or service providers' compliance with the ESG-specific requirements in place for this entity?

Yes

Select all methods used (multiple answers possible)

- Checks performed by an independent third party
 Regular meetings and/or checks performed by external property/asset managers
 Regular meetings and/or checks performed by the entity's employees
 Require supplier/service providers' alignment with a professional standard
 Supplier/service provider ESG training
 Supplier/service provider self-assessments
 Other

Other selected. Please describe

We require all vendors to acknowledge and abide by our Vendor Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. Also, CDP, an independent third party, conducted a supplier engagement survey for our top 50 vendors, as described on page 31 of our 2020 ESG Report, on factors including renewable energy, operational emissions and risk assessment (www.healthpeak.com/ESG). We also conduct internal supply chain monitoring and screening of our top 25 suppliers by spend across objective ESG factors including human rights, diversity, environmental policies and liabilities, and corporate governance and compliance policies. See page 31 of the 2020 ESG Report.

No

Not applicable

SE8

Max. score 0.5 | Score 2020: 0.5/0.5 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Stakeholder grievance process

Is there a formal process for stakeholders to communicate grievances?

Yes

Select all characteristics applicable to the process (multiple answers possible)

- Accessible and easy to understand
 Anonymous
 Dialogue based

- Equitable & rights compatible
- Improvement based
- Legitimate & safe
- Predictable
- Prohibitive against retaliation
- Transparent
- Other

Which stakeholders does the process apply to? (multiple answers possible)

- Contractors
- Suppliers
- Supply chain (beyond tier 1 suppliers and contractors)
- Clients/Customers
- Community/Public
- Employees
- Investors/Shareholders
- Regulators/Government
- Special interest groups (NGO's, Trade Unions, etc)
- Other

No

REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Reporting Characteristics

R1.1

The entity's standing investments portfolio during the reporting year

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Property type	Number of Assets	Floor Area (sq. ft.)	% GAV
Healthcare: Other	19	2975237.0	3
Healthcare: Senior Homes	228	27324110.0	34
Office: Medical Office	290	23935049.0	27
Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences	131	10289985.65	36
Total	668	64524381.65	100.0

Note: This table is generated by GRESB and represents an aggregation of the data provided at the asset level. It is provided for review purposes and defines the scope of your 2021 GRESB Performance Component submission. It should reflect the total standing investments portfolio and exclude any development and/or major renovation projects, exclude vacant land, cash or other non real estate assets owned by the entity. You are not able to amend information in this table, with the exception of "% GAV" (this is because GAV is an optional field at asset level and cannot be used for aggregation). Please note that % GAV is used for entity and peer group classification and should accurately reflect the composition of the portfolio.

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See entire document [link redacted]

Show investors

Provide additional context on how the uploaded evidence supports the entity's reporting boundaries and portfolio composition in R1.1 (maximum 1000 words).

The above GAV % breakdown is based on Healthpeak's Standing Investments.

R1.2

Countries/states included in the entity's standing investments portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Country	% GAV
United States of America	100

RISK ASSESSMENT

Risk Assessments

RA1

Max. score 3

Risk assessments performed on standing investments portfolio

Has the entity performed asset-level environmental and/or social risk assessments of its standing investments during the last three years?

 Yes

Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Building safety and materials
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Climate/climate change adaptation
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Contaminated land
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Energy efficiency
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Energy supply
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Flooding
Percentage of portfolio covered
- GHG emissions
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Health and well-being
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Indoor environmental quality
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Natural hazards
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Regulatory
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Resilience
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Socio-economic
Percentage of portfolio covered

- Transportation
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Waste management
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Water efficiency
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Water supply
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Other

The risk assessment is aligned with a third-party standard

- Yes
 - ISO 31000
 - Other
- No

Describe how the outcomes of the ESG risk assessments are used in order to mitigate the selected risks (maximum 250 words)

- Risk Exposure Methodology. Risks are identified by business segments leaders and executive management through facilitated discussions with our Internal Audit team (IA), as well as through a third-party physical climate risk and resilience assessment for each property in our portfolio. Our main environmental risks are defined as those that could adversely affect our strategic, operational, reporting and compliance objectives. These identified risks are then evaluated in the Property Condition Assessment (PCA).
- Level of Implementation. Parties included in the PCA: Healthpeak staff engineers, property operators, risk management.
- Risk Mitigation. Data is collected during the PCA from facility tours, interviews with property operators and engineering staff, environmental systems evaluations and third-party physical climate risk assessment. The data is input into our PCA Report System database for monitoring and used to determine risk mitigation activities. For example, if inadequate flood insurance is an identified risk, we endeavor to mitigate the cause of the risk (i.e., failure to reassess and supplement insurance policy regularly despite the reoccurrence of extreme weather events such as an active hurricane season) through change in internal policy (e.g., mandatory annual insurance reassessments in the context of extreme weather predictions), as well as to mitigate effects of the risk (i.e., monetary damage to property exceeds insurance coverage) through viable meaningful actions (e.g., purchasing additional insurance in consideration of active hurricane season research/statistics).
- Follow-up. If an identified risk occurs, it will be reassessed and reviewed by our business leaders and IA for additional mitigation steps and prioritized.

No

RA2 Max. score 3

Technical building assessments

Technical building assessments performed during the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Topic	Number of assets	% of portfolio covered
Energy	668	100.0
Water	668	100.0
Waste	668	100.0

RISK ASSESSMENT

Efficiency Measures

RA3

Max. score 1.5

Energy efficiency measures

Energy efficiency measures implemented in the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Energy efficiency measures	Number of assets	% of portfolio covered
Automatic meter readings (AMR)		
Automation system upgrades / replacements	16	2.97
Management systems upgrades / replacements	25	2.88
Installation of high-efficiency equipment and appliances	224	43.49
Installation of on-site renewable energy		
Occupier engagement / informational technologies		
Smart grid / smart building technologies		
Systems commissioning or retro-commissioning	38	4.58
Wall / roof insulation		
Window replacements	11	1.35

RA4

Max. score 1

Water efficiency measures

Water efficiency measures implemented in the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Water efficiency measures	Number of assets	% of portfolio covered
Automatic meter readings (AMR)		
Cooling tower		
Drip / smart irrigation	11	1.51
Drought tolerant / native landscaping	8	0.97
High efficiency / dry fixtures	12	2.02
Leak detection system		
Metering of water subsystems		
On-site waste water treatment		
Reuse of storm water and/or grey water		

RA5

Max. score 0.5

Waste management measures

Waste management measures implemented in the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Waste management measures	Number of assets	% of portfolio covered
Composting landscape and/or food waste		
Ongoing waste performance monitoring		

Waste management measures	Number of assets	% of portfolio covered
Recycling	72	9.04
Waste stream management		
Waste stream audit		

TARGETS

Targets

T1.1

Max. score 2

Portfolio improvement targets

Has the entity set long-term performance improvement targets?

 Yes

Area	Target type	Long-term target (%)	Baseline year	End year	Are these targets communicated externally?
Energy consumption	Absolute	15	2011	2021	Yes
Renewable energy use	"	"	"	"	"
GHG emissions	Absolute	37.5	2018	2033	Yes
Water consumption	Absolute	15	2011	2021	Yes
Waste diverted from landfill	Absolute	15	2011	2021	Yes
Building Certifications	"	"	"	"	"
Data Coverage	"	"	"	"	"
Recycling	Absolute	15	2011	2021	Yes

Explain the methodology used to establish the targets and communicate the anticipated pathways to achieve these targets (maximum 250 words)

Healthpeak has established long-term targets for GHG emissions reduction, energy consumption, water consumption, waste and recycling (15% for each metric), to be achieved by the end of 2020 against a 2011 baseline year. Healthpeak also strives to obtain green building certifications (ENERGY STAR or LEED) for 100% new development and redevelopment to the greatest extent feasible.

*Healthpeak also adopted long-term science-based GHG emissions reduction targets validated by Science Based Targets Initiatives. For scope 1 and 2 emissions, the target is a reduction of absolute emissions 37.5% by 2033 from a 2018 base year, and for Scope 3, the reduction target is 18.5% by 2033 from a 2018 base year. The new science-based targets meet the well below 2 C pathway under the Absolute Contraction approach of the Science Based Targets Initiative. The above targets will be achieved via sustainability projects undertaken across the Healthpeak portfolio such as Lighting Retrofits, Energy Efficient HVAC Systems, Smart Building" Technology, Solar Panels and other renewable energy initiatives

 No

T1.2

Pre-filled from 2020

Science-based targets

Is the entity's GHG emissions target science-based?

 Yes

Has the target been approved by the Science-Based Targets initiative?

 Yes No

Select the scope of the science-based target:

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 2 (market-based)
- Scope 1+2 (location-based)
- Scope 1+2 (market-based)
- Scope 1+2 (location-based) + Scope 3
- Scope 1+2 (market-based) + Scope 3
- Scope 3
- Other

 No Not applicable

TENANTS AND COMMUNITY

Tenants/Occupiers

TC1

Max. score 1

Tenant engagement program

Does the entity have a tenant engagement program in place that includes ESG-specific issues?

 Yes

Select all approaches to engage tenants (multiple answers possible)

- Building/asset communication
- Feedback sessions with individual tenants
- Provide tenants with feedback on energy/water consumption and waste
- Social media/online platform
- Tenant engagement meetings
- Tenant ESG guide
- Tenant ESG training
- Tenant events focused on increasing ESG awareness
- Other

Describe the tenant engagement program and methods used to improve tenant satisfaction (maximum 250 words)

Our satisfaction improvement program team reviews feedback received from our property managers and tenants and prepares an implementation plan to incorporate the feedback as well as other improvements. The engagement program specifically addresses questions relating to ESG and sustainability initiatives that tenants would like to see or use most frequently, and initiatives are developed taking into account tenant feedback.

 No

TC2.1

Max. score 1

Tenant satisfaction survey

Has the entity undertaken tenant satisfaction surveys within the last three years?

 Yes

The survey is undertaken (multiple answers possible)

- Internally
- By an independent third party
- Percentage of tenants covered
- Survey response rate

The survey includes quantitative metrics

Yes

Metrics include

- Net Promoter Score
- Overall satisfaction score
- Satisfaction with communication
- Satisfaction with property management
- Satisfaction with responsiveness
- Understanding tenant needs
- Value for money
- Other

No

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

The evidence is within the survey response summary provided.
[Redacted]

Show investors

No

TC2.2

Max. score 1

Program to improve tenant satisfaction

Does the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1?

Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- Development of an asset-specific action plan
- Feedback sessions with asset/property managers
- Feedback sessions with individual tenants
- Other

Describe the tenant satisfaction improvement program (maximum 250 words)

Our 2020 tenant satisfaction survey was delivered via a web based methodology to over 2,000 our tenants and we achieved a response rate of 83%. The survey included several questions related to sustainability and green initiatives/projects, such as HVAC upgrades (p.11 showing this was a positive trend from 2019 to 2020) including tenant satisfaction with our commitment to sustainability, their likelihood of participating in various programs, how various initiatives would influence their rental decision and the importance of sustainability to their employees and customers. Our satisfaction improvement program team reviews feedback received from our property managers and tenants and prepares an implementation plan to incorporate the feedback as well as other improvements.

No

Not applicable

TC3

Max. score 1.5 | Score 2020: 0.75/1.5 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Fit-out & refurbishment program for tenants on ESG

Does the entity have a fit-out and refurbishment program in place for tenants that includes ESG-specific issues?

 Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

 Fit-out and refurbishment assistance for meeting the minimum fit-out standards Tenant fit-out guides Minimum fit-out standards are prescribed Procurement assistance for tenants Other No

TC4

Max. score 1.5

ESG-specific requirements in lease contracts (green leases)

Does the entity include ESG-specific requirements in its standard lease contracts?

 Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

 Cooperation and works:

- Environmental initiatives
- Enabling upgrade works
- ESG management collaboration
- Premises design for performance
- Managing waste from works
- Social initiatives
- Other

 Management and consumption:

- Energy management
- Water management
- Waste management
- Indoor environmental quality management
- Sustainable procurement
- Sustainable utilities
- Sustainable transport
- Sustainable cleaning
- Other

 Reporting and standards:

- Information sharing
- Performance rating
- Design/development rating
- Performance standards
- Metering
- Comfort
- Other

Percentage lease contracts with an ESG clause (by floor area)

Percentage of contracts with ESG clause

 No

TC5.1

Max. score 0.75

Tenant health & well-being program

Does the entity have a program for promoting health & wellbeing of tenants, customers, and local surrounding communities?

 Yes

The program includes (multiple answers possible):

- Needs assessment
- Goal setting
- Action
- Monitoring

 No

TC5.2

Max. score 1.25

Tenant health & well-being measures

Does the entity take measures to incorporate the health & well-being program for tenants and local communities described in TC5.1?

 Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

 Needs assessment

The entity monitors tenant health and well-being needs through (multiple answers possible):

- Tenant survey
- Community engagement
- Use of secondary data
- Other

 Creation of goals to address:

- Mental health and well-being
- Physical health and well-being
- Social health and well-being
- Other

 Action to promote health through:

- Acoustic comfort
- Biophilic design
- Community development
- Physical activity
- Healthy eating
- Hosting health-related activities for surrounding community
- Improving infrastructure in areas surrounding assets
- Inclusive design
- Indoor air quality
- Lighting controls and/or daylight
- Physical and/or mental healthcare access
- Social interaction and connection
- Thermal comfort
- Urban regeneration
- Water quality
- Other activity in surrounding community
- Other building design and construction strategy
- Other building operations strategy
- Other programmatic intervention

 Monitor outcomes by tracking:

- Environmental quality
- Program performance
- Population experience and opinions
- Other

 No Not applicable

TENANTS AND COMMUNITY

Community

TC6.1

Max. score 2

Community engagement program

Does the entity have a community engagement program in place that includes ESG-specific issues?

 Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

 Community health and well-being

- Effective communication and process to address community concerns
- Enhancement programs for public spaces
- Employment creation in local communities
- Research and network activities
- Resilience, including assistance or support in case of disaster
- Supporting charities and community groups
- ESG education program
- Other

Describe the community engagement program and the monitoring process (maximum 250 words)

Our Social Responsibility Committee drives engagement related to supporting charities and local community groups and is responsible for oversight of our charitable and volunteer activities. The Committee partners with organizations that share our desire to support research, education, and other activities related to healthcare (in line with our company's core business strategy) and emergency disaster relief (in line with our commitment to addressing climate risk). It also aims to support local community initiatives in the areas where our employees work and live by partnering with and donating to local organizations. In 2020, we donated nearly a half million dollars to entities aligned with the Committee's mission to support the advancement of health and well-being, healthcare, and emergency disaster relief. The Committee engages local healthcare organizations that support our mission, and invites representatives to present and speak at meetings before a charitable contribution decision is made. As part of the monitoring process, the representative is asked to come back and provide an updated presentation to the Committee to show how the contributions were used and the progress made. Some recent examples include contributions to Walk for Alzheimer's, which supports research for Alzheimer's disease, directly aligning with our core business strategy focusing on senior healthcare; Helm's Hope, an organization providing community resources and education to screen for skin cancer; PENCIL, which provides educational opportunities and resources to underprivileged and underrepresented students in the community (aligning with social initiatives); and donations to diversity initiatives/employment opportunity creation at Suffolk Law School.

No

TC6.2

Max. score 1 | Score 2020: 1/1 Pre-filled from 2020

Monitoring impact on community

Does the entity monitor its impact on the community?

Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

- Housing affordability
- Impact on crime levels
- Livability score
- Local income generated
- Local residents' well-being
- Walkability score
- Other

No

ENERGY

Energy Consumption

EN1

Max. score 14

Energy consumption

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Healthcare: Other

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	2975237.0
└ Landlord Controlled	2915213.0
└ Tenant Controlled	60024.0
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
└ Landlord Controlled	
└ Tenant Controlled	

Healthcare: Senior Homes

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	27324110.0
└ Landlord Controlled	21260022.0
└ Tenant Controlled	6064088.0
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
└ Landlord Controlled	
└ Tenant Controlled	

Office: Medical Office

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	23935049.0
└ Landlord Controlled	16386924.0
└ Tenant Controlled	7548125.0
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
└ Landlord Controlled	
└ Tenant Controlled	

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	10289985.65
└ Landlord Controlled	6861708.65
└ Tenant Controlled	3428277.0
Common Areas	

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
┆ Landlord Controlled	
┆ Tenant Controlled	

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the total area size reported in the Energy tab, split by floor area types.

Total energy consumption of the portfolio

Healthcare: Other

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	Fuels	9500.73	13764.29	2859658.0	2915213.0	8186.0	6713.6	815647.0
		District Heating & Cooling				0.0			
		Electricity	8825.29	23942.44	2859658.0	2915213.0	7068.89	6572.84	815647.0
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels				0.0			
		District Heating & Cooling				0.0			
		Electricity			0.0	60024.0			
Sub-total			18326.02	37706.73	N/A	N/A	15254.89	13286.44	N/A
Base Building - Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Landlord Controlled	Fuels	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			18326.02	37706.73	N/A	N/A	15254.89	13286.44	N/A

Healthcare: Senior Homes

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020		2019	2020		
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	Fuels	116798.43	77667.18	11763244.0	18030754.0	46487.53	46906.53	7215269.0
		District Heating & Cooling				0.0			
		Electricity	229413.6	139122.4	12549352.0	21260022.0	98944.47	85944.19	8123618.0
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels	33734.57	16725.69	3585758.0	3864176.0	16076.91	14047.2	1687546.0
		District Heating & Cooling				0.0			
		Electricity	48215.82	26595.75	3727126.0	6064088.0	25179.8	23566.72	1773714.0
Sub-total			428162.43	260111.01	N/A	N/A	186688.71	170464.63	N/A
Base Building - Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Landlord Controlled	Fuels	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			428162.43	260111.01	N/A	N/A	186688.71	170464.63	N/A

Office: Medical Office

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020		2019	2020		
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	Fuels	100958.03	88367.07	10294408.0	10743328.0	91080.44	82494.81	8395939.0
		District Heating & Cooling	7369.9	7452.78	976060.0	1148210.0	7369.9	7452.78	976060.0
		Electricity	305293.58	279937.92	15094173.0	16386924.0	256203.37	246716.76	12283483.0
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels				0.0			
		District Heating & Cooling				0.0			
		Electricity			0.0	7548125.0			
Sub-total			413621.51	375757.76	N/A	N/A	354653.71	336664.35	N/A
Base Building - Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating &							

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020		2019	2020		
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
		Cooling							
		Electricity							
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Landlord Controlled	Fuels	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity	0.0	832.57	N/A	N/A			N/A
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			413621.51	375757.76	N/A	N/A	354653.71	336664.35	N/A

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020		2019	2020		
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	Fuels	122443.67	114600.39	5724132.65	6062535.65	100541.95	93088.38	3777050.65
		District Heating & Cooling					0.0		
		Electricity	126189.94	115332.35	5573427.65	6861708.65	100535.02	88782.57	3727604.65
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels	10432.89	16566.01	967125.0	967125.0	10432.89	6798.53	350235.0
		District Heating & Cooling					0.0		
		Electricity	11797.84	21866.52	905651.0	3428277.0	8417.92	7170.46	191401.0
Sub-total			270864.33	268365.27	N/A	N/A	219927.78	195839.94	N/A
Base Building - Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating &							

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020		2019	2020		
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
		Cooling							
		Electricity							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Landlord Controlled	Fuels	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			270864.33	268365.27	N/A	N/A	219927.78	195839.94	N/A

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Energy consumption values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like consumption changes (%)

Total data coverage of the portfolio

Healthcare: Other

			Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
			Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled			98.09	94.0	98.09	-12.9	27.98
Tenant Controlled			0.0		0.0		0.0

Healthcare: Senior Homes

			Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
			Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled			57.29	41.0	56.92	-8.65	39.04
Tenant Controlled			61.07	43.0	48.19	-8.83	34.86

Office: Medical Office

			Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
			Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled			92.19	95.0	91.49	-5.07	76.58
Tenant Controlled			0.0		0.0		0.0

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

			Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
			Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled			83.76	83.0	80.42	-9.55	58.07
Tenant Controlled			28.73	30.0	28.42	-25.9	12.32

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by Landlord Controlled and Tenant Controlled areas. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Renewable energy generated

Healthcare: Other

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
On-site	Generated and consumed by landlord	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Generated and exported by landlord	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
On-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Purchased by Landlord	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Purchased by Tenant	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Off-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Renewable Energy - Total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Healthcare: Senior Homes

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
On-site	Generated and consumed by landlord	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Generated and exported by landlord	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
On-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Purchased by Landlord	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Purchased by Tenant	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Off-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Renewable Energy - Total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Office: Medical Office

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
On-site	Generated and consumed by landlord	273.0	0.07	265.0	0.07	
	Generated and exported by landlord	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
On-site - Sub-total		273.0	0.07	265.0	0.07	0.0
Off-site	Purchased by Landlord	82216.74	19.88	73078.15	19.41	
	Purchased by Tenant	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Off-site - Sub-total		82216.74	19.88	73078.15	19.41	-0.47
Renewable Energy - Total		82489.74	19.94	73343.15	19.48	-0.47

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
On-site	Generated and consumed by landlord	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Generated and exported by landlord	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
On-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Purchased by Landlord	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Purchased by Tenant	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Off-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Renewable Energy - Total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Renewable Energy consumed/generated per property type, either on-site or off-site, as well as the Percentage of total Consumption by category.

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)



GHG

GHG Emissions

GHG emissions

Total GHG emissions of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Healthcare: Other

		Absolute				Like-for-Like			
		2019	2020			2019	2020		
		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
Whole Building	Scope 1	1721.65	2494.26	2859658.0	2915213.0	1483.41	1216.59	815647.0	
	Scope 2	Location Based	4304.47	9993.18	2859658.0	2915213.0	3640.17	3380.96	815647.0
		Market Based (optional)	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions		6026.12	12487.44	N/A	N/A	5123.58	4597.55	N/A
	Scope 3				0.0	60024.0			
Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions		6026.12	12487.44	N/A	N/A	5123.58	4597.55	N/A	
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Scope 1	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A	
	Scope 2	Location Based	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Market Based (optional)	0.0		N/A	N/A			N/A
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions		0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 3				N/A	N/A			N/A
Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions		0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	
GHG Offsets						N/A	N/A	N/A	
Net GHG Emissions after offsets						N/A	N/A	N/A	

Healthcare: Senior Homes

		Absolute				Like-for-Like			
		2019	2020			2019	2020		
		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
Whole Building	Scope 1	21286.92	13788.36	10281263.0	18030754.0	7459.4	8389.15	6064921.0	
	Scope 2	Location Based	89353.63	54823.94	11931585.0	21260022.0	38477.55	36235.98	7669618.0
		Market Based (optional)	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions		110640.55	68612.3	N/A	N/A	45936.95	44625.13	N/A
	Scope 3		25007.97	13241.65	3715618.0	6064088.0	12628.75	11575.76	1815650.0
Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions		135648.52	81853.95	N/A	N/A	58565.7	56200.89	N/A	
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Scope 1	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A	
	Scope 2	Location Based	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Market Based (optional)	0.0		N/A	N/A			N/A

		Absolute				Like-for-Like		
		2019	2020			2019	2020	
		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 3			N/A	N/A			N/A
	Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
GHG Offsets				N/A	N/A			N/A
Net GHG Emissions after offsets				N/A	N/A			N/A

Office: Medical Office

		Absolute				Like-for-Like			
		2019	2020			2019	2020		
		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
Whole Building	Scope 1	17974.53	15730.1	10258902.0	10743328.0	16184.88	14665.75	8464024.0	
	Scope 2	Location Based	92355.11	86337.3	15094173.0	16386924.0	76906.57	74110.77	11778142.0
		Market Based (optional)	0.0	-	-	-			-
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions		110329.64	102067.4	N/A	N/A	93091.45	88776.52	N/A
	Scope 3				0.0	7548125.0			
	Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions		110329.64	102067.4	N/A	N/A	93091.45	88776.52	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Scope 1	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A	
	Scope 2	Location Based	0.0	406.95	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Market Based (optional)	0.0		N/A	N/A			N/A
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions		0.0	406.95	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 3				N/A	N/A			N/A
Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions		0.0	406.95	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	
GHG Offsets				N/A	N/A			N/A	
Net GHG Emissions after offsets				N/A	N/A			N/A	

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

		Absolute				Like-for-Like			
		2019	2020			2019	2020		
		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
Whole Building	Scope 1	22188.25	20758.61	5684021.65	6062535.65	18205.33	16860.4	3736939.65	
	Scope 2	Location Based	26449.79	24427.7	5573427.65	6861708.65	21152.09	18685.27	3727604.65
		Market Based (optional)	0.0	-	-	-			-
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions		48638.04	45186.31	N/A	N/A	39357.42	35545.67	N/A

		Absolute				Like-for-Like			
		2019	2020			2019	2020		
		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
	Scope 3	4326.78	7583.38	1064485.0	3428277.0	4323.0	2712.66	350235.0	
	Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions	52964.82	52769.69	N/A	N/A	43680.42	38258.33	N/A	
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Scope 1	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A	
	Scope 2	Location Based	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
		Market Based (optional)	0.0		N/A	N/A			N/A
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	
	Scope 3			N/A	N/A			N/A	
Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A		
GHG Offsets				N/A	N/A			N/A	
Net GHG Emissions after offsets				N/A	N/A			N/A	

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated GHG emissions values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like changes (%) in emissions.

Note: Scope 3 emissions in the GRESB Assessment are calculated as the emissions associated with tenant areas, unless they are already reported as Scope 1 or Scope 2 emissions (if they cannot be disassociated from emissions from other areas). Scope 3 emissions do not include emissions generated through the entity's operations or by its employees, transmission losses or upstream supply chain emissions.

Total data coverage of the portfolio

Healthcare: Other

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	98.09	94.0	98.09	-10.27	27.98
Scope 3	0.0		0.0		0.0

Healthcare: Senior Homes

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	52.35	39.0	52.53	-2.86	34.96
Scope 3	61.27	43.0	49.65	-8.34	29.94

Office: Medical Office

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	92.08	95.0	91.37	-4.64	74.61
Scope 3	0.0		0.0		0.0

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	83.47	82.0	80.11	-9.69	57.76
Scope 3	31.05	30.0	30.89	-37.25	10.22

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by emission Scopes. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Explain (a) the GHG emissions calculation standard/methodology/protocol, (b) used emission factors, (c) level of uncertainty in data accuracy, (d) source and characteristics of GHG emissions offsets (maximum 250 words).

(a) We are reporting market-based emissions and defining our boundary using the operational control methodology specified in the GHG Protocol, Revised Edition, Section 4 pages 24-33. As such, we report on only those assets over which we have (i) day-to-day decision-making authority, and/or (ii) control over mechanical systems repair, maintenance and installation. (b) Emissions factors used the most recent supplied by government environmental agencies in the United States and Canada together with the International Energy Agency (IEA) and are available at https://support.measurabl.com/hc/en-us/article_attachments/360052688812/Measurabl_GHG_Calculation_Methodology.pdf. (c) Our calculations represent a +/- 5% level of confidence as all GHG calculations are based on actual energy meter readings - no estimates are used. (d) If applicable, the source and characteristics of GHG offsets are captured in the offset section above.

WATER

Water Use

Water use

Total water consumption of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Healthcare: Other

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	193044.08	355932.73	2859658.0	2915213.0	172379.12	182616.92	815647.0
		Tenant Controlled			0.0	60024.0			
Sub-total			193044.08	355932.73	N/A	N/A	172379.12	182616.92	N/A
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled							
	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled							
		Tenant Controlled							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Landlord Controlled		0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
	Tenant Controlled		0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A

Healthcare: Senior Homes

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	2854448.4	1294802.44	8936190.0	21260022.0	725600.67	767757.69	5389650.0
		Tenant Controlled	768872.72	358972.75	3637426.0	6064088.0	443924.99	328283.31	1684014.0
Sub-total			3623321.12	1653775.19	N/A	N/A	1169525.66	1096041.0	N/A
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled							
	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled							
		Tenant Controlled							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Landlord Controlled		33461.4	160116.04	N/A	N/A	33461.4	27690.6	N/A
	Tenant Controlled		0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			33461.4	160116.04	N/A	N/A	33461.4	27690.6	N/A

Office: Medical Office

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	1464859.47	1205171.65	14916179.0	16386924.0	1182280.8	1015586.99	12379663.0
		Tenant Controlled			0.0	7548125.0			
Sub-total			1464859.47	1205171.65	N/A	N/A	1182280.8	1015586.99	N/A
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled							
	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled							
		Tenant Controlled							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking		Landlord Controlled	37874.58	120546.01	N/A	N/A	37158.28	58330.27	N/A
		Tenant Controlled	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			37874.58	120546.01	N/A	N/A	37158.28	58330.27	N/A

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	441105.64	424591.35	5544258.65	6861708.65	310876.15	282282.86	3577693.65
		Tenant Controlled	1574.01	49865.74	659242.0	3428277.0			
Sub-total			442679.65	474457.09	N/A	N/A	310876.15	282282.86	N/A
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled							
	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled							
		Tenant Controlled							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking		Landlord Controlled	41858.94	32383.79	N/A	N/A	32467.66	28171.1	N/A
		Tenant Controlled	118.43	22160.63	N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			41977.37	54544.42	N/A	N/A	32467.66	28171.1	N/A

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Water consumption values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like consumption changes (%).

Total data coverage of the portfolio

Healthcare: Other

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	98.09	94.0	98.09	5.94	27.98
Tenant Controlled	0.0		0.0		0.0

Healthcare: Senior Homes

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	42.03	37.0	42.45	4.79	25.35
Tenant Controlled	59.98	43.0	46.63	-26.05	27.77

Office: Medical Office

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	91.02	90.0	90.28	-11.93	75.55
Tenant Controlled	0.0		0.0		0.0

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	80.8	75.0	77.37	-9.58	52.14
Tenant Controlled	19.23	16.0	18.68		0.0

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by Landlord Controlled and Tenant Controlled areas. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Reused and recycled water

Healthcare: Other

		2019		2020		2020/2019 change (%)
		Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	
On-site	On-site water reuse					
	On-site water capture					
	On-site water extraction					
On-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Off-site purchased					
Off-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused and Recycled - Total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Healthcare: Senior Homes

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
On-site	On-site water reuse					
	On-site water capture					
	On-site water extraction					
On-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Off-site purchased					
Off-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused and Recycled - Total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Office: Medical Office

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
On-site	On-site water reuse					
	On-site water capture					
	On-site water extraction					
On-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Off-site purchased					
Off-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused and Recycled - Total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
On-site	On-site water reuse					
	On-site water capture					
	On-site water extraction					
On-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Off-site purchased					
Off-site - Sub-total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused and Recycled - Total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Reused and Recycled water captured/purchased per property type, on-site and off-site, as well as the Percentage of total Consumption by category.

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

WASTE

Waste Management

Waste management

Total waste generation of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Healthcare: Other

		Absolute						
		2019			2020			
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled			0.0			0.0	97.98%
	Tenant Controlled			0.0			0.0	2.02%
Total waste generation		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0%

Healthcare: Senior Homes

		Absolute						
		2019			2020			
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	0.0	33.88	0.26	0.0	33.88	0.26	77.81%
	Tenant Controlled	0.0	2152.7	25.81	0.0	905.43	25.81	22.19%
Total waste generation		0.0	2186.58	26.07	0.0	939.31	5.93	100.0%

Office: Medical Office

		Absolute						
		2019			2020			
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	0.0	1074.81	9.03	0.0	1157.25	9.57	68.46%
	Tenant Controlled			0.0			0.0	31.54%
Total waste generation		0.0	1074.81	9.03	0.0	1157.25	6.55	100.0%

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

		Absolute						
		2019			2020			
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	0.0	208.64	2.41	0.0	208.64	2.41	66.68%
	Tenant Controlled			0.0			0.0	33.32%
Total waste generation		0.0	208.64	2.41	0.0	208.64	1.6	100.0%

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Hazardous and Non-hazardous waste quantities generated per property type, along with their related Data Coverage.

Healthcare: Other

	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2019	2020
Landfill		
Incineration		
Diverted (total)		
<i>Reuse</i>		
<i>Waste to energy</i>		
<i>Recycling</i>		
Other / Unknown		

Healthcare: Senior Homes

	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2019	2020
Landfill	82.53	68.04
Incineration	0.0	0.0
Diverted (total)	17.47	31.96
<i>Reuse</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>Waste to energy</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>Recycling</i>	17.47	31.96
Other / Unknown	0.0	0.0

Office: Medical Office

	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2019	2020
Landfill	81.94	77.94
Incineration	0.0	0.0
Diverted (total)	18.06	22.06
<i>Reuse</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>Waste to energy</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>Recycling</i>	18.06	22.06
Other / Unknown	0.0	0.0

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2019	2020
Landfill	60.0	60.0
Incineration	0.0	0.0
Diverted (total)	40.0	40.0

	Proportion of waste by disposal route [%]	
	2019	2020
<i>Reuse</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>Waste to energy</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>Recycling</i>	40.0	40.0
Other / Unknown	0.0	0.0

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the proportion of waste by disposal route.

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

DATA MONITORING AND REVIEW

Review, verification and assurance of ESG data

MR1

Max. score 1.75

External review of energy data

Has the entity's energy consumption data reported in EN1 been reviewed by an independent third party?

- Yes
- Externally checked
 - Externally verified
 - Externally assured

Using scheme

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See 2020 ESG Report, page 52, which provides our third-party assurance statement, stating: "We completed our review of the following environmental parameters in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, "Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline", a GRESB- and CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, characteristic data, and reporting boundaries: Direct energy consumption; Indirect Energy consumption." This assurance statement includes direct and indirect energy consumption.

- No
- Not applicable

MR2

Max. score 1.25

External review of GHG data

Has the entity's GHG data reported in GH1 been reviewed by an independent third party?

- Yes
- Externally checked
 - Externally verified
 - Externally assured

Using scheme

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See our 2020 ESG Report, page 52, which provides our third-party assurance statement, stating: "We completed our review of the following environmental parameters in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, "Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline", a GRESB- and CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, characteristic data, and reporting boundaries... Direct (Scope 1) and Indirect (Scope 2) GHG emissions; Optional Scope 3 GHG emissions: Triple-net lease/No Control buildings, Electricity and natural gas usage GHG emissions." This assurance statement includes direct (Scope 1) and indirect (Scope 2) GHG emissions and optional Scope 3 GHG Emissions for select sectors.

- No
- Not applicable

MR3

Max. score 1.25

External review of water data

Has the entity's water data reported in WT1 been reviewed by an independent third party?

- Yes
- Externally checked

- Externally verified
- Externally assured

Using scheme

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See our 2020 ESG Report, page 52, which provides our third-party assurance statement, stating: "We completed our review of the following environmental parameters in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, "Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline", a GRESB- and CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, characteristic data, and reporting boundaries:... Total water withdrawal" This assurance statement includes total water withdrawal.

- No
- Not applicable

MR4

Max. score 1.25

External review of waste data

Has the entity's waste data reported in WS1 been reviewed by an independent third party?

- Yes
 - Externally checked
 - Externally verified
 - Externally assured

Using scheme

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See our 2020 ESG Report, page 52, which provides our third-party assurance statement, stating: "We completed our review of the following environmental parameters in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, "Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline", a GRESB- and CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, characteristic data, and reporting boundaries:...Total waste disposed and recycled." This assurance statement includes total waste disposed and recycled.

- No
- Not applicable

BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS

Building Certifications

BC1.1

Max. score 7

Building certifications at the time of design/construction

Standing investments that obtained a green building certificate at the time of design, construction, and/or renovation

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Office: Medical Office

Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level	Area Certified (sq. ft.)	% of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2020	Number of assets	% of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2020
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Silver	307377.0	1.28	3	<input type="text"/>
LEED/Interior Design and Construction (ID+C) / Gold	91721.0	0.38	1	<input type="text"/>

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level	Area Certified (sq. ft.)	% of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2020	Number of assets	% of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2020
LEED/Interior Design and Construction (ID+C) / Silver	115466.0	1.12	1	<input type="text"/>
LEED/Interior Design and Construction (ID+C) / Certified	67589.0	0.66	1	<input type="text"/>
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Platinum	53326.0	0.52	2	<input type="text"/>
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Gold	1485990.0	14.44	9	<input type="text"/>
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Certified	445193.0	4.33	5	<input type="text"/>

Healthcare: Other

Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level	Area Certified (sq. ft.)	% of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2020	Number of assets	% of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2020
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Certified	64952.0	2.18	1	<input type="text"/>

BC1.2

Max. score 8.5

Operational building certifications

Standing investments that hold a valid operational green building certificate

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Office: Medical Office

Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level	Area Certified (sq. ft.)	% of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2020	Number of assets	% of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2020
LEED/Building Operations and Maintenance (O+M) / Silver	713943.0	2.98	3	<input type="text"/>
LEED/Building Operations and Maintenance (O+M) / Certified	155833.0	0.65	2	<input type="text"/>

Energy Ratings

Standing investments that hold a valid energy rating

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Office: Medical Office

Energy Rating	Area Covered (sq. ft.)	% of Floor Area covered (within property type) 2020	Number of assets	% GAV covered - optional (within property type) 2020
Energy Star Certified - 75-79 Points	108192.0	0.452	2	<input type="text"/>
Energy Star Certified - 80-84 Points	692044.0	2.8913	3	<input type="text"/>
Energy Star Portfolio Manager	13031103.0	54.4436	170	<input type="text"/>

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

Energy Rating	Area Covered (sq. ft.)	% of Floor Area covered (within property type) 2020	Number of assets	% GAV covered - optional (within property type) 2020
Energy Star Portfolio Manager	3141455.0	30.5292	51	<input type="text"/>
Energy Star Certified - 75-79 Points	46930.0	0.4561	1	<input type="text"/>
Energy Star Certified - 80-84 Points	90069.0	0.8753	2	<input type="text"/>

Healthcare: Senior Homes

Energy Rating	Area Covered (sq. ft.)	% of Floor Area covered (within property type) 2020	Number of assets	% GAV covered - optional (within property type) 2020
Energy Star Portfolio Manager	20176256.0	73.8405	150	<input type="text"/>
Energy Star Certified - 80-84 Points	704339.0	2.5777	1	<input type="text"/>

Healthcare: Other

Energy Rating	Area Covered (sq. ft.)	% of Floor Area covered (within property type) 2020	Number of assets	% GAV covered - optional (within property type) 2020
Energy Star Portfolio Manager	2915213.0	97.9825	17	<input type="text"/>

DEV - REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Reporting Characteristics

DR1.1

Composition of the entity's development projects portfolio during the reporting year

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Property Type	Construction/ Renovation	In progress at the end of reporting period		Completed during reporting period		% GAV
		Number of Assets	Gross Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Number of Assets	Gross Floor Area (sq. ft.)	
Office: Medical Office	New Construction Project	4	409618.0	4	282467.0	42
Office: Medical Office	Major Renovation Project	3	357314.0	3	254395.0	29
Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences	New Construction Project	8	812578.0	5	625233.0	16
Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences	Major Renovation Project	2	100904.0	2	164531.0	4
Healthcare: Senior Homes	Major Renovation Project	1	316142.0	1	301572.0	8
Healthcare: Other	Major Renovation Project	2	519033.0	0	0.0	1
Total		20	2515589.0	15	1628198.0	100.0

Note: The table above defines the scope of your 2021 GRESB submission on development projects. It should include new construction and major renovations projects that are in progress at the end of reporting year, as well as projects that are completed during the reporting year. The reporting scope reported above should exclude vacant land, cash or other non real estate assets owned by the entity.

*% GAV represented as the share of the development projects within the entire development portfolio (including both new construction and major renovations)

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See entire document [redacted]

Show investors

Provide additional context on how the uploaded evidence supports the entity's reporting boundaries and portfolio composition (maximum 250 words)

The above GAV % breakdown is based on Healthpeak's New Construction Projects and Major Renovation Projects.

DR1.2

Countries/states included in the entity's development projects portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Country	% GAV
United States of America	100

DEV - ESG REQUIREMENTS

ESG Requirements

DRE1

Max. score 4

ESG strategy during development

Does the entity have an ESG strategy in place for development projects?

 Yes

Elements addressed in the strategy (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Building safety
- Climate/climate change adaptation
- Energy consumption
- Green building certifications
- Greenhouse gas emissions
- Health and well-being
- Indoor environmental quality
- Life-cycle assessments/embodied carbon
- Location and transportation
- Material sourcing
- Net-zero/carbon neutral design
- Pollution prevention
- Renewable energy
- Resilience to catastrophe/disaster
- Site selection and land use
- Sustainable procurement
- Waste management
- Water consumption
- Other

The strategy is

- Publicly available
- Not publicly available

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Entire document; addresses: [link redacted]

- Biodiversity and habitat ("protection, restoration, and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions, and habitats for threatened and endangered species")
- Building safety ("adherence and alignment to LEED guidelines and requirements" which includes accessibility and safety)
- Climate change/adaptation (entire document)
- Energy consumption (last paragraph; also see "implementation of efficiency measures and equipment with respect to energy, water, and waste management" and " (i) meeting and endeavoring to exceed applicable energy legislative standards;")
- Green building certifications (" the adherence and alignment to LEED guidelines and requirements, and the consideration of LEED accreditation for each project") - GHG & Pollution Prevention: ("(ii) the protection of: (a) air quality and reduce pollution by using construction equipment that reduces emissions of localized air pollutants and greenhouse gasses")
- Health & Well-being (proximity to pedestrian routes, minimize air pollutants)
- Location and transportation (" (ii) proximity to pedestrian, bicycle, and mass-transit networks")
- Low Embodied Carbon; renewable energy (preference for "rapidly renewable, low embodied carbon, and recycled content materials")
- Material sourcing and sustainable procurement ("The following sustainable material characteristics are preferred by HCP: (i) materials that disclose environmental impacts and potential health hazards; (ii) locally extracted or recovered materials; (iii) rapidly renewable, low embodied carbon, and recycled content materials; (iv) materials that can easily be recycled; (v) and low-emitting materials.")
- Site selection and land use - 2nd paragraph: "Sustainable site selection criteria such as the following will be considered in evaluating potential new construction and major renovation projects, subject to the direction of Healthpeak leadership: (i) existing previously developed areas; (ii) proximity to pedestrian, bicycle, and mass-transit networks; and (iii) the protection, restoration, and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions, and habitats for threatened and endangered species."
- Waste management and water consumption - last paragraph: "In the planning and design phase of new construction and major renovation projects, Healthpeak personnel

should consider the potential application of an integrative design process for... water conservation, and waste management measures that strive to contribute to reducing consumption by the building during the operational phase. Such measures may include, without limitation:... (ii) incorporating indoor, outdoor, and process water efficiency measures and equipment, in addition to rainwater collection options in connection with water supply considerations; and (iii) implementing a waste management plan, including the usage of construction waste signage."

Show investors

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

We adopted a life safety policy in 2016, which applies to all existing properties and development and redevelopment projects to ensure the building safety of tenants and establish safety protocols and procedures, and was in place during the reporting year. Please note Healthpeak changed its named from "HCP, Inc." in 2019, and all files and reports of HCP are those of Healthpeak. [Link redacted]

Show investors

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See 2020 ESG Report, p. 4, 13, 20 stating that we seek LEED certification for all new development to the extent feasible.

[LEED v4 for Building Design and Construction _1_PAGE.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See LEED Certification Checklist that we followed during the reporting year

Show investors

[Cambridge Discovery Park LEED Scorecard April 2020.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See sample LEED Certification Scorecard for our property, Cambridge Discovery Park

Show investors

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/2021/02/Code-of-Business-Conduct-and-Ethics-02.04.21-2.pdf>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/2021/02/Code-of-Business-Conduct-and-Ethics-02.04.21-2.pdf>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See page 15, "Sustainability" reflecting sustainable procurement:
"Employees are encouraged to share the Company's commitment by meeting or exceeding the requirements of applicable environmental laws and regulations and promoting sustainable business practices... Employees are also encouraged to follow environmentally friendly procurement policies, including office products and engagement with suppliers to improve environmental performance."

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See entire document, and specifically:
- Climate change adaptation AND Resilience to disaster: The policy requires asset managers to, for each property, develop "prescriptive actions / resources required to minimize the potential damage to life and property and to restore normal operations quickly and economically [due to]... Natural Elements: Hurricane, tornado, flooding, winter storm, lightning, fire, wild fire, earthquake and landslide." [Link redacted]

Show investors

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See entire document, which is a sample renewable energy contract that was in place during the reporting year. [Redacted]

Show investors

Communicate the objectives and explain how they are integrated into the overall business strategy (maximum 250 words)

We work closely with our partners to ensure 100% of new construction and major renovation ("NCMR") projects incorporate sustainable business practices. Our NCMR objectives are aligned with/include LEED Standards for New Construction, including long-term (5+ years) evaluation and assessment of sustainable sites, water efficiency, energy and atmosphere, materials and resources and indoor environmental quality, as well as building safety/accessibility. We carefully select vendors in our supply chain that engage in green construction practices and consider the environmental attributes of the building materials they utilize for our projects. Such vendors must use FSC

certified wood and adhere to our waste diversion goal of at least 75% at each of our development sites. We also support the biodiversity and endeavor to preserve the natural habitat at all of our NCMR development sites, and incorporate well-being benefits, including outdoor green space for our tenants. We consider proximity to public transit networks when determining site locations, and require bicycle racks and electric vehicle charging stations at all of our new development projects. Energy and water consumption and management as well as waste and GHG emissions management are priorities during construction, and we require our vendors to provide us with reports summarizing their efforts in these areas. We work with our insurance and risk management team to review the impact of regional climate events with an eye toward implementing elements to prepare for potential natural disasters (e.g., ensuring backup generators in high wind and flood prone areas; selecting higher elevation sites for backup generators).

No

DRE2

Max. score 4 | Score 2020: 4/4 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Site selection requirements

Does the entity require sustainable site selection criteria to be considered for development projects?

Yes

Select all criteria included (multiple answers possible)

- Connect to multi-modal transit networks
- Locate projects within existing developed areas
- Protect, restore, and conserve aquatic ecosystems
- Protect, restore, and conserve farmland
- Protect, restore, and conserve floodplain functions
- Protect, restore, and conserve habitats for native, threatened and endangered species
- Protect, restore, and conserve historical and heritage sites
- Redevelop brownfield sites
- Other

No

DRE3

Max. score 4 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Site design and construction requirements

Does the entity have sustainable site design/construction requirements for development projects?

Yes

Select all criteria included (multiple answers possible)

- Manage waste by diverting construction and demolition materials from disposal
- Manage waste by diverting reusable vegetation, rocks, and soil from disposal
- Minimize light pollution to the surrounding community
- Minimize noise pollution to the surrounding community
- Perform environmental site assessment
- Protect air quality during construction
- Protect and restore habitat and soils disturbed during construction and/or during previous development
- Protect surface water and aquatic ecosystems by controlling and retaining construction pollutants
- Other

No

DEV - MATERIALS

Materials

DMA1

Max. score 6 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Materials selection requirements

Does the entity have a policy requiring that the environmental and health attributes of building materials be considered for development projects?

 Yes

Select all issues addressed (multiple answers possible)

 Requirement for disclosure about the environmental and/or health attributes of building materials (multiple answers possible) Environmental Product Declarations Health Product Declarations Other types of required health and environmental disclosure: Material characteristics specification preferences, including (multiple answers possible) Locally extracted or recovered materials Low embodied carbon materials Low-emitting VOC materials Materials and packaging that can easily be recycled Materials that disclose environmental impacts Materials that disclose potential health hazards Rapidly renewable materials and recycled content materials "Red list" of prohibited materials or ingredients that should not be used on the basis of their human and/or environmental impacts Third-party certified wood-based materials and products Other

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See entire document [redacted]

 Show investors No

DMA2.1

✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Life cycle assessments

Does the entity assess the life cycle emissions of its development projects?

 Yes No

DMA2.2

Embodied carbon disclosure

Has the entity disclosed the embodied carbon emissions of its development projects completed within the last three years?

 Yes No Not applicable

DEV - BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS

Building Certifications

DBC1.1

Max. score 4

Green building standard requirements

Does the entity's development portfolio include projects that are aligned with green building rating standards?

Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- The entity requires projects to align with requirements of a third-party green building rating system but does not require certification
- The entity requires projects to achieve certification with a green building rating system but does not require a specific level of certification

Percentage of portfolio covered

100

Green building rating systems (include all that apply):

LEED or ENERGY STAR for new development

- The entity requires projects to achieve a specific (above the minimum) level of certification

No

Green building certifications

Does the entity's development portfolio include projects that obtained or are registered to obtain a green building certificate?

Yes

Specify the certification scheme(s) used and the percentage of the portfolio registered and/or certified (multiple answers possible):

- Projects registered to obtain a green building certificate at the end of reporting year
- Projects that obtained a green building certificate or official pre-certification during the reporting year

Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level	Area Certified [sq. ft.]	% portfolio certified by floor area 2020	Number of assets	% of GAV certified - optional 2020	
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) Gold	318,221	40.8	1		X

Add new

- No
- Not applicable

DEV - ENERGY CONSUMPTION

Energy

DEN1

Max. score 6

Energy efficiency requirements

Does the entity have minimum energy efficiency requirements for development projects?

 Yes Requirements for planning and design include (multiple answers possible)

- Development and implementation of a commissioning plan
- Integrative design process
- To exceed relevant energy codes or standards
- Requirements for minimum energy use intensity post-occupancy
- Other

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

[Link redacted]

Integrative design process: First paragraph: "Healthpeak supports sustainable site selection and development, the use of sustainable construction materials, and the implementation of efficiency measures and equipment with respect to energy, water, and waste management. Accordingly, efforts shall be made to minimize the negative direct and indirect environmental impact of our construction sites. Examples of such efforts may include, without limitation, the adherence and alignment to LEED guidelines and requirements, and the consideration of LEED accreditation for each project."

Integrative design process AND exceeding relevant energy codes and standards: Last paragraph: "In the planning and design phase of new construction and major renovation projects, Healthpeak personnel should consider the potential application of an integrative design process for energy efficiency, water conservation, and waste management measures that strive to contribute to reducing consumption by the building during the operational phase. Such measures may include, without limitation: (i) meeting and endeavoring to exceed applicable energy legislative standards"

 Show investors

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Evidence of commissioning plan. [Link redacted]

See sample LEED scorecards for new development. As we state in our publicly filed 2020 ESG Report (www.healthpeak.com/ESG), we strive to obtain LEED certification for all new development. The LEED certification process contains minimum required energy efficiency and optimized energy efficiency measures. As part of that process, we have enhanced commissioning for certain new development, as shown by the LEED scorecard for 200 Smith Street, which plan was in place during the reporting year.

 Show investors Common energy efficiency measures include (multiple answers possible)

- Air conditioning
- Commissioning
- Energy modeling
- High-efficiency equipment and appliances
- Lighting
- Occupant controls
- Passive design
- Space heating
- Ventilation
- Water heating
- Other

 Operational energy efficiency monitoring (multiple answers possible)

- Building energy management systems
- Energy use analytics
- Post-construction energy monitoring

For on average years

- Sub-meter
- Other

 No

DEN2.1

Max. score 6

On-site renewable energy

Does the entity incorporate on-site renewable energy in the design of development projects?

 Yes

Projects designed to generate on-site renewable energy (multiple answers possible)

 Biofuels Geothermal Steam Hydro Solar/photovoltaic

Percentage of all projects

5

 Wind Other

Average design target for the fraction of total energy demand met with on-site renewable energy

5

 No Not applicable

DEN2.2

Max. score 2 | Score 2020: 0/2 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Net zero carbon design and standards

Does the entity's portfolio include any buildings designed to meet net zero carbon completed within the last three years?

 Yes No

DEV - WATER USE

Water Conservation

DWT1

Max. score 5

Water conservation strategy

Does the entity promote water conservation in its development projects?

 Yes

The entity promotes water conservation through (multiple answers possible)

 Requirements for planning and design include (multiple answers possible)

- Development and implementation of a commissioning plan
- Integrative design for water conservation
- Requirements for indoor water efficiency
- Requirements for outdoor water efficiency
- Requirements for process water efficiency
- Requirements for water supply
- Requirements for minimum water use intensity post-occupancy
- Other

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Entire document [Link redacted]

 Show investors Common water efficiency measures include (multiple answers possible)

- Commissioning of water systems
- Drip/smart irrigation
- Drought tolerant/low-water landscaping
- High-efficiency/dry fixtures
- Leak detection system
- Occupant sensors
- On-site wastewater treatment
- Reuse of stormwater and greywater for non-potable applications
- Other

 Operational water efficiency monitoring (multiple answers possible) Post-construction water monitoring

For on average years

- Sub-meter
- Water use analytics
- Other

 No

DEV - WASTE MANAGEMENT

Waste Management

DWS1

Max. score 5

Waste management strategy

Does the entity promote efficient on-site solid waste management during the construction phase of its development projects?

Yes

The entity promotes efficient solid waste management through (multiple answers possible)

Management and construction practices (multiple answers possible)

- Construction waste signage
- Diversion rate requirements
- Education of employees/contractors on waste management
- Incentives for contractors for recovering, reusing and recycling building materials
- Targets for waste stream recovery, reuse and recycling
- Waste management plans
- Waste separation facilities
- Other

On-site waste monitoring (multiple answers possible)

- Hazardous waste monitoring/audit
- Non-hazardous waste monitoring/audit
- Other

No

DEV - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT
Health, Safety & Well-being

DSE1

Max. score 2

Health & Well-being

Does the entity take measures to incorporate occupant health & well-being in its development projects?

 Yes

The entity addresses health and well-being in the design of its project/building through (multiple answers possible)

 Requirements for planning and design, including (multiple answers possible)

- Health Impact Assessment
- Integrated planning process
- Other planning process

 Common occupant health and well-being measures, including (multiple answers possible)

- Acoustic comfort
- Active design features
- Biophilic design
- Commissioning
- Daylight
- Ergonomic workplace
- Humidity
- Illumination
- Inclusive design
- Indoor air quality
- Natural ventilation
- Occupant controls
- Physical activity
- Thermal comfort
- Water quality
- Other

 Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible)

- Occupant education
- Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction)

For on average years

 Other No

DSE2.1

Max. score 1.5 | Score 2020: 1.5/1.5 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

On-site safety

Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects?

 Yes

The entity promotes on-site safety through (multiple answers possible)

- Availability of medical personnel
- Communicating safety information
- Continuously improving safety performance
- Demonstrating safety leadership
- Entrenching safety practices
- Managing safety risks
- On-site health and safety professional (coordinator)
- Personal Protective and Life Saving Equipment
- Promoting design for safety
- Training curriculum
- Other

 No

DSE2.2

Max. score 1.5

Safety metrics

Does the entity monitor safety indicators at construction sites?

 Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

 Injury rate Fatalities Near misses Lost day rate Severity rate Other metrics No

DEV - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Supply Chain

DSE3.1

Max. score 2

Contractor ESG requirements

Does the entity have ESG requirements in place for its contractors?

 Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

 Business ethics Child labor Community engagement Environmental process standards Environmental product standards Health and well-being Human rights Human health-based product standards Occupational safety Labor standards and working conditions Other

Percentage of projects covered

 No

DSE3.2

Max. score 2

Contractor monitoring methods

Does the entity monitor its contractors' compliance with its ESG-specific requirements in place for this entity?

 Yes

Select all methods used (multiple answers possible)

 Contractor ESG training Contractors provide update reports on environmental and social aspects during construction External audits by third party Internal audits Weekly/monthly (on-site) meetings and/or ad hoc site visits

Percentage of projects visited during the reporting year

 Other

- No
- Not applicable

DEV - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Community Impact and Engagement

DSE4

Max. score 2

Community engagement program

Does the entity have a community engagement program through its development projects in place that includes ESG-specific issues?

- Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

- Community health and well-being
- Effective communication and process to address community concerns
- Employment creation in local communities
- Enhancement programs for public spaces
- ESG education program
- Research and network activities
- Resilience, including assistance or support in case of disaster
- Supporting charities and community groups
- Other

Describe the community engagement program (maximum 250 words)

Our Social Responsibility Committee drives our engagement related to our support of charities and local community groups and is responsible for oversight of our charitable and volunteer activities. The Committee partners with organizations that share our desire to support research, education, and other activities related to healthcare (in line with our company's core business strategy) and emergency disaster relief (in line with our commitment to addressing climate risk). It also aims to support local community initiatives in the areas where our employees work and live by partnering with and donating to local organizations. In 2020, we donated nearly half a million dollars to entities aligned with the Committee's mission to support the advancement of healthcare and emergency disaster relief. The Committee engages local healthcare organizations that support or mission, and invites representatives to present and speak at meetings before a charitable contribution decision is made. As part of the monitoring process, the representative is asked to come back and provide an updated presentation to the Committee to show how the contributions were used and the progress made.

- No

DSE5.1

Max. score 2

Community impact assessment

Does the entity assess the potential long-term socio-economic impact of its development projects on the community as part of planning and pre-construction?

- Yes

Select the areas of impact that are assessed (multiple answers possible)

- Housing affordability
- Impact on crime levels
- Livability score
- Local income generated
- Local job creation
- Local residents' well-being
- Walkability score
- Other

- No

DSE5.2

Max. score 2

Community impact monitoring

Does the entity have a systematic process to monitor the impact of development projects on the local community during different stages of the project?

Yes

The entity's process includes (multiple answers possible)

- Analysis and interpretation of monitoring data
- Development and implementation of a communication plan
- Development and implementation of a community monitoring plan
- Development and implementation of a risk mitigation plan
- Identification of nuisance and/or disruption risks
- Identification of stakeholders and impacted groups
- Management practices to ensure accountability for performance goals and issues identified during community monitoring
- Other

Describe the monitoring process (maximum 250 words)

We regularly analyze and monitor data throughout the development process to determine impacts on stakeholders. We take the following steps through a comprehensive plan: (i) identify stakeholders and impacted groups, such as the community; (ii) establish clear, measurable objectives at the outset of a project to determine risk and mitigation strategies, including nuisance and disruption risks, such as traffic flow or noise pollution; (iii) communicate the objectives to contractors and suppliers, and if necessary, other stakeholders such as the community; (iv) receive and analyze real-time data in each phase of the project; and (v) work with contractors and stakeholders to assess results against risks and objectives, determine whether a mitigation plan is needed, and determine whether future adjustments are required. For example, as part of community impact due to new construction, Healthpeak performs a Traffic Impact Study (TIS) plan which includes traffic impact analysis (see example from 2018, which is reflective of similar plans used during the reporting year. This enables Healthpeak and the community to see the impact of traffic due to a new development facility. Similarly, see an example of our Development Agreement Scope of Services, showing a consultant's obligation to hold meetings with tenants throughout construction "to provide updates to the progress of the construction and potential disruptions." With all developments, goals are established at the outset and clearly communicated to stakeholders, including the community. Management, monitoring and mitigation plans are outlined, along with annual surveys and triennial audit to assess and communicate performance results.

Provide applicable evidence

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See evidence cover sheet and entire document [redacted]

Show investors

No