Healthpeak Properties, Inc.

2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions
This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.
Read full terms of disclosure

.

09/30/2025, 05:27 pm

Contents

C1. Introduction 8

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response? 8

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response. 8

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization. 8

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years 9

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period? 9

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary. 9

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)? 9

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate. 11

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities? 11

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities. 12

(1.15) Which real estate and/or construction activities does your organization engage in? 392

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain? 392

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of? 393

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities 395

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? 395

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts? 397

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities? 397

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities. 398

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed? 410

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain? 410

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization? 412

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health? 416

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities 417

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? 417

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future. 418

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks. 428

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent? 430

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations? 431

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)? 432

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? 432

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future. 432

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities. 439

C4. Governance 441

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body? 441

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization? 442

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues. 442

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues? 446

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization? 448

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). 448

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets? 453

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). 454

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues? 456

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies. 456

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives? 458

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment? 458

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year. 460

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response? 463

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication. 464

C5. Business strategy 466

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes? 466

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis. 466

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis. 474

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan? 476

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning? 479

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy 480

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning. 483

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition? 484

(5.4.1) Quantify the amount and percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition. 484

(5.5) Does your organization invest in research and development (R&D) of low-carbon products or services related to your sector activities? 486

(5.5.6) Provide details of your organization's investments in low-carbon R&D for real estate and construction activities over the last three years. 486

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year? 489

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities? 490

(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization's internal price on carbon. 490

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues? 493

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment? 493

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues? 494

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process? 494

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place. 495

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues. 496

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain. 498

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement? 502

(5.13.1) Specify the CDP Supply Chain members that have prompted your implementation of mutually beneficial environmental initiatives and provide information on the initiatives. 502

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach 506

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data. 506

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change 508

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP? 508

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data? 508

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year? 508

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2? 509

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions. 510

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions. 510

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure? 511

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions. 511

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e? 519

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e? 519

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions. 519

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions. 529

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements. 529

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements. 530

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements. 531

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year? 533

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year. 533

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure? 540

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization? 540

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type? 540

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP). 540
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area. 541
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. 542
(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division. 542
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. 542
(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division. 543
(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response. 543
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response? 544
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy? 544
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken. 544
(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh. 545
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel. 548
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type. 549
(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7. 552
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year. 555
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations. 556
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business. 557
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year? 559
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets. 559
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year? 575
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets. 575
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases. 580
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings. 580
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below. 580
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities? 588
(7.72) Does your organization assess the life cycle emissions of new construction or major renovation projects? 590
(7.72.1) Provide details of how your organization assesses the life cycle emissions of new construction or major renovation projects. 591

(7.72.2) Can you provide embodied carbon emissions data for any of your organization's new construction or major renovation projects completed in the last three years? 592
(7.72.3) Provide details of the embodied carbon emissions of new construction or major renovation projects completed in the last three years. 593
(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services? 596
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products? 596
(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products. 596
(7.76) Does your organization manage net zero carbon buildings? 598
(7.77) Did your organization complete new construction or major renovations projects designed as net zero carbon in the last three years? 598
(7.78) Explain your organization's plan to manage, develop or construct net zero carbon buildings, or explain why you do not plan to do so. 598
(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year? 599

C9. Environmental performance - Water security 600

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data? 600
(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions. 600
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored? 601
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change? 606
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change. 607
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? 608
(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year. 609
(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified? 898
(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member? 901
(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency. 902
(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority? 902
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact? 903
(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets? 903
(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories. 903
(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made. 905

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity 908
(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments? 908
(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities? 908
(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year? 908
C13. Further information & sign off 912
(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party? 912
(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used? 912
(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored. 914
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response. 914
(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website. 915

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:
☑ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:
☑ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:
☑ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Healthpeak Properties, Inc. (NYSE: PEAK), an S&P 500 company, owns, operates, and develops high-quality real estate focused on healthcare discovery and delivery in the United States. We are a Maryland corporation organized in 1985 and qualify as a self-administered real estate investment trust ("REIT"). We are headquartered in Denver, Colorado, with additional offices in California, Tennessee, Wisconsin, and Massachusetts. Our diverse portfolio is comprised of U.S. investments in the following healthcare segments: (i) Lab buildings, (ii) Outpatient Medical facilities, and (iii) Continuing Care Retirement Communities (CCRCs). Environmental Boundary: Healthpeak includes properties where the company has operational control—i.e., buildings that we maintain, provide service to, and/or have the authority to implement operating policies with respect to energy usage, water usage and/or waste disposal. Where Healthpeak retains operational control over a limited space of the property, the proportion of the consumption controlled by Healthpeak has been reported. For 2024, 474 properties out of the 760 properties in our portfolio (assets under management), were controlled by Healthpeak. In addition to this, 100% of the total portfolio where Healthpeak did not have operational control were also tracked and estimated for in 2024 for energy and/or water consumption and greenhouse gas (GHG or carbon) emissions. Labor Metric Boundary: Healthpeak reports on persons employed by Healthpeak as of December 31, 2024 (387 persons).
[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	12/31/2024	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

2700449

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US42250P1030

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

DOC

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ No

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ No
[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply
☑ United States of America

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

(1.8.1) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

Select from:

☑ Yes, for all facilities

(1.8.2) Comment

Yes, all property geolocation (addresses) can be found on our public website by downloading the "Complete Property List" excel file here (updated quarterly): https://www.healthpeak.com/properties/
[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 1

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.781807

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.548003

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 2

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 2

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.625211

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.124603

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 3

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 3

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.39781

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.15023

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 4

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.949971

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.15496

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 5

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.028284

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.883608

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 6

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.685956

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.855811

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 7

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.300439

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.37348

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 8

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 8

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.46475

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.26462

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 9

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 9

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.531741

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.902539

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 10

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 10

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.012702

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.003328

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 11

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 11

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.780815

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.571934

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 12

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 12

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.033991

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.909174

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 13

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 13

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.042421

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.023998

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 14

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 14

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.299594

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.373399

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 15

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 15

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.657181

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.620714

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 16

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 16

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.683388

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.076717

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 17

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 17

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.077326

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.336628

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 18

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 18

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.676461

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.80089

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 19

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 19

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.75754

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-78.82255

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 20

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 20

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.664156

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.399012

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 21

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 21

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.427302

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.682421

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 22

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 22

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.638579

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.999105

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 23

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 23

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.608345

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.717013

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 24

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 24

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.541374

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-112.272471

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 25

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 25

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.043951

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.980242

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 26

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 26

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.299291

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.495876

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 27

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 27

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.846449

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.091324

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 28

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 28

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.789164

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.557856

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 29

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 29

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.471586

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.225558

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 30

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 30

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.338528

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.407933

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 31

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 31

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.194859

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.807636

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 32

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 32

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.338706

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.406302

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 33

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 33

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.187062

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.67672

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 34

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 34

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.472201

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.224858

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 35

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 35

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.26826

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.555199

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 36

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 36

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.26826

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

80.555199

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 37

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 37

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.979102

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.456777

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 38

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 38

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.937017

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.102827

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 39

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 39

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.911513

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.420073

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 40

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 40

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.432652

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.432754

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 41

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 41

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.805922

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.773002

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 42

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 42

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.808115

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.778913

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 43

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 43

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.808817

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.779572

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 44

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 44

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.805885

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.771799

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 45

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 45

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.848015

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.907664

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 46

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 46

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.15509

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-103.646766

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 47

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 47

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.262305

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.111867

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 48

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 48

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.13597

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.040144

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 49

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 49

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.135819

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.039062

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 50

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 50

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.927258

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.370376

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 51

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 51

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.924837

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.363784

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 52

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 52

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.635073

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.96067

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 53

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 53

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.153609

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.586234

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 54

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 54

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.06737

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.630666

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 55

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 55

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.066196

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.628925

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 56

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 56

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.065896

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.630849

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 57

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 57

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.065059

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.631333

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 58

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 58

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.064485

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.630437

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 59

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 59

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.039415

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.017956

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 60

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 60

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.120134

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.160535

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 61

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 61

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.100711

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.920153

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 62

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 62

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.002942

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.925427

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 63

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 63

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.804169

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.031522

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 64

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 64

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.983365

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.842606

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 65

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 65

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.140717

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.946081

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 66

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 66

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.086618

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.790619

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 67

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 67

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.740709

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.634586

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 68

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 68

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.242709

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.385144

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 69

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 69

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.138704

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.947794

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 70

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 70

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.133109

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.915433

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 71

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 71

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.028048

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.11416

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 72

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 72

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.0291

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.113091

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 73

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 73

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.087721

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.791462

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 74

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 74

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.613546

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.583575

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 75

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 75

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.267779

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.912041

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 76

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 76

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.78048

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.477668

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 77

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 77

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.018943

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.592987

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 78

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 78

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.219165

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.53499

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 79

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 79

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.234751

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.539594

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 80

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 80

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.230089

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.368718

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 81

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 81

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.66339

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.3987

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 82

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 82

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.656116

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.609474

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 83

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 83

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.656768

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.389668

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 84

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 84

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.662354

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.39602

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 85

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 85

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.663542

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.398652

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 86

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 86

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.663619

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.398658

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 87

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 87

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.504551

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.219231

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 88

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 88

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.504534

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.21981

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 89

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 89

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.501849

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.219269

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 90

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 90

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.50227

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.219816

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 91

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 91

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.502572

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.220395

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 92

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 92

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.502921

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.221001

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 93

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 93

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.503419

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.220942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 94

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 94

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.5033

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.219387

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 95

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 95

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.502523

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.218955

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 96

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 96

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.503696

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.215379

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 97

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 97

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.501589

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.213073

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 98

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 98

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.500908

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.213438

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 99

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 99

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.501436

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.212086

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 100

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 100

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.500402

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.212349

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 101

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 101

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.49977

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.212909

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 102

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 102

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.548504

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.862443

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 103

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 103

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.929023

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.939524

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 104

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 104

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.56961

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.077075

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 105

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 105

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.404023

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.970549

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 106

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 106

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.53012

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.8746

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 107

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 107

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.556108

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.000738

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 108

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 108

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.530193

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.870313

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 109

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 109

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.745173

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.965652

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 110

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 110

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.691092

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.832624

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 111

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 111

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.690609

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.832684

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 112

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 112

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.967368

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.752839

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 113

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 113

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.603811

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.090467

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 114

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 114

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.605142

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.090057

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 115

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 115

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.653559

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.982213

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 116

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 116

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.653431

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.97868

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 117

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 117

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.653471

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.979335

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 118

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 118

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.653478

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-103.980214

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 119

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 119

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.690332

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.830143

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 120

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 120

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.529957

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.871516

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 121

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 121

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.528783

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.871927

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 122

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 122

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.864198

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.986476

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 123

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 123

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.15834

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.63544

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 124

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 124

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.890127

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.746745

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 125

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 125

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.68727

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.8311

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 126

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 126

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.653646

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.392033

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 127

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 127

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.161146

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.555441

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 128

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 128

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.628038

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.645128

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 129

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 129

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.484139

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.633525

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 130

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 130

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.160645

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-115.170563

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 131

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 131

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.809396

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-114.116514

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 132

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 132

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.40013

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.682652

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 133

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 133

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.481234

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.211057

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 134

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 134

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.132067

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-115.135818

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 135

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 135

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.59737

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.167845

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 136

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 136

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.41228

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.08085

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 137

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 137

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.152768

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.809458

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 138

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 138

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.15428

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.81153

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 139

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 139

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.152612

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.810817

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 140

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 140

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.156005

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.808695

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 141

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 141

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.152962

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.811488

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 142

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 142

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.04261

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.7727

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 143

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 143

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.154154

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.805881

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 144

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 144

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.153466

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.808729

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 145

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 145

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.131647

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-115.137753

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 146

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 146

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.152175

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.80668

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 147

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 147

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.07183

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-115.295023

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 148

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 148

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.154753

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.806054

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 149

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 149

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.628618

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.415621

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 150

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 150

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.828358

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.791396

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 151

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 151

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.048876

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.993436

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 152

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 152

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.220014

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.166608

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 153

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 153

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.739541

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.338184

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 154

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 154

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.885304

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.467069

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 155

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 155

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.833967

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.126128

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 156

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 156

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.893967

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.21032

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 157

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 157

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.962468

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.018477

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 158

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 158

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.963105

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.017289

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 159

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 159

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.999637

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.163951

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 160

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 160

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.314561

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.177822

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 161

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 161

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.150662

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-92.036346

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 162

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 162

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.724794

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.620034

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 163

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 163

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.624168

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.123251

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 164

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 164

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.624021

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.125096

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 165

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 165

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.625126

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.123546

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 166

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 166

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.62397

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.126212

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 167

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 167

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.623741

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.124689

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 168

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 168

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.623227

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.124107

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 169

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 169

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.624391

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.124174

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 170

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 170

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.67414

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.38363

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 171

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 171

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.67414

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.38363

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 172

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 172

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.67413

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.38391

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 173

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 173

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.6741

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.38408

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 174

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 174

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.6741

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.38408

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 175

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 175

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.625115

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.128142

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 176

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 176

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.670058

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.390097

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 177

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 177

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.626435

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.12392

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 178

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 178

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.66189

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.390045

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 179

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 179

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.661586

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.390453

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 180

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 180

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.626285

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.123889

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 181

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 181

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.625022

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.127028

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 182

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 182

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.16438

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.97038

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 183

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 183

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.091425

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.996796

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 184

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 184

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.767737

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-109.859596

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 185

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 185

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.242425

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-110.991569

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 186

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 186

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.762506

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.827922

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 187

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 187

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.886693

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.869225

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 188

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 188

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.686048

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.856436

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 189

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 189

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.042665

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.961103

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 190

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 190

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.762818

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.825942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 191

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 191

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.762818

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.825942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 192

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 192

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.768218

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.825942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 193

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 193

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.952879

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.261158

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 194

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 194

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.089833

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.993819

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 195

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 195

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.955768

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.260501

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 196

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 196

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.686388

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.857815

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 197

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 197

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.165207

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.969207

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 198

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 198

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.625253

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.126051

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 199

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 199

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.759528

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.938277

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 200

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 200

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.030283

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.036998

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 201

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 201

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.028602

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.016221

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 202

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 202

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.163242

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.970458

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 203

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 203

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.88619

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.870767

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 204

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 204

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.183065

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.364528

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 205

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 205

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.884016

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.324491

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 206

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 206

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.616755

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.979023

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 207

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 207

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.292161

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.648966

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 208

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 208

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.810226

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.522873

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 209

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 209

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.259401

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.920101

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 210

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 210

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.280538

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.806814

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 211

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 211

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.280241

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.80602

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 212

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 212

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.825595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.968537

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 213

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 213

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.076714

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.724001

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 214

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 214

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.076214

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.722608

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 215

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 215

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.176726

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.607715

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 216

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 216

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.031

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.0921

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 217

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 217

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.396924

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-91.08597

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 218

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 218

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.646107

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.395317

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 219

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 219

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.64691

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.394744

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 220

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 220

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.618988

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.090146

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 221

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 221

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.6747

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.38461

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 222

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 222

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.645696

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.394374

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 223

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 223

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.646867

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.393499

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 224

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 224

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.641745

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.39454

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 225

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 225

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.676676

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.817155

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 226

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 226

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.646915

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.394096

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 227

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 227

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.624465

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.100114

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 228

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 228

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.67456

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.38566

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 229

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 229

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.558107

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.552813

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 230

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 230

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.383455

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.914337

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 231

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 231

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.176865

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.608073

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 232

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 232

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.656713

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.402265

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 233

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 233

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.65707

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.401004

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 234

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 234

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.655966

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.403236

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 235

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 235

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.679923

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.85469

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 236

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 236

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.656375

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.403815

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 237

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 237

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.510912

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.571413

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 238

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 238

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.09056

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.44878

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 239

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 239

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.336573

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.741766

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 240

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 240

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.336793

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.741255

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 241

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 241

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.175461

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.604321

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 242

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 242

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.205973

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.458702

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 243

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 243

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.655896

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.346039

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 244

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 244

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.656421

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.348117

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 245

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 245

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.529385

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.59059

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 246

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 246

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.311791

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.1683

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 247

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 247

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.305315

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.706965

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 248

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 248

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.993316

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.153944

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 249

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 249

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.708498

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.083395

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 250

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 250

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.707505

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.081715

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 251

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 251

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.756889

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.313135

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 252

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 252

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.236186

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.179621

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 253

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 253

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.39063

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.15235

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 254

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 254

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.238182

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.181422

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 255

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 255

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.636637

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.138403

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 256

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 256

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.6545

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.397

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 257

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 257

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.391949

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.15404

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 258

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 258

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.6545

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.397

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 259

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 259

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.389427

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.854561

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 260

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 260

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.6545

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.397

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 261

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 261

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.635346

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.095459

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 262

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 262

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.394727

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.143116

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 263

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 263

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.65268

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.399482

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 264

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 264

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.653661

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.397761

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 265

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 265

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.675327

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.923192

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 266

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 266

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.652392

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.394842

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 267

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 267

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.858734

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.530281

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 268

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 268

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.487363

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.986572

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 269

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 269

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.6545

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.397

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 270

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 270

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.031639

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.854138

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 271

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 271

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.664759

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.392978

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 272

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 272

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.372636

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.971267

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 273

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 273

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.665052

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.392366

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 274

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 274

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.664071

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.392447

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 275

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 275

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.664954

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.390988

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 276

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 276

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.652402

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.398496

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 277

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 277

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.788024

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.778704

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 278

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 278

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.589081

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.892506

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 279

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 279

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.65326

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.400942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 280

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 280

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.91396

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.7712

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 281

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 281

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.56756

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.110409

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 282

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 282

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.6552

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.397

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 283

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 283

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.651621

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.39255

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 284

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 284

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.486908

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.986627

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 285

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 285

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.650975

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.392598

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 286

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 286

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.39756

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.14943

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 287

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 287

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.650911

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.393752

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 288

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 288

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.653883

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.399116

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 289

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 289

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.652922

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.393113

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 290

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 290

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.653492

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.398904

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 291

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 291

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.746048

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.653599

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 292

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 292

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.967454

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.36215

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 293

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 293

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.746048

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.653599

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 294

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 294

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.903962

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.352644

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 295

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 295

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.736835

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.208346

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 296

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 296

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.639331

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-112.193041

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 297

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 297

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.884103

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.322122

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 298

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 298

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.78572

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-112.130364

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 299

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 299

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.7513

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.29111

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 300

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 300

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.163348

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.010564

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 301

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 301

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.46294

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-112.291958

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 302

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 302

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.463702

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-112.350448

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 303

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 303

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.585657

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.88746

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 304

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 304

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.57483

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.88076

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 305

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 305

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.65141

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-112.186051

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 306

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 306

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.050863

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.051897

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 307

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 307

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.862901

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.512205

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 308

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 308

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.463558

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.07511

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 309

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 309

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.467095

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.069751

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 310

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 310

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.989573

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.916299

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 311

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 311

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.681507

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.083449

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 312

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 312

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.154519

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.503542

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 313

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 313

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.292745

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.326226

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 314

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 314

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.245057

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.446776

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 315

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 315

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.24999

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.750562

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 316

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 316

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.245622

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.446954

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 317

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 317

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.444228

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.214532

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 318

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 318

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.246219

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.447879

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 319

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 319

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.607791

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.320144

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 320

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 320

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.190757

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.51937

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 321

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 321

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.590028

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.975939

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 322

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 322

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.536218

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.889122

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 323

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 323

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.572721

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.853942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 324

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 324

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.588993

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.97521

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 325

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 325

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.633982

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.806767

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 326

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 326

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.313117

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.713324

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 327

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 327

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.610344

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.322067

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 328

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 328

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.609782

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.322929

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 329

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 329

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.610635

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.322711

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 330

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 330

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.609534

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.324127

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 331

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 331

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.36208

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.847384

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 332

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 332

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.364362

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.850678

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 333

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 333

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.244424

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.949137

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 334

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 334

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.607491

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.616379

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 335

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 335

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.07621

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.48035

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 336

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 336

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.986614

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.731771

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 337

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 337

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.12172

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.76884

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 338

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 338

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.11643

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.76877

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 339

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 339

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.67061

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.831712

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 340

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 340

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.240729

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.84119

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 341

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 341

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.020305

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.768509

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 342

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 342

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.674804

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.822445

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 343

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 343

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.961695

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.366314

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 344

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 344

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.020745

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.766923

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 345

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 345

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.91901

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.612562

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 346

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 346

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.981235

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.141729

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 347

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 347

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.695475

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.297745

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 348

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 348

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.91285

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.649535

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 349

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 349

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.727799

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.593322

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 350

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 350

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.982666

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.145708

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 351

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 351

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.668109

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.447974

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 352

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 352

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.929993

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.335949

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 353

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 353

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.90233

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.33212

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 354

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 354

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.194955

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.350466

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 355

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 355

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.909719

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.65138

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 356

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 356

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.274005

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.495608

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 357

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 357

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.215043

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.721638

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 358

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 358

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.130845

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.835824

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 359

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 359

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.216318

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.723589

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 360

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 360

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.049352

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.348907

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 361

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 361

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.74864

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.27121

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 362

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 362

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.160664

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.555371

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 363

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 363

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.160075

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.554662

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 364

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 364

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.242741

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.496333

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 365

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 365

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.208465

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.678862

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 366

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 366

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.04321

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.879895

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 367

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 367

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.054219

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.073968

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 368

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 368

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.8994

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.196

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 369

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 369

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.90114

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.208191

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 370

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 370

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.891367

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.230365

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 371

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 371

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.900943

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.208956

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 372

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 372

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.8904

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.231

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 373

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 373

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.894551

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.231292

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 374

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 374

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.894642

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-116.232109

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 375

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 375

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.893074

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.230076

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 376

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 376

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.491205

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.924237

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 377

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 377

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.891

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.232

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 378

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 378

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.489092

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.925852

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 379

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 379

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.892056

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-116.236861

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 380

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 380

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.570171

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-112.070079

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 381

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 381

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.899993

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.194951

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 382

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 382

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.67322

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-109.923743

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 383

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 383

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.18418

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.80116

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 384

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 384

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.06767

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.99548

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 385

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 385

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.452045

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.806228

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 386

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 386

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.74922

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.27135

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 387

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 387

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.391445

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.61

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 388

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 388

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.578703

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.881472

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 389

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 389

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.579427

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.882577

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 390

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 390

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.670463

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.920474

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 391

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 391

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.333922

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.891291

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 392

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 392

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.580419

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.883811

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 393

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 393

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.580678

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.882963

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 394

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 394

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.435571

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.042674

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 395

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 395

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.634353

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.095054

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 396

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 396

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.944391

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.237242

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 397

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 397

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.939984

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.158845

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 398

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 398

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.340153

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.461797

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 399

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 399

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.733505

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.345102

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 400

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 400

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.73093

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.333776

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 401

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 401

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.734569

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.345487

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 402

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 402

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.246536

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.750354

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 403

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 403

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.000252

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.43924

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 404

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 404

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.866449

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.232397

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 405

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 405

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.974159

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.444547

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 406

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 406

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.72901

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.593865

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 407

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 407

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.086913

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.574138

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 408

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 408

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.51028

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.69638

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 409

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 409

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.51037

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.6964

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 410

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 410

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.46538

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.66221

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 411

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 411

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.08241

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-123.0275

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 412

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 412

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.908721

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.243272

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 413

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 413

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.91

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.7732

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 414

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 414

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.989642

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.075913

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 415

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 415

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.906312

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.237918

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 416

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 416

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.908744

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.239662

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 417

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 417

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.905615

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.241075

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 418

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 418

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.90587

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.242754

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 419

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 419

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.91

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.7732

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 420

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 420

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

61.211222

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-148.827131

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 421

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 421

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.906845

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.242748

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 422

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 422

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.730984

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.332915

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 423

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 423

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.90752

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.241482

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 424

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 424

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.048025

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.258912

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 425

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 425

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.828646

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.213631

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 426

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 426

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.93428

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.28857

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 427

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 427

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.91

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.7732

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 428

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 428

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.911964

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.774423

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 429

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 429

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.911838

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.772417

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 430

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 430

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.284959

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.467225

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 431

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 431

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.284241

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.468783

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 432

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 432

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.283858

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.468115

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 433

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 433

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.285507

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.467491

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 434

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 434

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.900865

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.957452

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 435

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 435

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.900811

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.957442

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 436

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 436

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.904014

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.957115

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 437

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 437

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.653787

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.057234

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 438

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 438

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.749567

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-92.338265

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 439

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 439

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.340816

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.190239

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 440

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 440

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.2191

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.16881

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 441

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 441

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.045428

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.004729

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 442

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 442

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.691172

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.113017

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 443

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 443

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.305178

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.851924

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 444

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 444

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.785264

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.388344

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 445

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 445

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.178631

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.794452

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 446

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 446

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.051727

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.074635

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 447

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 447

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.052595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.074841

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 448

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 448

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.432789

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.80284

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 449

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 449

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.665437

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.416293

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 450

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 450

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.664839

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.417146

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 451

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 451

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.178094

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.793165

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 452

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 452

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.60759

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.355698

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 453

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 453

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.6498

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.07857

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 454

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 454

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.954357

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-92.933125

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 455

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 455

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.037207

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-92.85129

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 456

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 456

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.971432

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.414932

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 457

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 457

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.92128

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-92.476221

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 458

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 458

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.236141

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.632162

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 459

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 459

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.236119

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.631486

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 460

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 460

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.746065

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.359954

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 461

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 461

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.2346

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.6331

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 462

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 462

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.436599

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.733608

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 463

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 463

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.23457

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.633179

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 464

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 464

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.176135

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.793672

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 465

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 465

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.664427

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.391546

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 466

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 466

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.251906

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.748929

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 467

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 467

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.663355

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.391906

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 468

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 468

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.6637

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.391663

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 469

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 469

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.664145

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.389918

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 470

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 470

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.663376

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.389942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 471

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 471

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.252218

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.751884

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 472

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 472

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.006969

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.144111

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 473

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 473

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.938107

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.021754

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 474

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 474

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.934004

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.026589

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 475

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 475

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.6631

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.389127

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 476

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 476

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.936658

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.02244

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 477

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 477

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.95147

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.327114

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 478

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 478

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.084938

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.437806

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 479

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 479

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.959014

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.96192

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 480

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 480

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.934004

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.026589

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 481

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 481

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.934695

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.026689

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 482

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 482

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.936784

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.022526

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 483

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 483

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.937355

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.022966

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 484

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 484

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.056434

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.358867

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 485

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 485

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.561145

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.890328

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 486

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 486

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.488322

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.924524

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 487

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 487

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.112251

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.080691

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 488

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 488

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.235318

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.643405

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 489

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 489

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.229075

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.641437

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 490

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 490

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.228991

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.641624

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 491

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 491

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.03249

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.526691

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 492

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 492

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.033175

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.525577

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 493

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 493

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.39035

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.14777

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 494

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 494

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.38976

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.14752

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 495

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 495

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.3919

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.14667

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 496

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 496

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.731924

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.386108

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 497

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 497

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.261033

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.828425

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 498

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 498

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.12207

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.25999

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 499

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 499

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.126166

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.260172

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 500

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 500

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.26369

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.202855

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 501

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 501

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.606738

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.089871

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 502

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 502

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.600856

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.09103

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 503

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 503

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.010015

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.545196

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 504

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 504

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.595535

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.092706

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 505

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 505

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.594999

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.09308

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 506

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 506

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.88615

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.465724

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 507

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 507

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.113774

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.675683

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 508

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 508

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.1141

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.67553

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 509

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 509

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.913957

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.774931

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 510

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 510

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.159711

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.637399

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 511

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 511

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.159711

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.637399

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 512

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 512

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.167289

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.73768

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 513

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 513

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.29995

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.41048

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 514

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 514

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.299155

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.411932

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 515

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 515

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.300871

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.411923

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 516

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 516

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.530699

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.379997

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 517

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 517

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.601689

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.662647

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 518

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 518

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.507247

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.788934

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 519

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 519

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.763873

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.643849

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 520

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 520

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.950675

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.327341

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 521

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 521

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.53334

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.53465

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 522

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 522

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.696652

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.863944

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 523

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 523

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.980822

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.86516

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 524

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 524

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.615946

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.915598

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 525

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 525

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.740877

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.212812

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 526

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 526

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.246461

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.778774

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 527

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 527

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.741128

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.213263

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 528

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 528

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.900925

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-115.217475

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 529

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 529

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.895518

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.210151

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 530

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 530

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.692905

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.344768

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 531

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 531

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.751919

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.390159

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 532

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 532

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.752982

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.390172

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 533

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 533

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.4654

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.26593

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 534

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 534

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.46618

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.26375

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 535

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 535

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.893498

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.207816

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 536

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 536

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.893876

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.208551

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 537

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 537

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.88

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.204734

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 538

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 538

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.878281

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.205995

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 539

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 539

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.886795

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.180885

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 540

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 540

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.886927

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.182396

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 541

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 541

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.901948

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.217925

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 542

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 542

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.900925

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.217475

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 543

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 543

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.620348

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.490061

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 544

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 544

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.620289

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.492785

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 545

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 545

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.619283

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.49263

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 546

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 546

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.46647

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.26533

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 547

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 547

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.821245

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.409455

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 548

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 548

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.816197

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.408145

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 549

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 549

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.966789

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.443659

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 550

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 550

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.822596

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.255754

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 551

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 551

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.919924

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.243202

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 552

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 552

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.852631

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.323076

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 553

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 553

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.85426

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.324716

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 554

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 554

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.816991

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.407129

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 555

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 555

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.85426

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.324716

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 556

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 556

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.817717

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.411134

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 557

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 557

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.817546

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.410517

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 558

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 558

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.57684

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.6892

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 559

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 559

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.957458

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-78.434603

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 560

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 560

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.192169

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.912942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 561

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 561

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.197787

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.902545

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 562

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 562

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.415466

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.770008

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 563

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 563

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.160847

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.752349

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 564

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 564

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.159483

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.752172

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 565

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 565

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.160193

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.752481

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 566

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 566

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.907012

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.349362

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 567

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 567

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.41817

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.25424

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 568

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 568

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.382873

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.324411

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 569

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 569

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.153558

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.535917

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 570

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 570

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.960205

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.80026

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 571

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 571

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.736866

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.895641

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 572

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 572

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.244959

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.750304

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 573

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 573

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.324744

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.623074

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 574

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 574

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.041188

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.258164

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 575

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 575

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.1627

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.7816

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 576

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 576

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.176582

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-78.23781

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 577

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 577

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.175545

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.237813

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 578

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 578

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.541454

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.124389

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 579

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 579

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.541769

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.124027

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 580

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 580

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.393779

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.986821

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 581

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 581

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.723051

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.388752

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 582

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 582

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.336309

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.744707

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 583

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 583

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.498785

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.820225

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 584

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 584

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.423015

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.244726

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 585

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 585

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.423713

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-70.23939

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 586

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 586

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.42366

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.242741

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 587

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 587

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.327246

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.105247

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 588

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 588

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.287453

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.255988

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 589

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 589

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.287453

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.255988

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 590

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 590

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.956366

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.193755

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 591

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 591

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.776614

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-89.822249

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 592

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 592

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.69439

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.40067

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 593

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 593

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.561086

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.388977

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 594

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 594

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.56204

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.388975

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 595

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 595

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.733769

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.300926

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 596

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 596

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.694535

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.401065

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 597

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 597

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.77621

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.746027

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 598

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 598

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.776075

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.746321

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 599

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 599

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.20166

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.63136

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 600

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 600

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.169329

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.500534

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 601

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 601

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.202427

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.631594

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 602

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 602

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.784793

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.321546

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 603

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 603

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.894778

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.637933

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 604

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 604

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.89721

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.434008

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 605

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 605

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.756518

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.346869

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 606

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 606

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.39791

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.14913

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 607

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 607

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.39849

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.14744

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 608

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 608

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.39849

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.14744

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 609

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 609

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.82816

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.215314

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 610

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 610

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.66339

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.3987

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 611

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 611

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.662358

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.397473

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 612

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 612

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.274076

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.496944

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 613

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 613

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.06179

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.696862

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 614

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 614

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.061792

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.696966

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 615

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 615

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.231813

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.63669

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 616

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 616

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.906217

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-69.890354

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 617

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 617

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.967454

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.36215

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 618

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 618

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.963718

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.365378

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 619

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 619

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.523871

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.470953

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 620

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 620

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.259743

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-101.331484

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 621

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 621

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.268174

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.947615

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 622

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 622

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.23619

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.179623

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 623

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 623

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.736838

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.594308

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 624

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 624

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.238949

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.031362

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 625

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 625

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.321938

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.021483

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 626

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 626

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.457071

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.386285

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 627

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 627

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.456595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.387646

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 628

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 628

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.977072

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.990506

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 629

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 629

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.947281

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.899432

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 630

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 630

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.880289

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.057364

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 631

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 631

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.185136

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.225594

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 632

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 632

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.181358

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.22098

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 633

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 633

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.352121

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.984356

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 634

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 634

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.688698

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.249391

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 635

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 635

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.348685

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.949382

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 636

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 636

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.043077

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.260524

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 637

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 637

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.530207

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.589874

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 638

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 638

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.991089

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-102.156572

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 639

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 639

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.634376

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.169534

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 640

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 640

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.058931

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.40336

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 641

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 641

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.17794

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-119.191215

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 642

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 642

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.317078

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.584204

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 643

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 643

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.237943

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.466117

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 644

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 644

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.92596

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.30738

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 645

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 645

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.07735

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.27947

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 646

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 646

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.00764

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.56088

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 647

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 647

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.925634

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.308007

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 648

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 648

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.62076

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.139003

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 649

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 649

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.134739

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.569472

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 650

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 650

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.160891

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.545156

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 651

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 651

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.633929

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.146677

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 652

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 652

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.128292

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.501629

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 653

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 653

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.39692

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.837878

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 654

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 654

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.397116

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.836685

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 655

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 655

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.39718

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.837413

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 656

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 656

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.397956

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.837956

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 657

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 657

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.928333

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.161971

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 658

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 658

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.981236

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.442691

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 659

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 659

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.46924

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.61012

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 660

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 660

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.46897

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.201243

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 661

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 661

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.103195

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.533932

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 662

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 662

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.912175

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.043423

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 663

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 663

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.911873

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.043895

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 664

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 664

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.911445

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.043171

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 665

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 665

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.912003

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.042703

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 666

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 666

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.911874

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.044979

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 667

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 667

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.597121

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.093099

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 668

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 668

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.84808

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.784946

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 669

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 669

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.04999

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.563669

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 670

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 670

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.014727

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.786592

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 671

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 671

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.364338

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.816654

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 672

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 672

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.497466

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.62954

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 673

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 673

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.950599

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.96282

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 674

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 674

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.763586

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-92.459256

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 675

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 675

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.467874

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.065881

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 676

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 676

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.467776

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.068355

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 677

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 677

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.971952

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.072756

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 678

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 678

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.80326

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.861152

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 679

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 679

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.995361

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.72174

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 680

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 680

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.253466

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.455613

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 681

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 681

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.9124

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.00944

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 682

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 682

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.77705

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.4691

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 683

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 683

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.77459

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.46898

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 684

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 684

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.77311

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.46942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 685

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 685

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.73143

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.26078

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 686

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 686

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.608348

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.32708

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 687

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 687

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.59847

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.03201

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 688

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 688

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.547728

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.117381

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 689

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 689

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.310119

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.48715

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 690

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 690

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.083199

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-89.789563

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 691

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 691

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.99497

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.569601

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 692

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 692

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.568628

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.466453

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 693

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 693

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.81171

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.43033

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 694

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 694

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.81077

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.4307

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 695

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 695

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.58327

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.20647

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 696

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 696

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.58365

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.20603

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 697

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 697

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.69358

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.52124

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 698

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 698

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.69419

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.5219

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 699

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 699

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.69262

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.51872

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 700

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 700

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.69292

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.52432

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 701

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 701

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.17329

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.45465

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 702

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 702

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.17407

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.45268

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 703

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 703

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.17554

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.45404

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 704

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 704

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.804617

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.103809

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 705

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 705

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.982499

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.252337

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 706

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 706

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.683863

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.089723

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 707

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 707

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.745231

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.117996

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 708

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 708

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.719051

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.902494

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 709

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 709

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.845454

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.202754

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 710

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 710

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.490854

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.735182

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 711

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 711

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.897557

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.965488

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 712

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 712

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.149258

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.054062

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 713

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 713

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.17553

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.4544

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 714

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 714

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.216881

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.541866

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 715

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 715

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.257672

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.868014

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 716

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 716

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.180955

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.135791

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 717

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 717

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.213832

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.732588

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 718

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 718

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.10605

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.68436

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 719

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 719

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.940708

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.04125

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 720

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 720

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.994167

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.575318

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 721

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 721

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.48422

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-70.493206

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 722

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 722

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.169659

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.848654

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 723

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 723

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.903778

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.495167

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 724

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 724

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.169659

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.848654

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 725

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 725

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.957715

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-92.288369

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 726

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 726

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.74484

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.98883

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 727

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 727

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.85426

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.324716

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 728

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 728

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.920633

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.243475

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 729

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 729

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.929352

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.651186

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 730

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 730

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.60824

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-112.203712

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 731

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 731

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.813104

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-92.208198

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 732

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 732

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.327686

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.753872

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 733

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 733

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.533771

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.731449

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 734

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 734

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.808129

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.997036

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 735

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 735

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.000417

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.057422

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 736

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 736

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.568558

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.465907

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 737

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 737

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.262684

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.79064

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 738

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 738

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.238919

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-123.370087

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 739

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 739

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.558026

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.18554

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 740

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 740

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.400382

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.551624

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 741

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 741

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.73716

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.460334

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 742

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 742

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.792745

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.099394

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 743

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 743

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.724653

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.366806

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 744

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 744

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.774349

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.382746

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 745

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 745

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.7473

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.1307

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 746

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 746

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.825346

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.781854

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 747

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 747

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.924194

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.734642

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 748

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 748

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.386742

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.611901

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 749

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 749

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.006844

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.395357

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 750

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 750

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.743336

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.991178

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 751

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 751

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.637203

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.882191

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 752

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 752

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.633745

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.068252

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 753

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 753

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.2278

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.9402

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 754

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 754

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.999341

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.333465

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 755

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 755

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.711224

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.189013

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 756

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 756

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.545517

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.137102

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 757

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 757

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.585827

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.629

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 758

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 758

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.780013

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.534954

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 759

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 759

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.727791

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.425771

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 760

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Property 760

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.842647

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.790824

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a
[Add row]

(1.15) Which real estate and/or construction activities does your organization engage in?

Select all that apply

☑ New construction or major renovation of buildings

☑ Buildings management

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

In 2025, we completed a comprehensive portfolio-wide GHG inventory re-baselining that covered all material Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions categories across our value chain, including three pilot Life Cycle Assessments (LCAs) on recently completed development projects. This effort enabled us to fully map the emissions and climate-related risks associated with our upstream value chain—including embodied carbon in development projects and emissions from professional services—as well as our downstream leased assets and all other material Scope 3 categories. As part of the LCAs, we evaluated recently completed development and major renovation projects to quantify embodied carbon and establish a baseline for future development. In parallel, we utilized spend-based emissions factors and industry-specific methodologies to quantify Scope 3 emissions from our Tier 1 and Tier 2 suppliers related to capital expenditures and purchased goods and services. For downstream emissions, we applied emissions factors from the U.S. EPA's Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (USEEIO) Models (2018). These assessments have significantly enhanced our understanding of climate-related risks and impacts across the value chain. The results are directly informing our climate transition plan, which includes the development of minimum sustainability requirements for all future development projects, enhanced tracking of supplier emissions performance, and deeper engagement with vendors and tenants to support decarbonization across our portfolio.
[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(1.24.1.5) Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain

Select from:

☑ Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

(1.24.1.6) Explain why your organization has not mapped plastics in your value chain

We are currently undergoing a lifecycle assessment and portfolio-wide GHG Inventory rebaselining activity to assess all material Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions categories. Results of the assessments are expected by the end of 2024 and the beginning of 2025. From the initial results of this exercise we have been able to determine that plastic is not a significant dependency in our development process. Within our direct operations we do not consume significant amounts of plastic waste through our business operations. Our tenants may consume and dispose of medical plastic waste (PPE, lab plastics etc.) within their operations. We have made recycling available where possible and are actively exploring additional options for recycling hard-to-recycling lab plastics like pipette tip boxes, nitrile gloves and PPE.
[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

3

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

We proactively analyze the risks that may adversely affect our business, operations, or financial condition. Our Board believes that effective risk management involves our entire corporate governance framework. Both management and our Board have key responsibilities in managing risk throughout the Company. The Board oversees risk management process, including climate risk matters, informed by regular updates from the Committees and management. The Board committees oversee risks within their respective areas of oversight and accountability, working with management and reporting to the full Board. Identifying: Management identifies material risks and implements management and mitigation strategies, reporting to Board and working with the Board's committees. We integrate climate related risks and opportunities into our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Program. Assessing: Climate-related risks are addressed as part of the formal ERM Program to identify, assess, evaluate, respond to, and monitor the risks identified by management by various subject matter experts across the company, including Finance, Legal, Capital Asset Management and the business segments. Responding: After determining risks that could have a material financial or operational impact for the period, we model the potential impact using a scorecard/heat map, and the Board makes determinations on any action warranted based on the potential risk. For more detail on our ERM process, please refer to question 2.2.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

4

(2.1.3) To (years)

6

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

See "Short-term" response for a description of the process to identify, assess and respond to risks. Medium-term risks generally are managed by our business strategy and company-wide risk assessments under the ERM Program. Examples of medium-term risks include increased cost over a 4-6 year horizon to invest in new green technologies to lower our carbon footprint toward our decarbonization strategy, and potential supply chain disruptions in our development/redevelopment activities, which may not have a material impact on our business in the short-term due to ramp up in timing. These events could significantly disrupt our businesses in the region, harm our ability to compete effectively, result in increased costs, and divert management attention, any or all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. A specific example of this type of risk includes the cost to invest in renewable energy, such as solar and wind power for a significant portion of our properties based on building performance standards, regulatory requirements or best practices to achieve carbon neutral operations, which requires a significant initial capital outlay of tens of millions of dollars and could pose a material impact to our financial condition, but which might not realize any savings or return on investment for 4-6 years.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

7

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.1.3) To (years)

20

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

See "Short-term" response for a description of the process to identify, assess and respond to risks. Long-term risks generally are managed by our scenario analysis and climate-related risk strategy. Recognizing the long-term impact of GHG emissions on climate, we adopted long-term (15-year) science-based GHG emissions reductions targets that are aligned with well below 2 degree C scenario planning and validated by Science-Based Targets Initiative. Long-term risks also include potential future carbon neutrality regulatory mandates or significant increases in cost of capital to shift to a carbon neutral economy. Specifically, we recognize that

our GHG intensity will be compared against peers, so we track this as a competitive risk at the corporate level. Investors, the financial sector and other stakeholders compare companies based on climate-related performance, and GHG intensity is a key indicator. For this reason, our GHG intensity target aligns with the long-term time horizon of at least 15 years to ensure we manage the risk appropriately. It also demonstrates our goal to be a leader in managing climate-related risk, being the first healthcare REIT in North America to adopt science-based targets.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

(2.2.1.1) Process in place

Select from:
☑ Yes

(2.2.1.2) Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process

Select from:
☑ Both risks and opportunities

(2.2.1.3) Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.1.6) Explain why you do not have a process for evaluating both risks and opportunities that is informed by a dependencies and/or impacts process

Our organization does not currently maintain a separate, explicit process for evaluating risks and opportunities informed by a dependencies and/or impacts process primarily because our existing Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) framework already incorporates these elements in a holistic and integrated manner. Our current approach includes the involvement of key stakeholders from different operations, such as Internal Audit, Corporate Impact, and Risk Management teams, who work collaboratively to assess both the direct and indirect impacts of identified risks and opportunities on our operations, supply chain, and tenant relationships. Our Materiality Assessment evaluates our priority climate-related topics based on their impact on the natural ecosystem included plant and animal life, fresh water, oceans and the atmosphere. Furthermore, we conduct a bi-annual third-party physical climate risk assessment, which considers three climate scenarios (RCP 8.5, 4.5 and 2.6) along three time horizons (2020, 2030 and 2050), to identify and prioritize risks across our entire portfolio. These assessments, combined with our ongoing risk management activities, ensure that we account for dependencies and impacts in our strategic planning and decision-making processes. While our current processes are robust and comprehensive, we plan to build on our existing ERM framework to ensure that our climate-related impacts and dependencies are further integrated in the process within the next two years.
[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

☑ Local

☑ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

☑ Enterprise Risk Management

Other

☑ Desk-based research

☑ External consultants

☑ Materiality assessment

☑ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Tornado

☑ Landslide

☑ Wildfires

☑ Heat waves

☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

☑ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

☑ Other acute physical risk, please specify **:Earthquakes**

Chronic physical

☑ Heat stress

☑ Sea level rise

☑ Water stress

Policy

☑ Changes to national legislation

☑ Other policy, please specify :Emerging regulations at the federal, state, and local levels

Market

☑ Changing customer behavior

Reputation

☑ Other reputation, please specify :Increasing interest among tenants in building efficiency, sustainable design criteria, and “green leases” could result in decreased demand for outdated space.

Technology

☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

Liability

☑ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ Customers

☑ Other, please specify :**Industry Associations, Capital Partners, Building Certification Programs**

☑ Employees

☑ Investors

☑ Suppliers

☑ Regulators

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Risk Management Process Overview: We have an integrated, multidisciplinary company-wide Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process in which climate-related risks and opportunities are incorporated. Our VP of Internal Audit (IA), who leads the internal audit function and reports directly to the Audit Committee of our Board, collaborates with our President and CEO, CFO, COO, CIO, CDO, General Counsel, and cross-functional teams representing asset management and development. IA reviews and updates our risk scorecard and heat map, evaluating existing risks for changes in likelihood or impact and updating mitigation strategies as needed. New risks are assessed for potential inclusion, and results are communicated to the Board during applicable quarterly meetings. Across our value chain, climate-related risks and opportunities are systematically integrated into the ERM Program. For direct operations, we identify, assess, and manage risks and opportunities using results from IA's ERM Survey, which links company strategy and objectives to risk oversight. Upstream, the Procurement team ensures that all business partners and vendors, including independent contractors, provide written acknowledgment of our Vendor Code of Business Ethics and Conduct, which includes stipulations regarding environmental regulation compliance. Through continued stakeholder outreach and regular materiality assessments, we also engage with upstream partners via desktop research and direct dialogue with suppliers and industry stakeholders to identify, evaluate, and validate environmental risks and emerging issues. Downstream, IA assesses the risks associated with tenants (customers) and incorporates material findings into the company's heat map. Our risk management process also involves an annual survey distributed to executive and senior leaders that includes the prior year's top identified risks and opportunities. Leaders review these risks to determine whether any should be removed or added, and IA conducts follow-up interviews and testing of controls to evaluate their effectiveness. The survey results, together with risk-mitigating activities and controls, are discussed with leadership. Climate-related risks are further assessed on an ongoing basis throughout the year, providing input that strengthens IA's survey and interviews. These assessments are supported by several processes. Team members participate in external industry forums such as the Nareit Real Estate Sustainability Council, where our SVP – Dept. General Counsel and Corporate Secretary serves on the Executive Council, providing insight into emerging real estate climate risk impacts. We also engage external consultants to provide expertise in climate-related risks, such as new regulations, standards, and technologies; for example, one vendor provides data on potential exposure to building energy performance standards applicable to our portfolio. Our internal risk management team works with insurance brokers, carriers, and consultants to identify and evaluate risks, including severe weather events, and to review insurance coverage. At the property level, we assess risks through condition reports, site visits, and discussions with property managers. Findings are reviewed with our Capital Asset Management group, which prepares reports prioritizing risks and opportunities based on potential financial or physical impact on business segments. Asset Managers then communicate these reports to company leadership.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ Every two years

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Other

☑ Scenario analysis

☑ Other, please specify :RCP 4.5 scenario (in partnership with S&P Trucost Climate Risk Assessment)

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Drought

☑ Tornado

☑ Landslide

☑ Wildfires

☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

☑ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

☑ Other acute physical risk, please specify **:Earthquakes**

Chronic physical

☑ Heat stress

☑ Sea level rise

☑ Water stress

Policy

☑ Changes to national legislation

☑ Other policy, please specify :emerging regulations at the federal, state, and local levels

Reputation

☑ Other reputation, please specify :Increasing interest among tenants in building efficiency, sustainable design criteria, and “green leases” could result in decreased demand for outdated space

Technology

☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ Customers

☑ Employees

☑ Investors

☑ Regulators

☑ Suppliers

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Value Chain: This process covers our entire portfolio, including direct operations (buildings under operational control), upstream vendors, and downstream tenants. Risks and opportunities are assessed across all value chain stages. Risk Management Process: We conduct regular third-party climate risk assessments to inform strategic planning and long-term portfolio resilience. In 2024, we began using the S&P Trucost Climate Risk Assessment, which models physical risk under the RCP 4.5 scenario. This moderate emissions scenario assumes a 2.4–3.0°C temperature increase by 2100 and is used as a middle-of-the-road benchmark—slightly higher than our targeted reductions aligned with a "Well Below 2°C" pathway. The assessment analyzes physical hazards such as heat stress, wildfire, water stress, coastal flood, fluvial flooding, and tropical cyclones. Each asset within the assessment is assigned a risk level (no risk, low, medium, medium-high, and high), and location-specific mitigation recommendations are developed. These results are used by our Capital Asset Management team to prioritize investments and feed into our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) framework. See the "Our Climate Risk Strategy" section of our 2024 Corporate Impact Report for further detail. Time Horizon: Following the TCFD framework, we define risks and opportunities over three timeframes—short-term (2020), medium-term (2030), and long-term (2050). We evaluate physical and transition risks that may materially affect our financial and operational performance. This includes the impact of rising utility costs, regulatory compliance burdens, regional climate trends, and shifting demand for sustainable buildings. These insights are used to guide our capital investment planning, tenant engagement strategies, and emissions reduction programs. Transition Risk Considerations (as outlined in our 2024 Corporate Impact Report – "Our Climate Risk Strategy"): Regulatory: New and emerging building and energy efficiency regulations could increase operating and capital costs. We monitor policies, engage with consultants (e.g., ReTech), and implement compliant building standards; Reputation & Market: Demand for efficient, sustainable spaces is growing. We pursue green certifications, sustainable design, and "green lease" provisions to meet tenant expectations and enhance competitiveness; Technology: Delayed adoption of efficient systems (HVAC, automation) may increase long-term costs. We invest proactively in proven energy-efficient technologies and support innovation through a real estate prop-tech fund. Below is an example process using the STAR method. Situation: Some of our properties—particularly in regions such as California, Texas, and coastal areas—face increased exposure to chronic and acute climate risks (e.g., heat stress, wildfire, flooding). Task: Assess these risks to determine their strategic and operational impact and inform resilience-related capital investment decisions. Action: We conduct annual physical climate risk assessments using RCP 4.5 data from S&P Trucost and compare results year-over-year to identify trends and evolving risk profiles. Result: In 2024, heat stress, wildfire, and water stress remained classified as "medium" risk drivers across our portfolio. We continue to implement site-specific mitigation strategies including HVAC retrofits, renewable energy systems, and localized climate adaptations in coordination with our Capital Asset Management team.

Row 3

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply
☑ Direct operations
☑ Upstream value chain
☑ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:
☑ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply
☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:
☑ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:
☑ Every three years or more

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply
☑ Short-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Other

☑ Desk-based research

☑ Materiality assessment

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Drought

☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

☑ Wildfires

Chronic physical

☑ Heat stress

☑ Sea level rise

☑ Water stress

Policy

☑ Changes to national legislation

Market

☑ Changing customer behavior

Reputation

☑ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ Customers

☑ Employees

☑ Investors

☑ Suppliers

☑ Regulators

☑ Local communities

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

In fall 2023, we conducted a materiality assessment to identify and prioritize the sustainability and corporate impact issues most critical to our long-term value creation, competitive positioning, and stakeholder alignment. The process incorporated both internal and external sources to evaluate environmental, social, and governance topics relevant to our operations, stakeholders, and the broader healthcare real estate industry. We reviewed best practices and guidance from leading sustainability frameworks and standards, including TCFD, SASB, GRI, UNSDGs, GRESB, MSCI, and Bloomberg GEI. Material topics were assessed based on their impact on the natural ecosystem—including plant and animal life, fresh water, oceans, and the atmosphere—across our upstream, downstream, and direct operational activities. Greater weighting was given to topics with higher ecosystem impact. The timescale for the materiality assessment focused on expected direct impacts over the next three years, and we plan to formally refresh the assessment every three years. We continue to review and validate our priority topics through regular engagement with internal leadership, as well as dialogue with peers, capital partners, and investors. This ongoing collaboration helps ensure our strategic focus remains aligned with emerging trends, stakeholder expectations, and regulatory developments. Through the assessment, both climate change and water were identified as material topics, with the potential to impact our ecosystem, business resilience, value drivers, and long-term stakeholder value. Climate change was defined as encompassing our strategy, planning, and policies related to climate-related risks and opportunities affecting our industry, operations, and asset performance. Water was prioritized for its role in natural resource stewardship and risk management, particularly as it relates to regional water stress and building-level efficiency. These insights directly informed the development of our 10-Year Corporate Impact Roadmap, which outlines 10 outcomes that serve as the pillars of

our sustainability strategy. Among the outcomes, climate risk is defined by the financial risks and opportunities associated with physical and transition climate risks, and the evaluation of assets to enhance long-term resilience. Another outcome is dedicated to water, focused on reducing water consumption, mitigating exposure to water stress, and enhancing conservation efforts across our portfolio.
[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:
☑ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

We maintain an ongoing process to identify, assess, and manage the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities. This process is refreshed through a materiality assessment every 2–3 years and informed by regular stakeholder engagement—including investors, employees, tenants, suppliers, regulators, and community representatives—via surveys, meetings, conferences, and other feedback mechanisms in between assessments. The most recent materiality assessment was conducted in fall 2023, when we evaluated and prioritized sustainability and corporate impact issues most relevant to our competitive position and long-term stakeholder value creation. This assessment also considered the effects of these issues on our people and the communities where we operate. Our approach drew on both internal/external sources, including best practices and guidelines from sustainability reporting frameworks, rating agencies, building certifications, and industry associations, such as the TCFD, SASB, GRI, UNSDGs, GRESB, MSCI & Bloomberg GEI. During this assessment, we evaluated priority topics based on their impact on the natural ecosystem in our upstream, downstream, and direct operational activities. The natural ecosystem included elements such as plant and animal life, fresh water, oceans, and the atmosphere. We assigned greater weight to material topics based on their level of impact on these ecosystems, with the assessment covering a three-year timescale to capture the direct impacts of our business activities. Climate change was identified as a high-materiality topic, reflecting its significant impact on the ecosystem, our value drivers, competitive position, and long-term shareholder value creation. This topic encompasses our strategy, plan, and policies related to climate-related business opportunities and risks, which are integrated into our overall risk management and business strategy. The interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are assessed by examining how these topics influence and are influenced by our activities across the value chain. For example, the assessment considered how climate-related risks, such as physical events or regulatory changes, could impact our operations, supply chain, and tenant relationships. The materiality assessment findings were incorporated into our 10-year Corporate Impact Roadmap, which outlines key outcomes and areas of focus for our sustainability strategy over the next decade. One outcome centers on climate risk, highlighting the importance of resilience against physical and transition climate risks while identifying related financial risks and opportunities. By conducting these materiality assessments and incorporating their outcomes into strategic planning, we ensure that the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, & opportunities are continuously monitored, evaluated, and addressed as part of our strategy.
[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

☑ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

☑ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Our process for identifying priority locations with substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities involves a multi-layered approach. We continue to use the World Resources Institute (WRI) Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to categorize our assets into high, medium, or low risk based on regional water stress. This tool offers a detailed view of current water-related risks and enables us to systematically flag and prioritize properties located in areas of elevated water scarcity or variability. In 2024, we enhanced this approach by integrating the S&P Trucost Climate Risk Assessment, which models physical climate risk under the IPCC RCP 4.5 scenario—a moderate emissions pathway projecting a 2.4–3.0°C temperature increase by 2100. This scenario serves as a middle-of-the-road benchmark, slightly higher than our emissions reduction targets aligned with a "Well Below 2°C" trajectory. The Trucost assessment evaluates multiple physical climate hazards, including water stress, heat stress, wildfire, coastal flooding, fluvial (river) flooding, and tropical cyclones. Each asset is assigned a risk level (no risk, low, medium, medium-high, or high) for each hazard, with tailored mitigation recommendations developed based on local exposure and site characteristics. By combining the real-time spatial risk insights from the WRI Aqueduct tool with forward-looking scenario analysis from S&P Trucost under RCP 4.5, we are able to identify and prioritize locations across our portfolio that face the greatest long-term exposure to water stress and climate-related impacts. This informs both our asset management strategy and capital planning, ensuring we remain resilient and adaptive in the face of changing environmental conditions.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☑ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it
[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply
☑ Qualitative
☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Funds from Operations (FFO) per share, which is our measure of earnings

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:
☑ Absolute decrease

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

1

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply
☑ Frequency of effect occurring
☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

We would consider substantive financial or strategic impact as anything that impacts our Funds from Operations (FFO), which is our measure of earnings, by one cent ($0.01) or more. For example, FFO per share (earnings per share) is a commonly used REIT financial metric, and normalized FFO per share (or adjusted earnings per share) is adjusted to exclude the impact from certain non-recurring or non-comparable items. Normalized FFO per share is a significant metric because it allows stockholders to compare operating performance among REITs over time on a consistent basis, and as such, we use it as a financial metric in our incentive compensation plan for executives. Our annual 2024 adjusted earnings per share (funds from operations, or FFO, as adjusted) were $1.81. The implications of climate change represent the most significant sustainability risk for our company in relation to financial sustainability, and we closely monitor and analyze these risks. The focus of our climate change risk analysis is currently on changes in the frequency and severity of physical climate risks (especially natural disasters), which could have a material impact on our investments - our properties. A substantive financial impact on our business could be a 1-2 cent reduction in FFO (approximately $7 million to $14 million in expense leading to a reduction in FFO per share). Catastrophic losses from physical climate risks not covered by insurance, such as a hurricane, can be in the tens of millions of dollars and significantly impact our FFO (earnings). For this reason, developing resilient buildings and insuring against losses is part of our climate risk strategy. In addition, a substantive financial impact could be capital expenditure of several tens or hundreds of millions of dollars for climate-related improvements or projects by laws or regulations, such as a requirement to build new developments to be carbon neutral or retrofit an existing building to be carbon neutral. As an example, when local ordinances in the city of Cambridge, Massachusetts' begin requiring Lab office buildings or laboratories to comply with rigorous net-zero requirements, and our portfolio in Cambridge will need to be retrofitted or designed to comply with such regulations, the impact may be significant with several million dollars of investment required.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Increased revenue, improved competitive positioning, and enhanced operational efficiency

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ Absolute increase

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

1

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Our organization defines a substantive effect from a climate-related financial opportunity as a significant positive impact on our financial performance, particularly in terms of increased revenue, improved competitive positioning, or enhanced operational efficiency, resulting from the adoption of sustainable practices and energy-efficient features in our properties. Here's how we view and assess these opportunities, along with the frequency and likelihood of these positive effects occurring: Revenue Growth and Competitive Advantage through Increased Demand for Sustainable Buildings: The growing preference among tenants for energy-efficient and environmentally-friendly spaces is a key driver of demand, particularly in key markets like Cambridge, MA, San Diego, CA, and South San Francisco, CA. These regions represent approximately 40% of our portfolio, and the demand for sustainable Lab and Life Science spaces is expected to increase consistently. For instance, properties like Callan Ridge in Torrey Pines, CA, (LEED Gold), have resulted in a 100% leased space likely in part due to its green features. The likelihood of this positive financial effect occurring is high, given the ongoing trend towards sustainability in the Lab/Life Science sector, and the frequency is expected to be annual. Operating Cost Savings: The implementation of energy-efficient and water-saving technologies across our properties not only supports environmental sustainability goals but also leads to reduced operating costs. These savings are beneficial for both our tenants and our operating expenses. The likelihood of these cost savings leading to positive financial effects is moderate to high, with such benefits likely to occur annually. In summary, a substantive effect from a climate-related financial opportunity is defined by our organization as any significant positive impact that enhances our revenue, competitive position, or operational efficiency. Given the current market trends and tenant preferences, the likelihood and frequency of these positive financial effects occurring are high, with consistent annual benefits expected as we continue to implement sustainable and energy-efficient features across our properties.

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Property Damage, Loss of Revenue, Devaluation of Assets, Increased Operating Costs, Capital Expenditure for Resilience Upgrades

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ Absolute decrease

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

1

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Physical climate-related risks, including natural disasters, pose financial threats to our operations and could lead to substantial disruptions or necessitate significant additional investments. The frequency and likelihood of these negative financial effects occurring are important considerations in our risk management strategy. Here's how these risks may manifest: Property Damage Exceeding Insurance Deductibles: Natural disasters such as hurricanes, floods, or wildfires could cause extensive damage to our properties. While we maintain comprehensive insurance coverage, the cost of repairs could exceed our insurance deductibles in rarer instances of extreme damage, leading to direct financial losses. Loss of Revenue from Stalled Tenant Operations: Natural disasters could disrupt tenant operations, leading to temporary or extended periods where tenants are unable to conduct business. This could result in a loss of rental income and potentially trigger lease renegotiations or terminations. Devaluation of Assets: Severe physical climate events could lead to a decline in the market value of affected properties, especially if the area is perceived as high-risk for future events. This devaluation could impact our asset portfolio and overall investment strategy, potentially leading to write-downs or impairments. Increased Operating Costs: Post-disaster, we may face increased operating costs associated with cleanup, emergency response, and implementing additional safety measures to protect against future events. These costs could be substantial and would require reallocation of resources, potentially impacting other strategic initiatives. Capital Expenditure for Resilience Upgrades: To mitigate future risks, we may need to invest in significant capital improvements, such as flood defenses, structural reinforcements, or advanced fire protection systems. These upgrades would require substantial financial resources and could be mandated by evolving building codes or regulations following a disaster. The likelihood of these events occurring is moderate to high, depending on the geographic location of our properties, with the frequency of such events potentially increasing due to climate change over the long-term (10-20 years).

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☑ No, we do not identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.3) Please explain

We do not maintain a formal process to identify and classify potential water pollutants because water supplied to our properties is provided by local municipalities and utility companies for limited uses such as HVAC systems, lavatories, and irrigation. We rely on these providers to meet all applicable water quality standards. Within our operations, we monitor for uncommon water quality issues, such as potential legionella, and follow all local code requirements for inspection. Tenants are responsible for any hazardous waste disposal, including contaminated water, generated through their operations.
[Fixed row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, only within our direct operations

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

We have reviewed the properties in our portfolio, including priority location assessments using tools such as the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas and physical risk scenario analysis, and determined that there are likely no environmental risks in our upstream or downstream value chain that would have a substantive effect on our organization prior to our buildings becoming operational and under our operational control. Environmental risks such as water stress, flooding, or other climate-related factors are assessed and managed as part of our property due diligence, design, and development processes. Once properties are completed and within our operational control, we integrate them into our ongoing environmental risk management program.

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :See explanation

(3.1.3) Please explain

Our organization has concluded that it is not exposed to environmental risks related to plastics because this issue is not applicable to our operations as a Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) that focuses on owning, managing, and developing properties. The core activities of our business involve real estate investment and property management, which are not directly connected to the production, use, or disposal of plastic materials.
[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Other policy risk, please specify :Changes to building energy performance standards and other climate-related regulations

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

New or emerging building energy performance standards, local ordinances, and climate-related regulations could result in compliance fees or penalties for properties that do not meet specified energy efficiency or GHG emissions thresholds. These requirements vary by jurisdiction and could materially impact operating expenses if affected properties are not upgraded to comply. Our portfolio includes properties in municipalities such as Cambridge, MA, Denver, CO, New York City, NY, and Seattle, WA.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Fines, penalties or enforcement orders

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We estimate that maximum potential annual compliance fees for properties in Cambridge, Denver, New York City, and Seattle could total approximately $8.78 million if no mitigating action is taken. Cambridge, MA, has one of the most stringent timelines, with large building net zero targets by 2035 and daily fines starting as early as 2025, potentially reaching $5.59 million annually based on current portfolio exposure. Denver's regulations include interim targets of 40% emissions reductions by 2026 and 65% by 2030, with penalties applied in 2027 and 2030 that could total $1.35 million annually if targets are not met. New York City's Local Law 97 imposes annual fines starting in 2025 for exceeding emissions intensity targets, with exposure of up to $141,000 annually for our portfolio there. Seattle's energy performance standards, with interim requirements in 2030 and full compliance by 2050, carry an annual exposure of $1.70 million if buildings with gas service do not meet performance thresholds. Factoring in the different start dates, medium-term (2025–2030) exposure is projected at $7.05M (min, 15% mitigation assumed) to $8.30M (max, no mitigation). Long-term (2031–2044) exposure, with all four jurisdictions active annually, is projected at $20.90M (min) to $24.59M (max). Without mitigation, these recurring compliance costs could significantly increase operating expenses, reduce net operating income (NOI), and lower Funds from Operations (FFO) over the medium and long term, with the earliest impacts beginning in 2025.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

7052000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

8297000

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

20899000

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

24587000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Figures are based on modeled annual compliance fee exposure for Cambridge, Denver, New York City, and Seattle using each jurisdiction's published penalty structure applied to our at-risk portfolio square footage. If all four jurisdictions' penalties applied in the same year with no mitigation, the combined maximum annual exposure would be $8.78 million. We then factored in the phased start dates — Cambridge and NYC begin in 2025, Denver in 2027, and Seattle in 2030 — so the medium-term (2025–2030) does not reach the full $8.78M annually until the last year of the period. This timeline adjustment produces the full-exposure totals for each horizon before efficiency assumptions: Medium-term: ~$41.49M total fines if no mitigation (sum of adjusted annual exposures for 6 years) Long-term (2031–2044): ~$122.93M total fines (all four jurisdictions active for 14 years) We then applied our 80% efficiency baseline assumption, meaning only 20% of the modeled fines are applicable because our buildings are already performing near target. This reduces the totals to: Medium-term: $41.49M × 20% = $8,297,000 Long-term: $122.93M × 20% = $24,587,000 Finally, we applied an additional 15% mitigation reduction to calculate the minimum figures: Medium-term minimum: $8,297,000 × (1 − 0.15) = $7,052,000 Long-term minimum: $24,587,000 × (1 − 0.15) = $20,899,000 These four final figures ($7,052,000, $8,297,000, $20,899,000, and $24,587,000) directly represent our anticipated medium- and long-term financial effect ranges under the stated performance and mitigation assumptions.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☑ Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

39770000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We calculated the $39.77M cost of response by converting each city's annual fines into MT CO_2e over target using published or proxied penalty rates (total ≈ 40,680 MT if no action is taken). Applying our baseline assumption that buildings already operate at 80% of target efficiency, only 20% of this excess, about 8,136 MT, would require mitigation. We then multiplied this by our FY2024 portfolio cost per MT CO_2e saved ($4,888), which is based on $12.65M in efficiency project spend that reduced emissions by 2,587 MT in 2024. This yields $39.77M to fully eliminate modeled fines, phased as ~$23M in the medium term (2025–2030) and the balance long term (2031–2044).

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We address this risk through coordinated capital planning led by our internal Capital Asset Management team in partnership with external consultants who provide technical, regulatory, and market expertise. Together, we evaluate each property's current performance, jurisdictional requirements, and operational needs to identify the most cost-effective path to compliance. This process includes developing tailored upgrade strategies for each asset—such as HVAC and lighting replacements—with renewable energy integration used as a supporting tool where beneficial. Projects are sequenced with planned capital cycles to minimize disruption and cost. Our approach prioritizes high-exposure jurisdictions, aligns with our long-term carbon reduction targets, and incorporates ongoing monitoring to adjust plans as regulations evolve.

Water

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Water stress

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Bravo
☑ Nelson River
☑ St. Lawrence
☑ Columbia River
☑ Great Salt Lake
☑ Connecticut River
☑ Mississippi River
☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)
☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

A portion of our properties is located in regions categorized as medium to high water stress, as identified using the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas and S&P Trucost RCP 4.5 scenario analysis. Water at these assets is primarily used for HVAC cooling, irrigation, and domestic purposes. In water-stressed regions, risks include potential increases in water costs, mandated conservation measures, or restrictions on water use. These factors could lead to moderately higher operating costs and require efficiency investments but are not anticipated to have a substantive effect on our overall business.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We estimate incremental water operating costs in high-risk states (Arizona, California, Florida, Colorado, Texas) could increase by approximately $90K–$180K annually, on a $1.8M baseline. Over a 10-year horizon, this equates to $0.9M–$1.8M cumulative impact if unmitigated. These estimates assume a 5–10% escalation in water costs, consistent with historic and forecast utility trends: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory data show West–Pacific utilities averaged ~5.3% annual rate increases (2008–2016), while Bluefield Research reports U.S. residential water/sewer bills rose ~4.2–4.4% annually over the last decade, with some regions seeing 6% or more. Importantly, even under high-case assumptions, this remains significantly below our substantive FFO materiality threshold of ~$6–12M (1–2 cent FFO impact).

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

900000

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

1800000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

The estimated financial effect was calculated using our 2024 water spend of $1.8 million across properties located in high and extremely high water stress states (Arizona, California, Florida, Colorado, Texas). Based on historic and forecast escalation trends for U.S. utilities — which show ~4–5% annual increases nationally and 5–6% or higher in Western states (PNNL; Bluefield Research) — we assumed a conservative 5–10% increase in water costs over the long term. Applying this assumption to our $1.8 million baseline: Annual effect: $1.8M × 5% = $90K; $1.8M × 10% = $180K 10-year cumulative effect: $90K × 10 years = $0.9M; $180K × 10 years = $1.8M This range represents the potential incremental operating cost exposure over a decade if water prices in stressed regions rise faster than inflation. The figure does not account for mitigation benefits, such as efficiency projects

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

2100000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

From 2022 to 2024, we invested approximately $627K in water conservation projects ($59K in 2022, $468K in 2023, $100K in 2024). This equates to an average of ~$209K per year. Assuming this investment rate continues, we anticipate spending ~$2.1M over the next 10 years to maintain and expand water efficiency measures. These initiatives — including smart irrigation, xeriscaping, low-flow fixtures, and condensate recovery — enabled us to achieve our 2030 water reduction target (10% reduction from 2020 baseline) ahead of schedule, with an 11.5% reduction realized as of 2024. We expect future costs to remain consistent and integrated into capital planning, while delivering measurable operating savings.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We actively monitor and manage water use across our portfolio. Efficiency measures include, installing low-flow fixtures and smart irrigation controllers, xeriscaping and drought-tolerant landscaping, deploying condensate recovery systems in cooling towers, and real-time monitoring technology. These strategies support our long-term resilience planning and portfolio efficiency.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Heat stress

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters such as a rising mean temperatures include increased operating costs due to higher cooling expenses, especially in the properties we own throughout the West, upper Midwest, Southwest, Southeast and Ohio Valley regions of the U.S. where it is much warmer (California, Nevada, Michigan, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma, Texas, Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee). For example, there was a 7% increase in cooling degree days in the cities in which our properties operate in the states listed above between 2023 and 2024. In 2024, 167 HVAC units were installed in buildings in these regions, totaling a $7.6M investment. Such increased costs could pose a significant financial impact to our company as it would affect all of our boundary properties. Proactively upgrading or replacing inefficient HVAC systems in the short-term, in advance of potential rising mean temperatures over the long-term, allows us to start incurring efficiency savings immediately to off-set some of the costs associated with the implementation of the efficient HVAC systems.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We estimate that the financial risks related to increased operating expenses may increase over the next 7-10 years by a factor of 1.7% per year (11.9% and 17.1%, respectively), according a May 2025 Energy Trend Analysis from the EIA (which we estimate to be a reasonable percentage increase due to rising costs, inflation and climatic trends) in such expenses from increased cooling needs resulting from rising mean temperatures and wider industrial demands including that from data centers.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

8200000

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

8200000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

The estimated financial implications resulting from a rising mean temperature include increased operating costs from higher cooling expenses. For example, we spent $48 million in energy expenses in 2024 in the states referenced previously in this response in the markets that experience the most heat stress during the year. This total spend is based on readily available utility data for properties within our operational control. A 17.1% increase (established in the prior response) in such expenses due increased cooling needs resulting from a rising mean temperatures could cost us an additional $816K annually, and over the long- term (10 years, for example) could cost an additional $8.2 million. Over the long-term time horizon, which for Healthpeak is the next 7-10 years, temperature rise could substantially increase our expenditures in operations and impact earnings.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Increase environment-related capital expenditure

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

90000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We calculate the investment needed to mitigate this risk based on our historical HVAC efficiency upgrades across the portfolio. For example, in Kentucky—where cooling degree days increased by 21% from 2023 to 2024—we invested $700K to install 38 high-efficiency units, expected to save $9K annually over 11–15 years. In 2024, we invested $9M to complete 131 efficiency projects prioritized by GHG emissions, energy use, and equipment age. Scaling this level of investment to address portfolio-wide needs, we project potential costs of $9M annually—or $90M over the next 10 years—to mitigate rising energy costs, comply with building standards, achieve GHG targets, and meet tenant expectations for reduced operating expenses.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are mitigating the risk of rising mean temperatures by proactively upgrading or replacing inefficient HVAC systems with high-efficiency models before they reach end-of-life. This approach allows us to capture energy savings immediately, reduce exposure to rising utility costs, and improve system resilience in anticipation of higher cooling demands over the long term. In 2024, we conducted a portfolio-wide review to identify priority properties for HVAC upgrades, evaluating environmental performance metrics such as GHG emissions and energy usage, as well as the remaining useful life of existing equipment. This review identified 131 high-efficiency HVAC projects, representing a $9 million investment across multiple regions. One example is Kentucky, where we observed a 21% increase in cooling degree days from 2023 to 2024. Anticipating increased cooling demand, we installed 38 high-efficiency HVAC units in the state at a cost of $700,000, which are projected to deliver $9,000 in annual energy savings over their 11–15-year lifespan. These upgrades not only address increased operational costs but also position properties to meet regulatory requirements such as Building Performance Standards and support our internal GHG reduction targets.
[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ OPEX

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

1074861000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

1074861000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Please see the amount and description of financial risks as it relates to operating expenses in Risk 2 above.

Water

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ OPEX

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

1074861000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

1074861000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Please see the amount and description of financial risks as it relates to operating expenses in Risk 1 above.
[Add row]

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?

Row 1

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Other, please specify :North Atlantic Coast, California, Great Basin, Gulf Coast Region, North Atlantic Coast, Mississippi River Basin, Colorado River Basin, Rio Grande Basin, Saskatchewan–Nelson Basin, St. Lawrence River Basin, North Atlantic Coast

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

426

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.2.11) Please explain

Per our response to question 3.1, we have evaluated water-related risks and determined that environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our operations. As identified through our WRI Aqueduct baseline water stress evaluation, 426 of our properties are located in areas with medium to high baseline water stress. However, we have not identified a linkage between these conditions and a significant effect on our operations. Water is primarily used for HVAC, sanitation, and irrigation, and while conservation requirements or higher costs may arise in stressed regions, to date these factors have not materially impacted the operation of our portfolio.
[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: ☑ No	*None noted.*

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental opportunities identified
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

☑ Move to more energy/resource efficient buildings

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Our portfolio includes buildings in Arizona, California, Florida, Colorado, and Texas — regions where mean temperatures and cooling degree days (CDD) increased by ~7% from 2023 to 2024. Rising cooling demand creates higher operating costs but also an opportunity to capture efficiency gains. By systematically upgrading HVAC systems to high-efficiency models, we both reduce operating expenses and mitigate long-term exposure to utility price escalation in these heat-exposed markets. In 2024, we invested $7.6M in 167 HVAC replacements across properties in these states, where cooling loads are most material to OPEX. These projects reduce energy use, extend equipment life, improve tenant comfort, and position our portfolio competitively as climate-resilient and efficient.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In 2024, energy expenses across our Arizona, California, Florida, Colorado, and Texas buildings totaled ~$48M, with cooling loads comprising a substantial share in these high-CDD regions. Between 2023 and 2024, we observed a 7% increase in cooling degree days across these markets, underscoring the growing demand for efficient cooling. Performance data from prior HVAC replacement projects, combined with DOE/ENERGY STAR efficiency benchmarks (6–15% savings on cooling loads), support our assumption that high-efficiency upgrades deliver modest but meaningful reductions in cooling-related costs. On a conservative basis, savings are expected in the ~5% range, or about $2.4M annually against our regional baseline. While savings approaching 10% (~$4.8M annually) may be achievable in select projects, we view that as the high end of the range rather than a typical outcome. Over a 10-year horizon, cumulative avoided OPEX in these regions could reasonably total $24M–$48M, directly offsetting utility price escalation and strengthening resilience against climate-driven increases in cooling demand.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

24000000

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

48000000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

The anticipated financial effect was calculated by applying efficiency savings assumptions to our 2024 baseline energy spend of ~$48M across buildings in high-heat regions (Arizona, California, Florida, Colorado, and Texas). Performance data from prior HVAC replacement projects, combined with DOE/ENERGY STAR benchmarks (6–15% cooling load reduction), indicate potential savings in the 5–10% range. Conservative case (5%): $48M × 5% = ~$2.4M avoided annually High-end case (10%): $48M × 10% = ~$4.8M avoided annually Over a 10-year horizon, this equates to a cumulative effect of ~$24M–$48M in avoided operating costs. The lower bound reflects a conservative estimate aligned with observed project-level performance; the upper bound reflects an optimistic but credible outcome in line with external benchmarks. Actual results will depend on building performance, regional climate trends, and future utility pricing, but we expect realized savings to remain closer to the conservative end of the range.

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

90000000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost to realize this opportunity is based on our 2024 HVAC efficiency program, which included 167 HVAC unit replacements ($7.6M investment in heat-stress regions) and 131 efficiency projects portfolio-wide (~$9M investment). These projects represent the scale of recurring capital commitments required to capture ongoing efficiency gains and mitigate heat-related operating costs. While the exact annual spend will vary depending on project mix and building age, we use ~$9M as a representative figure for programmatic investment in HVAC upgrades. Over a 10-year horizon, this equates to a cumulative ~$90M investment across the portfolio.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

We are implementing a proactive program of HVAC upgrades in high-heat states, informed by CDD trends and end-of-life equipment replacement cycles. In 2024, we installed 167 HVAC units in heat-stressed regions ($7.6M investment) and undertook 131 HVAC efficiency projects portfolio-wide ($9M investment), prioritized based on energy use intensity, GHG emissions, and equipment age. Scaling these investments across our portfolio — alongside energy management systems, LED retrofits, and pursuit of LEED certifications — provides OPEX reduction, enhances resilience against climate-driven cooling demand, and supports progress toward our validated science-based GHG reduction targets.

Water

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

☑ Cost savings

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.6) River basin where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Bravo

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

☑ Great Salt Lake

☑ Mississippi River

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Healthpeak identifies cost savings opportunities through proactive water efficiency and conservation initiatives across our portfolio, particularly in properties located in medium- to high-water-stress regions (e.g., Arizona, California, Florida, Colorado, Texas). Water is primarily used for HVAC cooling, irrigation, and domestic purposes. By deploying smart irrigation systems, xeriscaping, low-flow fixtures, and condensate recovery technologies, we not only mitigate exposure to escalating water costs but also reduce ongoing operating expenses. These measures enabled us to exceed our 2030 water reduction target (10% reduction from 2020 baseline) ahead of schedule, achieving an 11.5% reduction as of 2024.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Our water efficiency measures generate annual savings by reducing baseline water spend in high-stress regions, where utility rates have the potential to rise 4–6% annually. This range is based on the same assumptions used in our Water Risk 1 disclosure (C3.1.1): Pacific Northwest National Laboratory data show Western utilities increased an average of ~5.3% annually between 2008–2016, while Bluefield Research reports U.S. residential water and sewer bills rose ~4.2–4.4% annually over the last decade, with some regions experiencing 6% or more. Applying these escalation assumptions to our 2024 baseline water spend of $1.8 million results in a potential $90K–$180K increase in annual costs, or $0.9M–$1.8M cumulatively over 10 years if unmitigated. By implementing efficiency measures such as low-flow fixtures, smart irrigation, and real-time monitoring (e.g., Aquanomix deployment in San Diego, which saved 54 million gallons and reduced water expenses by 8% during 2024), we anticipate avoiding these incremental costs. These avoided expenses strengthen NOI and enhance portfolio resilience against long-term water stress exposure.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

900000

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

1800000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

The avoided cost figures mirror those reported in our Water Risk 1 disclosure (C3.1.1). Using our 2024 baseline water spend of $1.8 million in high- and extremely high-water-stress states, we applied historic escalation trends of 5–10% from PNNL and Bluefield Research. This produces an estimated $90K–$180K in potential annual increases, or ~$0.9M–$1.8M cumulatively over a 10-year horizon. For the opportunity disclosure, we treat these modeled increases as avoided costs, reflecting the benefits of conservation measures already underway (e.g., Aquanomix monitoring, xeriscaping, low-flow retrofits, and condensate recovery).

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

2100000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

From 2022 to 2024, we invested approximately $627K in water conservation projects ($59K in 2022, $468K in 2023, and $100K in 2024). This equates to an average of ~$209K annually. Assuming this level of spend continues, we project a cumulative ~$2.1M investment over the next 10 years to maintain and expand water efficiency measures. These costs reflect portfolio-wide implementation of water efficiency projects such as smart irrigation systems, xeriscaping, low-flow fixture retrofits, and condensate recovery. We view the $627K historic spend as a reasonable baseline for future expectations, since it reflects our integrated capital planning approach and project execution pace following the merger. Future investments are expected to be consistent with this trajectory, maintaining alignment with our long-term water efficiency strategy and 10-Year Corporate Impact Roadmap.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Our Capital Asset Management team partners with our sustainability team and outside sustainability specialists to identify and prioritize water-saving opportunities. Strategies include, installing low-flow fixtures and smart irrigation controllers, xeriscaping and drought-tolerant landscaping, deploying condensate recovery systems for cooling towers, expanding real-time water monitoring (e.g., Aquanomix platform), and integrating efficiency into new developments and major renovations. This proactive approach reduces OPEX, enhances resilience in high-risk geographies, and supports Healthpeak's broader sustainability strategy and 10-Year Corporate Impact Roadmap.

[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ OPEX

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

1074861000

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Please see the amount and description of financial opportunities as it relates to operating expenses in Opp1 above.

Water

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ OPEX

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

1074861000

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Please see the amount and description of financial opportunities as it relates to operating expenses in Opp1 above.
[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☑ Executive directors or equivalent

☑ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☑ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

As outlined in our 2025 Proxy Statement (p. 20) and Governance Committee Charter, the Governance Committee considers a variety of factors when reviewing potential nominees for our Board, including integrity, ethics, values, industry experience in REITs and other relevant sectors, legal and regulatory expertise, public company board service, leadership attributes, commitment of time, corporate management and executive leadership experience, skills and personality fit, operational expertise, business judgment, independence, and the absence of conflicts. The Committee strives to identify candidates who create diversity in its broadest sense, including profession, skills, perspective, and experience. As part of the Board's annual self-evaluation, it assesses whether its composition is appropriate to meet the

Company's needs. Director candidates may be identified through Board members, stockholders, or senior management, and all candidates are considered under the same criteria regardless of source.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

Healthpeak-2025-Proxy-Statement.pdf
[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board chair

☑ Director on board

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board mandate

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in every board meeting (standing agenda item)

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives

☑ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes

☑ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

☑ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures

☑ Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan

☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Our Chair of the Board also chairs the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee ('Governance Committee') and is responsible for overseeing climate-related matters. The Governance Committee has formally amended its charter to codify specific climate-related oversight responsibilities, stating: "The Committee shall oversee the Company's sustainability and Corporate Impact policies, programs and practices, including, without limitation, the Company's environmental performance and climate strategy, with periodic updates from the Company's management and/or related management committees or subcommittees." The President and CEO, who also serves as a Director on the Board, is responsible for the day-to-day climate-related decisions based on data from the Corporate Impact Team. These updates cover a broad range of climate-related topics including strategy, major initiatives, risk management policies, business plans, opportunities, performance objectives, Corporate Impact-related employee incentives, annual budgets, and capital expenditures. In addition to special-case presentations on sustainability related issues, the regularly-scheduled agenda items allow the Board to provide guidance on: (i) reviewing strategy, major action and business plans, and risk management policies, (ii) setting corporate goals/performance objectives and monitoring their implementation, (iii) overseeing capital expenditures and budgets, and (iv) monitoring/oversight of progress against goals and targets for addressing climate-related issues. These mechanisms contribute to the Board's overall oversight of climate-related issues because they are reviewed quarterly by the Board from a business perspective, and integrate into our regular governance implementation practices, allowing the Board to provide efficient oversight while ensuring our approach to climate-related matters is aligned with our business strategy. The Board monitors progress on climate-related projects by assessing the return on investment and capital expenditures for sustainability initiatives quarterly. It also evaluates new climate-related initiatives, overall strategy, and performance. Risk management policies, including those related to climate and regulatory risks, are overseen by the Audit Committee. This committee meets regularly with the VP of Internal Audit to discuss potential risks and mitigation strategies, such as how climate-related incidents might affect property insurance costs. Additionally, employee incentives related to climate and sustainability performance are managed by the Compensation and Human Capital Committee, which ties executive-level annual incentives to these performance metrics. These oversight and management practices ensure that the Board can efficiently monitor climate-related issues and align them with the company's overall business strategy.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ No

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Our Board oversees climate-related water issues, including water stress, sea level rise, and extreme weather, as part of its broader oversight of climate-related risks. These topics are monitored and addressed in the same manner as other climate risk categories, through regular updates from management and committee-level reviews, but they are not covered independently of climate change. Given our reliance on municipal water supply for limited uses such as HVAC, irrigation, and restrooms, and the relatively low volume of water consumption in our operations compared to water-intensive industries, water as a standalone resource is not considered a substantive environmental issue for our organization. Any evolution in our water-related targets or commitments is reviewed with the Board before adoption. Accordingly, water-related topics are brought to the Board's attention on a sporadic basis, as an agenda item when significant matters arise.

Biodiversity

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other C-Suite Officer

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ No

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Executive management overseeing new development utilizes application of mitigation hierarchy (avoid, minimize, restore & offset) when operating in areas in close proximity to critical biodiversity. Under our construction and development policy, we consider biodiversity and the surrounding habitat, including the protection, restoration and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions and habitats for threatened and endangered species. We include green and outdoor spaces in our new developments. Note: Healthpeak owns healthcare properties and none of the land under our responsibility is used for production, extraction, or plantation activities, nor does it contain globally or nationally important biodiversity. Through our environmental assessments made at the time of an acquisition, we are made aware of any biodiversity-related risks, and deem them to be immaterial at this time. We do however, work with federal and local regulators to comply with biodiversity-related requirements. We consider proximity to pedestrian, bicycle and mass-transit networks, as well as biodiversity and the surrounding habitat, including the protection, restoration and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions and habitats for threatened and endangered species. However, we have not determined any of our properties to have biodiversity importance.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization’s board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

☑ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process

☑ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Corporate Impact Experience: Per page 13 of our 2025 Proxy Statement, 100% of our Board members have experience in supporting the Board's oversight of the Company's long-term climate, human capital, and corporate governance strategy and initiatives.

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

☑ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process

☑ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

☑ Active member of an environmental committee or organization

Other

☑ Other, please specify :R. Kent Griffin has environmental expertise in water conservation through his service as Former Chairman and current member of the Board of Directors for Charleston Waterkeeper, a nonprofit organization dedicated to protecting & restoring waterways

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ General Counsel

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our General Counsel chairs the Corporate Impact Team and she or her designee provides periodic reports to the Board and/or CEO on climate change matters. She is responsible for day-to-day climate-related matters, including monitoring progress towards climate-related targets and monitoring climate-related risks and opportunities. The General Counsel monitors climate issues by receiving updates from business segment leaders on sustainability initiatives and climate-related issues at properties, as well as from the Corporate Impact Team (which includes representatives from different levels and functions, including Legal, Human Resources, Finance and Capital Asset Management) on progress and performance metrics related to sustainability. She works with the Corporate Impact Team to publish the annual Corporate Impact Report, which aligns with frameworks like GRI, TCFD, SASB, and SDGs, as well as the Company's responses to CDP, S&P Corporate Sustainability Assessment, and GRESB. Under her leadership, the Corporate Impact Team is responsible for developing and overseeing climate-related strategies, setting goals, monitoring performance, and reviewing risks while sharing best practices and emerging trends. The General Counsel's role includes managing climate-related issues at the operational level, complementing the Board's oversight provided by the CEO and Director. Additionally, the VP of Communications, Marketing, and Sustainability and the rest of the members of the Corporate Impact Team update the General Counsel with real-time updates each quarter on climate risks and opportunities, Corporate Impact initiatives, ROI, cost savings, and benchmarking. These overall responsibilities and oversight are assigned to her as head of Corporate Impact because she is responsible for the execution of our Corporate Impact strategy.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ General Counsel

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our General Counsel, has executive responsibility for water-related issues and leads the Corporate Impact Team. She oversees and participates in the presentation of corporate impact updates to the Board on a periodic basis and provides additional updates on water only when there is a potential substantive impact to the company or when new corporate policies or water-related targets are set. Water-related issues that relate to climate change are addressed through the same process described for Climate Change, ensuring consistency in how physical and transition risks are managed across our portfolio. This governance structure ensures that water issues, though limited in scope compared to other environmental priorities, are overseen at the highest level and integrated into our broader sustainability strategy and governance framework

Biodiversity

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Executive management overseeing new development

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing engagement in landscapes and/or jurisdictions

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Executive management overseeing new development utilizes the application of mitigation hierarchy (avoid, minimize, restore & offset) when operating in areas in close proximity to critical biodiversity. Under our construction and development policy, we consider biodiversity and the surrounding habitat, including the protection, restoration and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions and habitats for threatened and endangered species. We include green and outdoor spaces in our new developments. Note: Healthpeak owns healthcare properties and none of the land under our responsibility is used for production, extraction, or plantation activities, nor does it contain globally or nationally important biodiversity. Through our environmental assessments made at the time of an acquisition, we are made aware of any biodiversity-related risks, and deem them to be immaterial at this time. We do however, work with federal and local regulators to comply with biodiversity-related requirements. We consider proximity to pedestrian, bicycle and mass-transit networks, as well as biodiversity and the surrounding habitat, including the protection, restoration and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions and habitats for threatened and endangered species. However, we have not determined any of our properties to have biodiversity importance.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

☑ Sustainability committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

☑ Developing a climate transition plan

☑ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Half-yearly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our Corporate Impact Team meets regularly and is responsible for overseeing all sustainability initiatives, including: 1. Setting climate-related long-term corporate goals for GHG emission, energy, water, waste and recycling; 2. Measuring and monitoring performance and progress against those corporate goals; 3. Implementing best practices for climate initiatives, including developing a climate transition plan to a carbon-neutral economy through a physical climate risk assessment and review of building performance standards and regulations; 4. Assessing climate-related opportunities, including through review of new and emerging technologies, such as renewable energy; 5. Reviewing how our climate-related opportunities tie to our overall business strategy and create long-term value; 6. Reviewing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities through formal and informal assessments; 7. Conducting an annual physical climate risk assessment under the RCP 4.5 climate scenario This Corporate Impact Team is led by our General Counsel, who reports on Corporate Impact (sustainability) matters directly to the Board and is supported by a cross- functional team of Legal, Operations, Capital Asset Management, Finance and Human Resources.
[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

15

(4.5.3) Please explain

Incentive compensation targets are based on a combination of objective financial performance metrics and subjective individual performance. including the individual's performance on various Corporate Impact initiatives.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

Healthpeak does not provide monetary incentives that are solely tied to water management. Instead, water reduction and efficiency initiatives are integrated into our broader climate change and Corporate Impact performance scorecard under our executive Short-Term Incentive Plan. While water-related risks exist in some regions of our portfolio, our assessments have not identified any with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization. Therefore, water is not treated as an independent driver of executive compensation.
[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Corporate executive team

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Emission reduction

☑ Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative

Resource use and efficiency

☑ Energy efficiency improvement

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

1. Recognizing the importance of connecting Corporate Impact to our executive team's performance and overall business and strategy, the Compensation and Human Capital Committee of the Board adopted a Corporate Impact performance metric as part of the 2024 executive cash bonus program. 2. The Corporate Impact metric accounted for 15% of the overall 2024 executive bonus program, with all officers in the position of Executive Vice President or higher participating, including our President and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Development Officer and Head of Lab, Chief Investment Officer, and General Counsel. 3. The 2024 Corporate Impact performance metric scorecard contained both quantitative and qualitative environmental, social and governance factors. 4. For the 2024 bonus cycle, the Compensation and Human Capital Committee focused on areas that the executives could meaningfully and realistically impact during the annual cycle and that were significant to our overall sustainability and corporate impact strategy, including green building certifications and sustainable design; transparent environmental disclosure; diversity and inclusion initiatives; employee well-being; and sound corporate governance practices. 5. Specific metrics achieved included a 2.5 basis point reduction under our revolving credit facility's sustainability- linked pricing grid tied to an annual GHG emissions reduction target that is based on our long-term science-based emissions reduction target (progress toward long-term climate- related target; achievement of annual climate-related target; implementation of emissions reduction initiative); achieved ENERGY STAR Partner of the Year, Green Lease Leader recognition and more (progress toward long-term energy reduction target; energy efficiency improvement)

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The Compensation and Human Capital Committee of the Board selected metrics that underscore the importance of our climate commitment to our business and strategy. This annual incentive applies to all corporate-level executives across all functions, incentivizing them to oversee initiatives or support teams that help implement our company's climate commitments and decarbonization strategy. For example, achievement of annual GHG emissions reduction leads to savings under our credit facility while at the same time leading toward progress on our long-term science-based target to reduce Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions across our portfolio. To achieve several new ENERGY STAR building certifications, we had to benchmark energy efficiency for most properties in our portfolio, which helped us to identify outliers in energy performance and optimize projects to increase energy efficiency. The Committee selected primarily measurable Corporate Impact performance

criteria that it determined to be rigorous yet achievable, focusing on criteria that could be impacted by each NEO's performance during the period and were meaningful to the Company's key Corporate Impact initiatives.
[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:
☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply
☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Our environmental policy includes our commitments to value creation and resiliency within our portfolio, operations and upstream and downstream value chains. Our ability to positively impact change on climate-related issues material to our business is present in all aspects of our operations. During our Materiality Assessment performed in fall 2023, we considered both internal and external sources to identify the environmental, social, and governance topics relevant to our operations, key stakeholders, and industry. We reviewed guidelines and best practices from over a dozen sustainability reporting frameworks, rating agencies, building certifications, and industry associations. We also reviewed the public goals and initiatives of select capital partners, tenants, and operating partners, all of which have a significant impact on our portfolio, operations and upstream and downstream value chains. The results of the Materiality Assessment are incorporated into our 10-Year Corporate Impact Roadmap that drives energy, water, and waste reduction programs and other sustainable initiatives. The Roadmap serves as the cornerstone of Healthpeak's sustainability and corporate impact strategy and policy.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

☑ Other environmental commitment, please specify :Decarbonization and Climate Resiliency; Sustainable Buildings; Natural Resource Stewardship

Social commitments

☑ Commitment to promote gender equality and women's empowerment

☑ Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights

Additional references/Descriptions

☑ Reference to timebound environmental milestones and targets

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Healthpeak-2024-Corporate-Impact-Report-LR.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☑ Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Community Member

☑ Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)

☑ Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

We report and provide public confirmation of our commitment to GRI annually as a member of the community. We are part of the Science-Based Network by setting a public and validated science- based target with SBTi. Our commitment is publicly available on its website among "companies taking action" as part of its network. We are a public supporter of TCFD, and we annually report according to TCFD in our Corporate Impact Report.
[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

Healthpeak-2024-Corporate-Impact-Report-LR.pdf

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☑ No

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Pursuant to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, we generally do not use company funds or assets, including our personnel or facilities, to make political contributions to any political party, candidate, political action committee (or similar organization), or government official, unless an exception is expressly approved by our Board of Directors. On an annual basis, we report on the political expenditures of the previous fiscal year in our Corporate Impact Report. We disclose the contributions made to lobbying efforts and contributions made to (i) local, state, or national political campaigns; (ii) Section 501(c)(4) or Section 527 organizations; (iii) candidates, parties, or political committees; or (iv) ballot measures, for which there were none in 2024. We also disclose the membership dues paid to our various

industry trade associations. We are members of Section 501(c) trade associations that may be involved in endorsing or drafting legislation, lobbying, or supporting political campaigns. While a portion of the 2024 members' dues may be used toward such activities, we did not directly participate in such activities. For example, Nareit, the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, is the trade association for real estate investment trusts (REITs). Nareit supports and works to advance sustainability initiatives, including climate action, while showcasing the leadership of its members. Nareit and its political action committee, REITPAC, support legislation that encourages energy-efficient real estate and emission reductions, as well as climate disclosure legislation. Our SVP - Deputy General Counsel is part of Nareit's Real Estate Sustainability Council's executive leadership team and regularly reviews comment letters and position papers put out by Nareit to comment on real-time climate and energy issues and regulations. Further, members of our sustainability and corporate impact team are members of Nareit's Real Estate Sustainability Council, which primarily addresses climate issues, and actively participate on this Council and its various working groups to provide comments and feedback on building sector guidance and standards, including Science-Based Targets guidance, CRREM standards and other best practices, which helps to ensure that Nareit's engagement activities are consistent with our overall climate strategy.
[Fixed row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:
☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America
☑ Other trade association in North America, please specify :Nareit (National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts)

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization’s position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual’s position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization’s position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual’s position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Nareit, the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, is the trade association for real estate investment trusts (REITs). Nareit supports and works to advance sustainability initiatives, including climate action, while showcasing the leadership of its members. Nareit and its political action committee, REITPAC, support legislation that encourages energy-efficient real estate and emission reductions, as well as climate disclosure legislation. Our SVP - Deputy General Counsel is part of Nareit's Real Estate Sustainability Council's executive leadership team and regularly reviews comment letters and position papers put out by Nareit to comment on real-time climate and energy issues and regulations. Throughout 2024, members of our internal sustainability and Corporate Impact Team attended and actively participated in quarterly Nareit Real Estate Sustainability Council (RESC) meetings. During these meetings, our team members participated in feedback sessions across a variety of topics including, Science-based Target Initiatives’ building sector guidance, updates to Energy Star Portfolio Manager, approaches to managing Scope 3 emissions, other best practices and more.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

174971

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

We paid $174,971 in membership dues in FY2024 and received member benefits through membership dues paid, including indirectly benefitting from Nareit's influence on climate-related policymaking and legislation.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization’s engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

Row 2

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

☑ Other trade association in North America, please specify :NAIOP an industrial, office, and mixed-use commercial real estate trade association

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

To the best of our understanding, our organization's approach to climate risk and resilience is aligned with what NAIOP has disclosed publicly on its website regarding support for structured efforts to address the impacts of climate change.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

5882

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

We paid $5,882 in dues to NAIOP in FY2024, an industrial, office, and mixed-use commercial real estate trade association. To the best of our understanding, these dues support NAIOP's general advocacy and member services, which may include support for structured efforts to address the impacts of climate change and related policies, laws, or regulations that could affect the environment. NAIOP has confirmed that an estimated 55% of our membership dues are attributable to lobbying efforts.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, we have not evaluated

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:
☑ In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply
☑ GRI
☑ TCFD
☑ Other, please specify :UN SDGs, SASB, Bloomberg Gender-Equality Index

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change
☑ Water
☑ Biodiversity

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:
☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Strategy
☑ Governance
☑ Emission targets
☑ Emissions figures
☑ Risks & Opportunities
☑ Value chain engagement
☑ Biodiversity indicators
☑ Public policy engagement
☑ Water accounting figures
☑ Content of environmental policies

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

All (and specifically 9,12, 14-25, 28-30, 43-49 and the Appendices)

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Healthpeak-2024-Corporate-Impact-Report-LR.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

This publication is in line with GRI, TCFD, SASB, UNSDGs and the Bloomberg Gender-Equality Index standards and frameworks.
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:
☑ Every two years

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:
☑ Every two years
[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 4.5

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP2

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

☑ Reputation

☑ Technology

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 2.5ºC - 2.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

As disclosed on pages 16–18 of Healthpeak's 2024 Corporate Impact Report, and as part of our climate strategy, we conduct an annual physical climate risk assessment of our portfolio using the S&P Trucost Climate Risk Assessment, which applies the RCP 4.5 scenario. RCP 4.5 represents a moderate emissions pathway, projecting a temperature rise of approximately 2.4–3.0°C by 2100. We use this "middle-of-the-road" benchmark to assess long-term physical risk exposure across our properties, including wildfire, extreme heat, water stress, tropical cyclones, and coastal and fluvial flooding. The use of RCP 4.5 reflects a balance between worst-case scenarios and the more ambitious "well below 2°C" pathway, providing a realistic reference point for portfolio stress testing. However, inherent uncertainties remain, including the variability of regional climate projections, the evolving pace of global decarbonization, and the limitations of downscaled data at the property level. These constraints may affect the precision of asset-level risk scoring, particularly for acute climate events. Despite these uncertainties, the outputs of the scenario analysis provide a credible foundation to prioritize mitigation strategies. These include property-specific resilience investments (e.g., fire-retardant and heat-mitigating design features, flood protections, drought-resistant landscaping, efficient HVAC systems, and renewable energy integration) and ongoing insurance coverage evaluations. Incorporating these assumptions into our risk management process allows us to proactively identify and address high-exposure assets, enhance resilience planning, and align with our broader 10-Year Corporate Impact Roadmap.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Healthpeak selected the RCP 4.5 scenario, applied through the S&P Trucost Climate Risk Assessment, to evaluate physical risks across our portfolio. RCP 4.5 reflects a moderate emissions trajectory, projecting a 2.4–3.0°C rise by 2100, and is widely recognized as a balanced benchmark for scenario analysis. It avoids the distortions of a worst-case pathway while still providing robust stress testing to inform resilience planning. The tool enables property-level assessment of wildfire, extreme heat, water stress, tropical cyclones, and flooding—hazards most relevant to our geographically diverse healthcare real estate portfolio. For transition risks, we focus on regulatory, technological, and reputational factors identified through internal assessments and industry benchmarking. This includes monitoring emerging

building performance standards, evaluating the cost and adoption pace of low-emission technologies, and addressing tenant demand for sustainable and energy-efficient Lab and Outpatient Medical facilities. These factors were selected as they represent the most material transition risks and opportunities for our sector. By combining RCP 4.5 physical risk analysis with transition risk assessments tied to regulation, technology, and tenant markets, Healthpeak generates decision-useful insights. Importantly, this approach is consistent with our existing science-based GHG reduction targets and energy efficiency goals, ensuring that scenario analysis supports the same pathways we use to track and deliver progress. Together, these scenarios directly inform capital allocation, efficiency investments, renewable energy procurement, and design standards embedded in our 10-Year Corporate Impact Roadmap.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 4.5

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP2

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 2.5ºC - 2.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

As disclosed on pages 16–18 of Healthpeak's 2024 Corporate Impact Report, and as part of our climate strategy, we assess physical climate risks across our portfolio using the S&P Trucost Climate Risk Assessment, which applies the RCP 4.5 scenario. This moderate emissions pathway projects a global temperature increase of ~2.4–3.0°C by 2100 and provides a balanced benchmark for assessing long-term exposure to physical hazards, including water stress. Our analysis identifies which properties are located in regions projected to face medium to high levels of water stress, enabling us to prioritize capital planning and conservation measures. The analysis assumes future water availability will follow regional stress patterns consistent with RCP 4.5. While this provides a credible reference point, we recognize constraints in forecasting localized water dynamics, regulatory interventions, and tenant demand fluctuations. Additionally, downscaling climate models to the property level introduces uncertainty in how projected scarcity will translate into utility cost volatility, restrictions, or conservation mandates. Despite these uncertainties, the scenario outputs offer a structured basis for identifying long-term water-related risks and opportunities. They support targeted mitigation strategies such as installing drought-resistant landscaping, "smart" irrigation, condensate recovery systems, and efficient plumbing fixtures, as well as partnering with tenants to manage demand. Incorporating water stress into our scenario analysis ensures alignment with our 10% water reduction target by 2030 and strengthens resilience in markets most vulnerable to scarcity.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Healthpeak selected the RCP 4.5 scenario, applied through the S&P Trucost Climate Risk Assessment, because it provides a credible and widely used benchmark for evaluating water stress and related chronic climate risks. RCP 4.5 reflects a moderate emissions pathway, projecting ~2.4–3.0°C warming by 2100. This "middle-of-the-road" trajectory offers a realistic view of how regional water stress is likely to evolve and aligns with our approach to using balanced yet decision-useful scenarios. Water stress was chosen as a focus because it represents one of the most financially material chronic risks to healthcare real estate, particularly for Lab and Outpatient Medical facilities that depend on water for HVAC, cooling, sanitation, and landscaping. By integrating water stress scenarios into our risk management process, we can identify geographic hotspots where scarcity may impact operating costs, tenant operations, and resilience planning. The insights gained from this analysis guide our mitigation investments, such as xeriscaping, water-efficient plumbing and irrigation, and real-time monitoring technologies. Using RCP 4.5 for water stress analysis ensures that scenario testing is directly relevant to our portfolio and provides actionable outputs to inform long-term capital planning and resilience strategies.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ Customized publicly available climate physical scenario, please specify :GRESB and CRREM

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Using a decarbonization pathway that relies on GHG intensity (kgCO2e/m2/year), we performed a company-wide transition risk assessment through GRESB and the Carbon Risk Real Estate Monitor (CRREM) project for each asset. This transition analysis is a top-down, science-based decarbonization pathway for developed real estate markets across the globe. These decarbonization pathways are particularly useful because they translate high-level global commitments (e.g. Paris agreement, global carbon budgets, Net-Zero targets) into actionable reference points against which individual assets can be assessed. Because they are science-based, the CRREM decarbonization pathways are suitable for the Paris agreement goal or Net-Zero alignment purposes. Both the UN-convened Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance (NZ AOA) and the Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC) recommend the use of the CRREM decarbonization pathways to monitor real estate compliance with their framework criteria. While the 1.5°C CRREM pathways don't result in 0 CO2e/m2 by 2050, they get close and are considered ambitious enough to be the gold standard for Net-Zero alignment in combination with the other alignment measures of these frameworks. The CRREM transition risk analysis reviews the entire portfolio of properties (company-wide) and is limited to those regions and property types for which CRREM decarbonization pathways exist. The report includes estimated energy and GHG intensity values for all assets, regardless of the existence of corresponding decarbonization pathways. The decarbonization pathway is a floor area–weighted aggregation of the top-down, property-type- and region-specific decarbonization pathways derived by CRREM. Performance calculations are built from available asset-level energy data provided by GRESB Participant Members as part of the 2024 Real Estate Assessment. Where data was not available, the calculations include estimations modeled by GRESB. GHG emissions are calculated using the location-based method and include emissions related to the whole portfolio, regardless of their Scopes. Portfolio performance is projected into the future assuming a "do nothing" scenario by the participant.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

As a result of this analysis, Healthpeak reviewed the assets that would be "stranded" over the next decade, which informed where additional investments should be made through efficiency upgrades, renewable energy or otherwise, to lower GHG and energy intensities for those assets.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP2

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 4.0°C and above

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

In addition to our published RCP 4.5 analysis, we also apply the RCP 8.5 scenario as a "worst-case" sensitivity test. This high-emissions pathway projects a temperature rise of 4°C or more by 2100, with significantly greater potential severity and frequency of acute physical hazards such as wildfires, heat stress, flooding, and tropical cyclones. The same S&P Trucost Climate Risk Assessment software and methodology used for our RCP 4.5 analysis is applied for this scenario to ensure comparability of outputs. Unlike RCP 4.5, results from RCP 8.5 are not publicly disclosed in our Corporate Impact Report but are reviewed internally by our Risk Management and Sustainable Capital Planning teams to stress test portfolio resilience. Limitations include uncertainty in regional downscaling and the difficulty of predicting compounding effects under extreme warming conditions.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

We selected RCP 8.5 as a complementary analysis to RCP 4.5 to evaluate portfolio exposure under a high-emissions trajectory and ensure that resilience planning accounts for a full range of potential climate outcomes. While we view RCP 4.5 as a more realistic central case, RCP 8.5 provides important context for long-term stress testing and informs the prioritization of resilience investments, insurance reviews, and strategic capital allocation for high-risk assets.
[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

☑ Strategy and financial planning

☑ Resilience of business model and strategy

☑ Capacity building

☑ Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

In Q4 2023, our Board of Directors adopted the 10-Year Corporate Impact Roadmap to translate scenario analysis into actionable near-, mid-, and long-term priorities for decarbonization and resilience. In 2024, this Roadmap guided two foundational projects that have direct implications for our climate strategy and related environmental issues. First, we completed a full rebaselining of our GHG inventory following the Physicians Realty Trust merger. This work expanded our scope, refined methodologies, and established 2024 as a new baseline year for the combined company, significantly improving the accuracy and comparability of Scope 1, 2, and relevant Scope 3 data. By strengthening the foundation of our emissions tracking, we are better positioned to measure progress against our science-based targets and to incorporate transition risks such as regulation and energy price volatility into our capital planning. Second, we partnered with a third-party consultant to conduct Whole-Building Life Cycle Assessments (WBLCA) on three development projects. The pilot identified high-carbon materials and opportunities to reduce embodied carbon across our pipeline, while also streamlining data collection and informing future procurement guidance. These insights extend beyond climate risk by supporting circularity in construction materials, aligning with evolving regulatory expectations, and reducing environmental impacts tied to resource extraction and waste generation. Together, these 2024 initiatives demonstrate how scenario analysis outcomes are integrated into business planning—both by reinforcing the accuracy of our emissions pathway and by embedding embodied carbon reduction into our long-term development standards.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Our scenario analysis using the S&P Trucost Climate Risk Assessment under RCP 4.5 highlighted that a meaningful share of Healthpeak's portfolio is in medium- to high-water-stress regions, with exposure expected to increase toward 2050. The assessment confirmed that water scarcity is a chronic physical risk with direct implications for operating costs, regulatory compliance, and tenant experience, given the reliance on water for HVAC, cooling, sanitation, and landscaping across our healthcare real estate portfolio. By identifying geographic hotspots of elevated water stress, we can integrate this risk more effectively into our overall risk identification, assessment, and management processes. This ensures that water scarcity is considered alongside other physical hazards such as heat, wildfire, and flooding when prioritizing resilience actions.
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☑ Yes, but we have a climate transition plan with a different temperature alignment

(5.2.2) Temperature alignment of transition plan

Select from:

☑ Well-below 2°C aligned

(5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to add an explicit commitment within the next two years

(5.2.6) Explain why your organization does not explicitly commit to cease all spending on and revenue generation from activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Our organization does not explicitly commit to ceasing all spending on or revenue generation from activities contributing to fossil fuel expansion because our business model as a real estate investment trust (REIT) in the healthcare sector does not primarily engage in direct fossil fuel-related expansion operations. Our approach to addressing climate-related risks focuses on increasing energy efficiency across our properties, adopting renewable energy technologies where feasible, and continuously enhancing the sustainability performance of our buildings through certifications like LEED and ENERGY STAR. These efforts are aligned with our long-term goals to reduce our carbon emissions and we prioritize these incremental improvements and sustainability measures to move towards a decarbonized organization in the long term.

(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

☑ We have a different feedback mechanism in place

(5.2.8) Description of feedback mechanism

Our organization has established a structured process for engaging with investors and other stakeholders to gain direct feedback on our climate transition plans, as detailed in our Corporate Impact Report. We offer regular meetings with investors and stakeholders upon request, allowing for discussions about our sustainability strategy, climate-related goals, and progress toward our transition to a low-carbon future. These meetings provide an opportunity for stakeholders to voice their perspectives, offer insights, and ask questions about our initiatives, ensuring transparency and alignment with their expectations. In addition to these scheduled interactions, we actively participate in industry association events and conferences. This enables us to gather feedback from a broad range of stakeholders, including peers, industry experts, and regulatory bodies. These forums provide valuable insights into emerging trends, best practices, and stakeholder concerns, which we then incorporate into our ongoing climate transition planning and decision-making processes. Through these combined efforts, we ensure that our climate strategy remains responsive to stakeholder expectations and industry advancements.

(5.2.9) Frequency of feedback collection

Select from:

☑ More frequently than annually

(5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies

The key assumptions and dependencies underlying our climate transition plan are based on several critical factors: Technological Advancements: Our transition plan depends on the continued availability and advancement of energy-efficient technologies. We assume that innovations in high-efficiency HVAC systems, smart building technologies, renewable energy solutions, and building materials will progress sufficiently to allow us to meet our energy and emissions reduction targets. Market Demand for Sustainable Buildings: The transition plan is based on the assumption that tenant and investor demand for energy-efficient, sustainable buildings will continue to grow, particularly in our core healthcare real estate sectors of Outpatient Medical and Lab/Life Sciences. This shift in market demand supports our focus on obtaining certifications like LEED and ENERGY STAR, which are important in attracting tenants and securing competitive lease rates. Energy Availability and Pricing: The success of our transition plan relies on the availability of renewable energy at competitive prices. Our assumption is that renewable energy sources, such as on-site solar or off-site procurement through green tariffs, will become increasingly accessible and affordable, allowing us to further reduce our reliance on fossil fuels without impacting operating costs. Stakeholder Engagement: Our plan also assumes ongoing support and engagement from key stakeholders, including investors, tenants, and regulators. Regular feedback and collaboration with stakeholders, as well as industry association involvement, are essential for refining our climate strategy and ensuring that it remains aligned with broader market trends and expectations. These assumptions and dependencies are vital to the success of our transition plan, and we actively monitor and assess them to ensure our climate strategy remains responsive and adaptable to evolving conditions.

(5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

During the current reporting period, significant progress has been made toward our transition plan and emissions reduction targets as outlined in our 2024 Corporate Impact Report. Notably, we achieved an 8.2% reduction in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions on a like-for-like basis for 2024, contributing to a 26.4% cumulative reduction in GHG emissions since 2018. This keeps us on track to meet our science-based goal of reducing Scopes 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 37.5% by 2033, based on a 2018 baseline. In addition to emissions reductions, we realized energy savings across our portfolio, achieving a 1.8% reduction in energy consumption on a like-for-like basis in 2024. This adds up to a 6.9% cumulative reduction in energy use since 2020, moving us closer to our long-term goal of a 15% reduction by 2030. Our energy management efforts include the installation of LED lighting, energy-efficient HVAC systems, and the deployment of renewable energy technologies. Overall, these accomplishments demonstrate meaningful progress in reducing our environmental footprint and aligning with our climate transition plan, while continuing to implement innovative technologies and efficiency projects across our properties.

(5.2.12) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)

Healthpeak-2024-Corporate-Impact-Report-LR.pdf

(5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(5.2.14) Explain how the other environmental issues are considered in your climate transition plan

Water reduction is a critical focus area within our broader sustainability strategy, as we aim to minimize our environmental footprint while managing operational efficiencies across our portfolio. Our long-term water reduction target is to decrease water consumption by 10% by 2030, with a 1% annual reduction goal, using 2020 as the baseline. This effort aligns with our overall resource stewardship objectives and supports our climate transition plan by reducing water usage in a way that also lowers operational costs and enhances resilience to climate risks, particularly in water-stressed regions. In 2024, we achieved a 4.7% reduction in water consumption on a like-for-like basis, surpassing our reduction goal by 15% from a 2020 baseline. This progress demonstrates our commitment to continuously improving water management across our properties.

(5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Our strategy has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities, and we are developing a climate transition plan within the next year.

(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

We have a transition plan that aligns with "well below" 2 degree Celsius scenario planning pursuant to our validated science-based GHG emission reduction targets (adopted in 2019 and validated by the Science Based Targets initiative) to reduce Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by 37.5% by 2033, and Scope 3 emissions by 18.5% by 2033, in each case, against a 2018 baseline. In March 2024, Healthpeak merged with Physician's Realty Trust. The combination joined two leading platforms with a combined portfolio of over 50 million sq. ft. (an additional 16M sq. ft. from the legacy Physician's Realty Trust portfolio). Due to the size of the merger, we are planning to re-align our science-based targets with a new baseline year in FY2024 for the combined portfolio. During this process, we are evaluating new reduction targets in the short term.
[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☑ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

☑ Products and services

☑ Upstream/downstream value chain

☑ Investment in R&D

☑ Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Climate-related issues have influenced and are integrated into our business strategy and objectives. Our business strategy is to invest and manage our real estate portfolio for the long term to maximize the benefit to our stakeholders and support the growth of our business, including our dividends. Part of our business strategy necessarily involves the ability to borrow capital to acquire properties, finance capital investments and develop/redevelop properties. In 2021, we issued two green bonds with total gross proceeds of $950 million, with net proceeds of $938 million allocated to acquiring/developing LEED Gold certified properties in 2022, thereby lowering our cost to borrow this money while underscoring our commitment to owning green, resilient buildings. In addition, we upsized our credit facility and included a GHG emissions reduction target, which lowers the cost of borrowing if we meet our annual GHG emissions reduction target for Scopes 1 & 2. Thus, we tie our climate goals directly to our business strategy to finance acquisitions and development. Based on our 2023 GHG emissions performance, we maintained a 2.5 basis point reduction in 2024 under our credit facility with a sustainability-linked metric tied to our long-term GHG emissions reduction goals. Maintaining a strong, flexible balance sheet, alignment with preferred operators and tenants, and enhancing our operational excellence are strategic objectives in support of our business strategy. The methods in which we invest and manage our portfolio for the long-term are primarily driven by financial performance, but also include environmental performance considerations - a specific adjustment to our business strategy directly influenced by climate-related issues. For example, installing energy efficient equipment and technologies and ensuring that our buildings are managed with environmental efficiency in mind, aids in reducing emissions while improving environmental performance and cost savings. We purchased renewable energy sources for our Outpatient Medical buildings in Texas, and saw significant emissions reductions and energy cost savings, making these properties very desirable to tenants. Each of these outcomes support our strategy of investing and managing our portfolio for the

long term as well as our objective to enhance operational excellence. The long term strategy of improving environmental performance, and consequently reducing emissions, is based on our science-based climate target of reducing emissions by 37.5% for Scope 1+2 by 2033 against our 2018 baseline.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Healthpeak conducts regular vendor assessments based on climate issues and climate performance is a factor in determining whether to engage or renew a vendor. All vendors in the supply chain need to adhere to the policies in the Vendor Code of Conduct, which encourages all vendors/suppliers to (1) meet or exceed applicable environmental laws; (2) obtain, maintain and keep current all required environmental permits and registrations and follow reporting requirements; (3) identify and manage substances that pose an environmental threat; and (4) reduce or eliminate waste of all types, including water and energy, by implementing conservation measures and recycling. In addition, Healthpeak began reporting on its Scope 3 climate performance in 2019 via its GRESB submission and within our mainstream Environmental Data Report in 2025. One practical example is the implementation of a renewable energy procurement strategy for properties in Dallas, Texas to reduce our overall carbon impact and increase our use of renewable energy. In addition, within its own corporate offices, Healthpeak has increased its use of vendors providing sustainable solutions by switching over 75% of office products to recycled or recyclable products. Healthpeak conducts vendor assessments on a regular basis and reports them on an annual basis via voluntary disclosure frameworks, such as our annual Corporate Impact Report and Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) survey.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

We have committed an investment into R&D through investments in a property technology fund, which significantly enhances our strategy to maximize climate-related opportunities by helping enable the integration of cutting-edge technologies across our portfolio. This investment allows us to stay at the forefront of innovation in the real estate and healthcare sectors, particularly in areas such as energy management, smart building technologies, and resource efficiency. Through these advancements, we can continuously optimize building performance, reduce operational costs, and enhance the sustainability of our assets. By leveraging these emerging technologies, we can proactively capture climate-related opportunities such as enhanced energy savings, improved tenant satisfaction, and alignment with sustainability certifications like LEED and ENERGY STAR.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Our operations strategy has been significantly shaped by climate-related risks and opportunities, leading to a more resilient and sustainable approach across our portfolio. In response to physical climate risks, such as extreme weather events, heat stress, and rising sea levels, we have implemented comprehensive climate risk assessments at the property level. These assessments allow us to identify and mitigate vulnerabilities in our assets through investments in resilience measures, such as enhanced building design, flood protection, and emergency preparedness plans. Additionally, transition risks—including regulatory changes, shifts in market demand for sustainable properties, and rising energy costs—have prompted us to accelerate the adoption of energy-efficient technologies and renewable energy solutions. Our operations now prioritize the installation of LED lighting, high-efficiency HVAC systems, and smart building technologies to reduce energy consumption and minimize carbon emissions. This shift not only mitigates regulatory and market risks but also opens up opportunities for green building certifications (e.g., LEED, ENERGY STAR), which further improve operational performance and attract environmentally conscious tenants. Overall, climate-related risks and opportunities have

driven a more proactive and resilient operations strategy that not only protects our assets but also enhances efficiency, sustainability, and value creation across our portfolio.
[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply
☑ Access to capital

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply
☑ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Environmental opportunities have directly influenced our financial planning by expanding our access to capital and lowering our cost of borrowing. In 2021, we issued two green bonds with total gross proceeds of $950 million, with net proceeds of $938 million allocated to acquiring and developing LEED Gold certified properties in 2022. This transaction both underscored our commitment to resilient, sustainable assets and provided attractive financing, demonstrating how environmental performance creates access to capital on favorable terms. In addition, we upsized our credit facility and tied it to a GHG emissions reduction target. By linking our borrowing costs to achieving annual reductions in Scope 1 and 2 emissions, we directly integrate climate goals into our financing structure. Based on our 2023 emissions performance, we maintained a 2.5 basis point reduction in 2024 under this facility, further illustrating how environmental opportunities generate measurable financial benefits. Beyond debt instruments, investments in efficiency and renewable energy have reinforced our capital access by enhancing the competitiveness of our properties. For example, renewable energy procurement for Outpatient Medical buildings in Texas lowered emissions and operating costs, making these assets more attractive to tenants and investors. This improved market positioning supports long-term portfolio value, which in turn sustains favorable credit and investment

opportunities. Overall, environmental opportunities have become a material factor in our capital planning. By leveraging sustainable finance instruments, tying lending terms to climate targets, and improving asset-level efficiency, we ensure that access to capital is directly aligned with our environmental performance and long-term science-based emissions reduction goal of reducing Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 37.5% by 2033 against a 2018 baseline.
[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition	Methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Other methodology or framework

[Fixed row]

(5.4.1) Quantify the amount and percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition.

Row 1

(5.4.1.1) Methodology or framework used to assess alignment

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Healthpeak has developed a Green Financing Framework in alignment with the International Capital Markets Association (ICMA) Green Bond Principles 2021 (GBP) and Green Loan Principles 2021.

(5.4.1.5) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Revenue/Turnover

(5.4.1.6) Amount of selected financial metric that is aligned in the reporting year (currency)

950000000

(5.4.1.7) Percentage share of selected financial metric aligned in the reporting year (%)

11

(5.4.1.8) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2025 (%)

11

(5.4.1.9) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2030 (%)

11

(5.4.1.12) Details of the methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization’s climate transition

The framework used to assess alignment with our organization's climate transition plan is based on our comprehensive Green Financing Framework, which was developed in accordance with the International Capital Markets Association's (ICMA) Green Bond Principles 2021 and the Green Loan Principles 2021. This framework helps ensure that all green financing activities align with our sustainability goals and contribute to our broader climate objectives, particularly reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and transitioning to a low-carbon economy. Core Components: The framework consists of four core components: Use of Proceeds: Green financing proceeds are allocated to eligible green projects, such as investments in energy efficiency, renewable energy, sustainable water management, and pollution prevention. These projects must meet stringent environmental criteria, such as achieving LEED Gold or ENERGY STAR certification, or reducing water and energy consumption by at least 30%. This ensures that funds are used for projects that directly support climate change mitigation and adaptation. Process for Project Evaluation and Selection: A Green Finance Committee, composed of members from the Legal, Capital Asset Management, and Treasury & Capital Markets teams, is responsible for evaluating and selecting projects. This ensures that selected projects align with both our internal sustainability targets and the broader principles of green financing. Management of Proceeds: Funds from green financing transactions are tracked through a dedicated Green Financing Register, which records the allocation of proceeds to eligible projects. This process guarantees transparency and ensures that all funds are allocated within 36 months. Reporting: Healthpeak commits to transparent reporting on both the allocation of green financing proceeds and the environmental impact of the funded projects. Allocation reports are published annually, detailing the proportion of proceeds allocated to each project category. Impact reports are also provided, highlighting key performance indicators (KPIs) such as energy savings, GHG emissions reductions, and water use savings. Long-Term Climate Goals: Our Green Financing Framework is tightly aligned with our long-term climate transition goals. These goals include a 15-year science-based GHG emissions reduction target, which aligns with the well-below 2ºC scenario outlined by the Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi). By financing green projects, we aim to reduce operational emissions, enhance energy and water efficiency, and improve waste management, all of which contribute to our broader climate strategy. The framework also integrates our commitment to sustainable building practices, with a focus on attaining LEED and ENERGY STAR certifications, which support our goal of reducing the environmental footprint of our real estate assets. Governance and Oversight: Governance is a key pillar of our Green Financing Framework. The Board of Directors, through its Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, oversees Corporate Impact matters and receives regular updates on the progress of our green financing initiatives. This ensures that the

framework remains aligned with our organizational objectives and climate transition plan. Furthermore, Corporate Impact performance factors into the financial compensation of key management personnel, reinforcing accountability and commitment to achieving our climate goals. While 100% of the green bond proceeds have been aligned with our sustainability strategy and climate transition plan outlined in the allocation framework, the green bonds represent 11% of our overall long-term debt portfolio of 8.4B.
[Add row]

(5.5) Does your organization invest in research and development (R&D) of low-carbon products or services related to your sector activities?

(5.5.1) Investment in low-carbon R&D

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.5.2) Comment

Our Corporate Impact Team, working with our Capital Asset Managers, constantly evaluates new and emerging methods by which we can reduce our carbon footprint and environmental impact. We work with service providers and vendors who are actively using and developing low-carbon technologies and products. For instance, we partnered with a service provider to pilot a new quality data, which would allow us to monitor atmospheric data in real time to understand the relationship between heat exchange and water quality. This scalable solution is being piloted in our San Diego, CA Lab buildings and would allow us to maximize the life cycle of chiller plant equipment and optimize energy efficiency while providing up-to-the-moment data analytics. This would help increase operational efficiency, thereby maximizing our profitability. Throughout the year, we partnered with other similar vendors, and plan to continue this effort in the future. Additionally, we made a commitment to an investment in a property technologies fund, which gives us access to teams and platforms that are at the cutting edge of healthcare-focused real estate sustainability innovation, including digital workflows, infrastructure, artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, financial technology, and building management tools that facilitate the transition to a lower-carbon economy. As of August 2025, approximately 44% of the commitment has been called upon, the balance of which is expected to be called within a 3-5 year deployment window.
[Fixed row]

(5.5.6) Provide details of your organization's investments in low-carbon R&D for real estate and construction activities over the last three years.

Row 1

(5.5.6.1) Technology area

Select from:

☑ Building integrated photovoltaic systems

(5.5.6.2) Stage of development in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Applied research and development

(5.5.6.3) Average % of total R&D investment over the last 3 years

5

(5.5.6.4) R&D investment figure in the reporting year (unit currency as selected in 1.2) (optional)

0

(5.5.6.5) Average % of total R&D investment planned over the next 5 years

5

(5.5.6.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

Our Corporate Impact Team, working with our Capital Asset Managers, constantly evaluates new and emerging methods by which we can reduce our carbon footprint and environmental impact. We work with service providers and vendors who are actively using and developing low-carbon technologies and products. Throughout the year, we partnered with vendors, and plan to continue this effort in the future.

Row 2

(5.5.6.1) Technology area

Select from:

☑ Thermal storage

(5.5.6.2) Stage of development in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Small scale commercial deployment

(5.5.6.3) Average % of total R&D investment over the last 3 years

1

(5.5.6.4) R&D investment figure in the reporting year (unit currency as selected in 1.2) (optional)

0

(5.5.6.5) Average % of total R&D investment planned over the next 5 years

1

(5.5.6.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

In constructing new Lab developments in the San Diego, CA market, our Development team implemented new energy-saving construction elements to improve the thermal performance of buildings, including specialized "smart" view glass windows that automatically control temperature and glare, thereby reducing heightened energy costs or power outage risks from heat and cooling loss, while decreasing energy consumption. The Wi-Fi-connected smart glass surfaces are equipped with environmental sensors that can read room occupancy, weather, and sunlight. The louvers adjust tints to allow in more solar heat when needed. We intend to continue to research and invest in similar emerging/new construction elements relating to thermal performance.

Row 4

(5.5.6.1) Technology area

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Prop-Tech Investment; AI Site Assessments

(5.5.6.2) Stage of development in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Pilot demonstration

(5.5.6.3) Average % of total R&D investment over the last 3 years

0

(5.5.6.4) R&D investment figure in the reporting year (unit currency as selected in 1.2) (optional)

0

(5.5.6.5) Average % of total R&D investment planned over the next 5 years

100

(5.5.6.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

In 2024, Healthpeak made a commitment to a property technology venture capital fund, which gives us access to teams and platforms that are at the cutting edge of healthcare-focused real estate sustainability innovation, including digital workflows, infrastructure, artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, financial technology, and building management tools that facilitate the transition to a lower-carbon economy. Our initial engagement features an AI-powered property intelligence platform that uses drone imagery, AI, and analysis to assess site and building conditions. The platform generates an interactive digital twin that highlights areas needing attention, estimates square footage and repair costs, and visually prioritizes recommendations based on urgency. We are currently piloting this tool at several CCRC campuses to evaluate its potential to streamline inspections, inform capital planning, and support long term asset performance. As of August 2025, approximately 44% of the commitment has been called upon, the balance of which is expected to be called within a 2-4 year deployment window.
[Add row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

-79

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

Our year-over-year water-related capital expenditures vary based on project timing and need. In 2023, we invested over $400,000 to install three condensate recovery systems in Texas, resulting in a nearly 700% increase in spend compared to 2022. As a result, 2024 expenditures appear lower by comparison, decreasing 79% year-over-year. Our approach is need-based, prioritizing high-impact projects such as smart irrigation, xeriscaping, low-flow fixtures, and condensate recovery that conserve resources and reduce costs. While we do not currently report OPEX spend related to water separately, these investments, together with portfolio-wide efficiency policies, enabled us to achieve our 2030 water reduction goal ahead of schedule.
[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Environmental externality priced
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Carbon

[Fixed row]

(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization's internal price on carbon.

Row 1

(5.10.1.1) Type of pricing scheme

Select from:

☑ Internal fee

(5.10.1.2) Objectives for implementing internal price

Select all that apply

☑ Conduct cost-benefit analysis

☑ Drive energy efficiency

(5.10.1.3) Factors considered when determining the price

Select all that apply

☑ Cost of required measures to achieve climate-related targets

(5.10.1.4) Calculation methodology and assumptions made in determining the price

Healthpeak's internal price of carbon is calculated based on its investment in sustainability projects to reduce emissions. For example, Healthpeak invested $12.6M in 210 sustainability projects in 2024, reducing annual emissions by 2,587 MTCO2e. Based on this investment, the cost for the reduction of carbon emissions is calculated to be approximately $4,888/MTCO2e. The carbon emissions from the sustainability projects are calculated post-implementation of the projects. The price of emission mitigation helps inform our future decision-making with respect to capital investment projects, which are aligned with our Scope 1 and 2 long-term emissions reduction goals. Because the internal price of carbon is paid for from our sustainability budget for capital investment projects, there is an incentive to drive down emissions/energy costs through efficiency measures to spend less money on utility costs, purchase fewer offsets and reinvest those savings into new efficiency technologies/projects.

(5.10.1.5) Scopes covered

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

(5.10.1.6) Pricing approach used – spatial variance

Select from:

☑ Uniform

(5.10.1.8) Pricing approach used – temporal variance

Select from:

☑ Static

(5.10.1.10) Minimum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO2e)

4888

(5.10.1.11) Maximum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO2e)

4888

(5.10.1.12) Business decision-making processes the internal price is applied to

Select all that apply

☑ Capital expenditure

☑ Operations

☑ Procurement

(5.10.1.13) Internal price is mandatory within business decision-making processes

Select from:

☑ No

(5.10.1.14) % total emissions in the reporting year in selected scopes this internal price covers

100

(5.10.1.15) Pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve objectives

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.10.1.16) Details of how the pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve your objectives

To track the effectiveness of investments and the impact on emissions, Healthpeak monitors several key performance indicators (KPIs), including: 1. Investment Amount and Project Count: The total capital invested in sustainability initiatives and the number of projects undertaken are tracked annually. For instance, in 2024, $12.6M was invested across 210 projects. 2. Emission Reductions Achieved: Post-implementation, the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions is calculated and monitored. In 2024, the projects led to an estimated reduction of 2,587 metric tons of CO2 equivalent (MTCO2e). 3. Internal Price of Carbon: The cost per metric ton of CO2e reduced, calculated at 4,888/MTCO2e, serves as a benchmark for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of the projects. 4. Energy Efficiency Metrics: Changes in energy consumption across facilities are tracked to assess the impact of efficiency measures, including reductions in utility costs.
[Add row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change
Customers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change
Investors and shareholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change
Other value chain stakeholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

	Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ We engage with all suppliers

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Regarding our policies outlined in 5.11.5, we require all vendors/business partners to obtain, maintain and keep current all environmental permits and registrations and follow the operational and reporting requirements of such permits. We also require them to manage hazardous materials by identifying and managing substances that pose a threat to the environment or community safety if released.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☑ Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Through our Vendor Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which all vendors and business partners acknowledge, we require all vendors/business partners to obtain, maintain and keep current all environmental permits and registrations and follow the operational and reporting requirements of such permits. We also require them to manage hazardous materials by identifying and managing substances that pose a threat to the environment or community safety if released.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Environmental permits and registrations; Hazardous material management

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Sign-off acknowledgement of our Vendor Code of Conduct

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.6.12) Comment

All vendors must review and acknowledge our Vendor Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, where we require all vendors/business partners to obtain, maintain and keep current all environmental permits and registrations and follow the operational and reporting requirements of such permits. We also require them to manage hazardous materials by identifying and managing substances that pose a threat to the environment or community safety if released.
[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Collaborate with suppliers on innovative business models and corporate renewable energy sourcing mechanisms

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

As part of Healthpeak's commitment to responsible operations, our production IT environment is hosted in a data center powered entirely by renewable energy. In 2024, the host company matched Healthpeak's electricity use with Renewable Energy Credits (RECs) from U.S.-based solar farms, ensuring that our IT operations were 100% supported by clean energy. By retiring RECs on Healthpeak's behalf, our hosting partner ensures that zero emissions are generated from our IT operations within their facilities. These actions align with our environmental objectives while fostering stronger vendor engagement around collective sustainability efforts.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ Yes

Water

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

☑ Run a campaign to encourage innovation to reduce environmental impacts

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ 26-50%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Our customers primarily include tenants of our Lab and Outpatient Medical buildings. We engage with about 60% of these customers (tenants), based on the total number of tenants in the Lab and Outpatient Medical segments, on multiple climate-related initiatives through an annual tenant survey distributed to over 2,600 tenants in 2024, as well as direct engagement through annual sector conferences and tenant meetings. We primarily engage with these tenants as these two segments account for over 70% of our entire portfolio. We also have long-standing and deeper relationships with these customers (tenants) that allow us to collaborate and innovate on climate-related issues. With our customers (tenants), we collaborate and innovate on climate-related initiatives such as discussing best practices in sustainability and evaluating new building technologies that can decrease carbon emissions, save energy and reduce waste. We communicate our company's long-term GHG emissions, energy, water and waste goals to our tenants and collaborate on how to achieve these goals within the buildings where the tenants lease space. Examples of these collaboration efforts include Earth Day scavenger hunts for energy efficiencies ("Earth Day Treasure Hunt: Digging for Hidden Savings"), Earth Day programming, green leases, recycling initiatives, installation of LED lighting upgrades, HVAC upgrades, and replacements and implementing water-saving technologies.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

For example, we incorporated Green Lease language into our standard lease forms to include cost recovery for capital expenditures to reduce operating expenses, cost recovery for property certifications, sub-metering of high-intensity equipment, and required client energy disclosure. Approximately 100% of all new Outpatient Medical leases since Q2 2023 include green lease provisions. We also measure the success of these initiatives by quarterly assessing cost savings, return on investment and payback for each project/initiative, as well as tracking energy savings and GHG emissions reductions at the property level. For example, we incorporated Green Lease language into our standard lease forms to include cost recovery for capital expenditures to reduce operating expenses, cost recovery for property certifications, sub-metering of high-intensity equipment, and required client energy disclosure.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Align your organization’s goals to support customers’ targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

In fall 2023, we conducted a materiality assessment to identify and prioritize sustainability and corporate impact issues driving our businesses’ competitive position and long-term stakeholder value creation, as well as identifying and prioritizing those issues that had an observed effect on our people and the communities in which we operate. We engaged our stakeholders throughout this process through interviews and surveys and conducted a thorough review of our shared sustainability practices and goals. In the priority topic identification portion of the assessment we reviewed the climate-related priorities within the ISS and Glass Lewis Proxy Voting Guidelines and Benchmark Policy Recommendations. Many of our institutional investors refer to the ISS and Glass Lewis guidelines to align their climate-related voting decisions. During the stakeholder feedback portion of the assessment, we engaged with three of our institutional investors to invite their feedback on our priority topics and better align their priorities with ours. Our Corporate Governance team also annually assesses the voting guidelines and climate priorities of our top institutional investors. Additionally, the members of the Corporate Impact Team and our Investor Relations team meet regularly with investors to discuss our approach and progress on various climate-related topics. Topics discussed on the investor meetings include our approach to physical climate risks and long-term decarbonization goals and progress.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

The results of the materiality assessment incorporated the feedback from our institutional investors and the voting guidelines and policy recommendations from ISS and Glass Lewis. The climate-related material topics were incorporated into our Corporate Impact Roadmap and will drive our internal sustainability strategy for the next 10 years. Throughout the year, we record the topics discussed during our meetings with investors and discuss the impact of emerging topics on our 10-year Corporate Impact Roadmap. These activities help us evolve our strategy and priorities, incorporating investor feedback where possible to better align our climate efforts with investor expectations.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Capital Partners

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Align your organization’s goals to support customers’ targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

In fall 2023, we conducted a materiality assessment to identify and prioritize sustainability and corporate impact issues driving our businesses' competitive position and long-term stakeholder value creation, as well as identifying and prioritizing those issues that had an observed effect on our people and the communities in which we operate. We engaged our stakeholders throughout this process through interviews and surveys, and conducted a thorough review of our shared sustainability practices and goals. In the priority topic identification portion of the assessment, we reviewed the climate-related priorities of four of our largest capital partners. We reviewed their public disclosures and incorporated their priority topics into the formation of our priority topics. During the stakeholder feedback portion of the assessment, we engaged further with a key capital partner to invite their feedback on our priority topics and better align their priorities with ours. Additionally, members of the Corporate Impact Team have had several conversations and correspondences with our capital partners regarding our shared sustainability goals related to our joint-venture properties. Topics discussed at the investor meetings include our tenant engagement strategies, disclosure requirements, and capital investments in energy-efficient equipment.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

The results of the materiality assessment incorporated the feedback from our capital partners. The climate-related material topics were incorporated into our Corporate Impact Roadmap and will drive our internal sustainability strategy for the next 10 years. Throughout the year, we work with our capital partners to disclose climate-related information regarding our joint-venture properties and work to align our shared sustainability goals and activities. These activities help us evolve our strategy and priorities, incorporating investor feedback where possible to better align our climate efforts with investor expectations.
[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

	Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(5.13.1) Specify the CDP Supply Chain members that have prompted your implementation of mutually beneficial environmental initiatives and provide information on the initiatives.

Row 1

(5.13.1.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Bristol-Myers Squibb

(5.13.1.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.13.1.4) Initiative ID

Select from:

☑ Ini1

(5.13.1.5) Initiative category and type

Other, please specify

☑ Other initiative type, please specify :Earth Day Event

(5.13.1.6) Details of initiative

For Earth Day, we hosted a tenant engagement event at The Shore that combined education, community, and sustainability. The initiative included a clothing donation drive and e-recycling collection, giving tenants opportunities to responsibly divert waste. An environmental services vendor provided an educational demonstration on the role of bees in local ecosystems, while a landscape partner led a hands-on DIY succulent potting activity. To encourage participation and build community, food was provided for tenants throughout the event.

(5.13.1.7) Benefits achieved

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :The event reduced waste through clothing and electronics diversion, increased environmental awareness, and strengthened tenant engagement in sustainability.

(5.13.1.8) Are you able to provide figures for emissions savings or water savings in the reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(5.13.1.11) Please explain how success for this initiative is measured

Success for this initiative is measured by tenant participation and engagement during the event, as well as through our internal post-event survey completed by teams, which captures key details and feedback to help us track outcomes and improve future initiatives.

(5.13.1.12) Would you be happy for CDP Supply Chain members to highlight this work in their external communication?

Select from:

☑ Yes

Row 2

(5.13.1.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Bristol-Myers Squibb

(5.13.1.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.13.1.4) Initiative ID

Select from:

☑ Ini2

(5.13.1.5) Initiative category and type

Certification

☑ Other certification, please specify :LEED BD+C: Core and Shell

(5.13.1.6) Details of initiative

At The Shore at Sierra Point, Phase 1 earned LEED® Silver certification under the LEED BD+C: Core & Shell v4 rating system, certified by the U.S. Green Building Council in 2021. This certification underscores our commitment to reducing environmental impacts, supporting tenant health and well-being, and advancing our broader sustainability strategy through the development of resilient, high-performance buildings.

(5.13.1.7) Benefits achieved

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :The certification delivered broad benefits including improved energy and water efficiency, reduced environmental impacts from construction and operations, and enhanced indoor environmental quality to support tenant well-being.

(5.13.1.8) Are you able to provide figures for emissions savings or water savings in the reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(5.13.1.11) Please explain how success for this initiative is measured

Success for this initiative is measured by achieving third-party LEED certification from the U.S. Green Building Council, confirming the property met defined sustainability criteria across energy, water, materials, and indoor environmental quality.

(5.13.1.12) Would you be happy for CDP Supply Chain members to highlight this work in their external communication?

Select from:

☑ Yes

[Add row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Healthpeak includes properties where the company has operational control—i.e., buildings that we maintain, provide service to, and/or have the authority to implement operating policies with respect to energy usage, water usage and/or waste disposal. This approach allows us to report, manage and reduce emissions from our direct business activities.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Healthpeak includes properties where the company has operational control—i.e., buildings that we maintain, provide service to, and/or have the authority to implement operating policies with respect to energy usage, water usage and/or waste disposal. This approach allows us to report, manage and reduce emissions from our direct business activities.

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Healthpeak includes properties where the company has operational control—i.e., buildings that we maintain, provide service to, and/or have the authority to implement operating policies with respect to energy usage, water usage and/or waste disposal. This approach allows us to report, manage and reduce emissions from our direct business activities.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Healthpeak includes properties where the company has operational control—i.e., buildings that we maintain, provide service to, and/or have the authority to implement operating policies with respect to energy usage, water usage and/or waste disposal. This approach allows us to report, manage and reduce emissions from our direct business activities.
[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

(7.1.1.1) Has there been a structural change?

Select all that apply
☑ Yes, a merger

(7.1.1.2) Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with

Physicians Realty Trust

(7.1.1.3) Details of structural change(s), including completion dates

On March 1, 2024, Healthpeak completed an all-stock merger of equals with Physicians Realty Trust, adding approximately 16 million square feet of Outpatient Medical properties to our portfolio
[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

(7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, a change in boundary

(7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

Consistent in our definition of our operational reporting boundary, we added approx. 16M Sq Ft of Outpatient Medical Properties. The merger was completed on March 1, 2024. We have retroactively incorporated all assets into the FY2023 and FY2024 reporting boundaries as reported in this response and disclosed in our 2024 Environmental Data Report and 2024 Corporate Impact report on our website.
[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.1.3.2) Scope(s) recalculated

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2, location-based

☑ Scope 2, market-based

(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

In accordance with the GHG Protocol, our policy is to recalculate base year emissions when structural or methodological changes result in more than a 5% change to our portfolio. Following our March 1, 2024 merger with Physicians Realty Trust, we re-baselined our GHG inventory to include all 12 months of calendar year 2023 activity from the Physicians Realty Trust portfolio. This adjustment ensured that our baseline reflected the combined company and exceeded our significance

threshold. We also use a rolling base year methodology, under which year-over-year progress is compared to the previous fiscal year for assets that have been owned and operational for 24 consecutive months. Including the full 2023 activity for the Physicians Realty Trust assets allows for comparability across reporting years and alignment of our science-based targets with the combined company.

(7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation

Select from:
☑ Yes
[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply
☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard
☑ US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	*Select from:* ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	*n/a*

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

87301

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 1 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

330235

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 2 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

305937

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 2 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7426

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 3 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol. Please note that 2023 Scope 3 categories do not include the pre-merger Physicians Realty Trust operational emissions.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

62515

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 3 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol. Please note that 2023 Scope 3 categories do not include the pre-merger Physicians Realty Trust operational emissions.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

131806

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 3 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol. Please note that 2023 Scope 3 categories do not include the pre-merger Physicians Realty Trust operational emissions.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

28136

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 3 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol. Please note that 2023 Scope 3 categories do not include the pre-merger Physicians Realty Trust operational emissions.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

886

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 3 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol. Please note that 2023 Scope 3 categories do not include the pre-merger Physicians Realty Trust operational emissions.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

270

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 3 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol. Please note that 2023 Scope 3 categories do not include the pre-merger Physicians Realty Trust operational emissions.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

314

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 3 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol. Please note that 2023 Scope 3 categories do not include the pre-merger Physicians Realty Trust operational emissions.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

27813

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The emissions for downstream leased assets which are not directly controlled by Healthpeak were calculated based on the following factors: EPA eGRID 2016 and EPA conversion factors for fuels. The global warming potentials are based on the IPCC 5th assessment. This follows the same methodology used to calculate Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Methodological details
Reporting year	*71829*	*Scope 1 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol.*

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Methodological details
Reporting year	*297642*	*259131*	*Scope 2 emissions have been calculated for our operational boundary according to the GHG Protocol.*

[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

74625

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

The chosen emissions factor source is the EPA Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (USEEIO) Models (2018): IO Table: BEA all sectors and for 75 sectors; Type: Purchaser; Reference: Commodity/Industry. Line items were identified and categorized as either capital expenditures (capital goods) or operational expenditures (purchased goods and services) based on the categorization of the spend statements and accompanying notes provided by Healthpeak. Each Healthpeak spend category is mapped to the EEIO Commodity category to determine the appropriate emissions factor. Spend categories are identified for exclusion based on GHG Protocol guidance (taxes, insurance, employee benefits (401k), negative values, etc.) and based on if they are already accounted for elsewhere in the inventory (e.g., utilities, business travel). The EEIO factors applied used GWP AR6 to convert to CO2e.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

31849

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

The chosen emissions factor source is the EPA Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (USEEIO) Models (2018): IO Table: BEA all sectors and for 75 sectors; Type: Purchaser; Reference: Commodity/Industry. Each Healthpeak spend category is mapped to the EEIO Commodity category to determine the appropriate emissions factor. Spend categories are identified for exclusion based on GHG Protocol guidance (e.g., negative values, etc.). This process will be completed each year to account for any changes in the business. The EEIO factors applied used GWP AR6 to convert to CO2e. Note that per the GHG Protocol, emissions for capital expenditures are not amortized or depreciated, but rather, are accounted for in full at the time the expenditures take place.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

117864

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Energy use data (actual and estimated) from Scope 1 and 2 natural gas and electricity

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Energy use data (actual and estimated) from Scope 1 and 2 natural gas and electricity is utilized. For well to tank emissions, total energy use is multiplied by the converted factor—gross CV Well to Tank (WTT) for natural gas and WTT generation for electricity. For transmission and distribution (T&D), grid losses in kWh are calculated and then multiplied by the corresponding market-based emissions factor (consistent with Scope 2 calculations). Market Based calculation: Integrate utility-level carbon intensity factors and green tariff data as available. Multiply total kWh by tariff, utility, or residual mix factors where available; else, multiply kWh by location- based factors to calculate market-based emissions in mtCO2e. If green tariff, utility specific emission factors, and residual mix emission factors are unavailable, the location- based emissions factor (based on geographic location) are applied.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is not relevant to Healthpeak because transportation and distribution emissions from goods and services purchased were determined to likely be de minimis and data from shipping providers is not available. Any potential overlap is captured within Category 2 (Capital Goods) through our embodied carbon accounting.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

27869

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Utilized the waste data, in units of tons, and multiply it by the appropriate emission factors based on material and disposal type to calculate emissions in mtCO2e. Note the emissions factor applied from the US EPA Emissions Factor Hub uses AR5 (not AR6 which the rest of the inventory uses) to calculate mtCO2e. This is the current most accurate factor. Emission Factor Source: US EPA Emissions Factor Hub, published January 2025, Table 9, AR5

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

3481

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Spend-related emissions are calculated based on the Expense type below: • Air travel, Rental Car, Limo/Executive Car service, Taxi/Ride Share, and Train/Subway emissions are calculated using the appropriate EEIO factor with the spend-based method. • Hotel emissions are estimated by dividing the total spend by the average nightly hotel cost, then multiplying by the Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) emission factor for Hotel room costs per night in US. This is a spend-based method. This factor uses AR5. • Personal Car emissions are determined by dividing the total spend by the average annual US gasoline price and multiplying by the relevant gasoline emission factor.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

676

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

To calculate the distance travelled between employee home and office locations, the latitude and longitude coordinates for both zip codes (home vs. office) are estimated, and a distance equation using estimated coordinates is used to calculate the distance in miles between the coordinates in Excel. Miles are then multiplied by the appropriate emissions factor for employee commuting. For employees working from home, the Anthesis Remote Worker Emissions Methodology is applied for electricity and natural gas consumption intensity by country, per person per day. Using employee home zip codes, the EGRID emissions factors are used to calculate work from home emissions.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

305

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Asset-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Upstream leased assets refer to Healthpeak's leased corporate offices, which are outside of our Scope 1 and 2 operational boundary. Our operational boundary only includes assets that are both owned and directly managed by Healthpeak. Energy data is calculated by taking the leased office space square footage is multiplied by the BPD Office natural gas EUI, which is then used to calculate emissions using the relevant EGrid Factors.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Healthpeak does not ship products as part of its business model, therefore this category is not relevant for inclusion.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Healthpeak does not produce products that require intermediate processing; therefore this category is not relevant for inclusion.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Healthpeak does not develop buildings for immediate sale (i.e. buildings as a product), therefore this category is not relevant for inclusion.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Healthpeak does not develop buildings for immediate sale (i.e. buildings as a product), therefore this category is not relevant for inclusion.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

169420

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Asset-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

17

(7.8.5) Please explain

Our Scope 3 - Downstream leased assets are defined as assets under ownership that are not considered to be within our operational control and boundary. The calculation and estimation approach is consistent with the methodologies applied to our Scope 1 and 2 GHG calculations.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Healthpeak does not operate a franchise model therefore this category is not relevant for inclusion.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Healthpeak is not considered a financial institution due to investments constituting less than 5% of Healthpeak's total revenue, therefore, the category is deemed not relevant.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

All relevant Scope 3 categories were evaluated as part of our inventory development process, and no additional categories were determined to be relevant beyond those already disclosed.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

All relevant Scope 3 categories were evaluated as part of our inventory development process, and no additional categories were determined to be relevant beyond those already disclosed.

[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

Healthpeak-2024-Environmental-Data-Corporate-Impact-Report-LR.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

7

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ Corporate GHG verification guidelines from ERT

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☑ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Healthpeak-2024-Environmental-Data-Corporate-Impact-Report-LR.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

7

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ Corporate GHG verification guidelines from ERT

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

- ☑ Scope 3: Capital goods
- ☑ Scope 3: Business travel
- ☑ Scope 3: Employee commuting
- ☑ Scope 3: Upstream leased assets
- ☑ Scope 3: Downstream leased assets
- ☑ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services
- ☑ Scope 3: Waste generated in operations
- ☑ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Healthpeak-2024-Environmental-Data-Corporate-Impact-Report-LR.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

7

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ Corporate GHG verification guidelines from ERT

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

The Renewable Energy Credits (RECs) contributed to a savings of 25,129 MT CO2e (80,725 MWH) in 2024. We did not purchase any additional RECs in 2024 that created a substantial effect on our overall GHG Inventory; therefore there was not a significant change in the emissions savings related to renewable energy consumption.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13057.19

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

4

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Within our boundary buildings, we observed a like-for-like decrease in emissions of 13,057 MTCO2e as a result of the continued implementation of emissions reduction activities. Our Rolling Base Year methodology measures our emissions on a like-for-like basis that compares our boundary buildings that have been owned for two full years (2023 and 2024) which covers 370 properties within our boundary. As reported in our 2024 Corporate Impact Report, our like-for-like emissions for 2023 were 323,653 MT CO2e and 2024 were 297,213 MT CO2e, for a total difference of 26,440 MT CO2e. Please note for the purposes of comparability, the merged assets within the Physicians Realty Trust portfolio that were also under ownership for 24 consecutive months (excluding the transfer of ownership during the Merger), were included in the 370 properties and their complete GHG emissions were included in both FY2023 and FY2024 like-for-like figures.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Our Rolling Base Year methodology measures our emissions on a like-for-like basis that compares our boundary buildings that have been owned for two full years (2023 and 2024) which covers 370 properties within our boundary. Therefore, no divestment or acquisition changes are incorporated into our reduction totals as presented within this response.

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Our Rolling Base Year methodology measures our emissions on a like-for-like basis that compares our boundary buildings that have been owned for two full years (2023 and 2024) which covers 370 properties within our boundary. Therefore, no divestment or acquisition changes are incorporated into our reduction totals as presented within this response.

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Our Rolling Base Year methodology measures our emissions on a like-for-like basis that compares our boundary buildings that have been owned for two full years (2023 and 2024) which covers 370 properties within our boundary. Therefore, no divestment or acquisition changes are incorporated into our reduction totals as presented within this response. Please note for the purposes of comparability, the merged assets within the Physicians Realty Trust portfolio that were also under ownership for 24 consecutive months (excluding the transfer of ownership during the Merger), were included in the 370 properties and their complete GHG emissions were included in both FY2023 and FY2024 like-for-like figures.

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13383

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

4

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

We experienced a decrease of 13,383 MT CO2e due to a change in calculation methodology, wherein we incorporated utility-specific emissions factors where available across our portfolio for the first time. This led to a more accurate reflection of our negotiated utility rates on a utility-by-utility basis. Our Rolling Base Year methodology measures our emissions on a like-for-like basis that compares our boundary buildings that have been owned for two full years (2023 and 2024) which covers 370 properties within our boundary. As reported in our 2024 Corporate Impact Report, our like-for-like emissions for 2023 were 323,653 MT CO2e and 2024 were 297,213 MT CO2e, for a total difference of 26,440 MT CO2e. Please note for the purposes of comparability, the merged assets within the Physicians Realty Trust portfolio that were also under ownership for 24 consecutive months (excluding the transfer of ownership during the Merger), were included in the 370 properties and their complete GHG emissions were included in both FY2023 and FY2024 like-for-like figures.

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No other change
[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:
☑ Market-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

71743

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

51

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

35

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)
[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
United States of America	*71829*	*297642*	*259131*

[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By business division

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	*Outpatient Medical*	*26607*
Row 2	*Lab*	*24454*
Row 3	*Senior Housing*	*13753*
Row 4	*Other (refrigerants and non-asset specific mobile combustion)*	*7015*

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By business division

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Outpatient Medical*	*216314*	*193700*
Row 2	*Lab*	*32425*	*18118*
Row 3	*Senior Living*	*48903*	*47312*

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

71829

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

297642

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

259131

(7.22.4) Please explain

All emissions within our operational boundary fall within our consolidated accounting group as reported within 7.6 and 7.7.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

All emissions within our operational boundary fall within our consolidated accounting group as reported within 7.6 and 7.7.
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ Not relevant as we do not have any subsidiaries

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:
☑ More than 10% but less than or equal to 15%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

359158

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

359158.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

80725

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

804766

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

885491.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

6291

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

6291.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

4897

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

4897.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

80725

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

815954

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

896679.00
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	*Select from:*

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
	☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

359157

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Natural Gas emissions factor 0.181, the propane emissions factor of.215 and a diesel emissions factor of.253 (using metric tons CO2e per MWh). Emissions factor source: EPA Energy Star "Portfolio Manager Technical Reference: Greenhouse Gas Emissions".

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

359158

(7.30.7.8) Comment

See above.
[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Default delivered electricity from the grid (e.g. standard product offering by an energy supplier), supported by energy attribute certificates

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Grid-connected low-carbon electricity

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

80725

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ US-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

None

Row 2

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:
☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:
☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:
☑ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Grid-connected low-carbon electricity

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

28936.28

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ No instrument used

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:
☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

None
[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

885491

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

11188

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

896679.00
[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.000122557

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

330960

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:
☑ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

2700449000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:
☑ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

32

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☑ Other emissions reduction activities

☑ Mergers

(7.45.9) Please explain

Our comparative 2023 Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions includes emissions for the combined company (both legacy Healthpeak and Physicians Realty Trust), while the 2023 total revenue figure does not include revenues generated from the legacy Physicians Realty Trust organization prior to the Merger March 1, 2024. A decrease in the intensity figures is a results of the decreased Scope 1 and 2 Emissions (including the implemented projects described in question 7.55.2) and an increase to the FY2024 Revenues.
[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Water usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

4182

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Megaliters

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

N/A

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

5

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

We use a rolling base year comparison for our metrics that compares boundary properties that are owned for two full consecutive years. For the 370 properties compared, the 2024 water usage was 4,182 megaliters and the 2023 rolling base year water usage was 4,387 megaliters, resulting in a 5% decrease.

Row 2

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Total waste

(7.52.2) Metric value

40189

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Metric Tonnes

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

N/A

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

1

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

We use a rolling base year comparison for our metrics that compares boundary properties that are owned for two full consecutive years. For the 370 properties compared, the 2024 total waste was 40,189 metric tons and the 2023 rolling base year total waste was 40,406, resulting in a 1% decrease in total waste.
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

HCP -USA-002-OFF Target Validation Decision Letter.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2019

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

☑ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

☑ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2023

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

59984

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

59984.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2024

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

2.5

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

58484.400

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

55545

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

55545.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

296.01

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Scope 1 emissions relate to direct emissions from the generation of fuel burned on site including natural gas that we consume. Our current long-term goal is a 37.5% reduction in absolute emissions for Scopes 1 and 2 by 2033 compared to a 2018 baseline, with an annual short-term goal of 2.5% each year (e.g., a 2.5% decrease from 2023 to 2024). Due to the acquisitions and dispositions affecting our portfolio annually, this goal is tracked and publicly reported by comparing rolling base year (like-for-like) reductions year-over-year for all boundary properties that have been owned for two consecutive calendar years. This method was approved when we validated our target with the Science Based Targets initiative. Through the end of 2024, we have achieved an 26.4% cumulative reduction in emissions for Scopes 1 and 2 using the rolling base year (like-for-like) method, which is 70% of our 2033 goal (on a like-for-like basis). We achieved an emissions reduction of 8.2% in 2024. The science-based targets are publicly disclosed in our 2024 Corporate Impact Report as well as the Science Based Targets initiative's website.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

The objective of our goal is to achieve a 37.5% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2033, using a 2018 baseline, with an interim target of reducing emissions by 2.5% annually.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

To achieve our goal of a 37.5% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2033, we will implement a comprehensive plan focused on key efficiency measures across our portfolio: HVAC Upgrades: We will replace older, less efficient HVAC systems with high-efficiency models that reduce energy consumption. This includes installing energy-efficient chillers, air handlers, and heat pumps, which can significantly lower our energy use and associated emissions. LED Lighting: Transitioning all interior and exterior lighting to LED fixtures. Building Automation Systems (BAS): Implementing advanced BAS will allow for automated control of HVAC, lighting, and other systems, optimizing energy use in real time based on occupancy and weather conditions, minimizing waste and improving operational efficiency. Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs): Installing VFDs on pumps, fans, and motors will provide more precise control of speed and energy use, enhancing efficiency in building operations and reducing overall energy consumption. High-Efficiency Boilers: We will replace outdated boilers with high-efficiency models, reducing fuel consumption and emissions associated with heating our properties. We are on track to achieve our long-term reduction goals by 2033. As of 2024, we are at 70% completion toward our 15-year science-based goal to reduce Scopes 1 & 2 GHG emissions by 37.5% by 2033.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

Row 2

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 2

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

HCP -USA-002-OFF Target Validation Decision Letter.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2019

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2023

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

263669

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

263669.000

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100.0

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100.0

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2024

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

2.5

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

257077.275

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

241667

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

241667.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

333.78

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Scope 2 emissions relate to indirect emissions from the generation of purchased electricity, steam, heating and cooling that we consume. Scope 3 relates to emissions from our indirectly managed properties. Our current long-term goal approved by SBTi is a 37.5% reduction in absolute emissions for Scopes 1 and 2 by 2033 compared to a 2018 baseline. The science-based targets are publicly disclosed in our 2024 Corporate Impact Report as well as the Science Based Targets initiative's website. Like many other real estate companies, we track progress on our goal by using a same-store type methodology to account for acquisitions and dispositions of properties year over year (i.e., look at absolute emissions year-over year for all boundary properties that have been owned for two consecutive calendar years to determine absolute emissions reductions). Through the end of 2024, we have achieved an 26.2% cumulative reduction in emissions for Scopes 1 and 2, which is 70% of our 2033 goal. The science-based targets are publicly disclosed in our 2024 Corporate Impact Report as well as the Science Based Targets initiative's website. As a company, we have also set an annual short-term GHG emissions reduction goal of 2.5% each year based on our SBTI target (37.5% divided by 15 years = 2.5% per year, e.g., a 2.5% decrease ease from 2023 to 2024. We achieved an emissions reduction of 8.2% in 2024 for both Scope 1 and 2.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

The objective of our goal is to achieve a 37.5% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2033, using a 2018 baseline, with an interim target of reducing emissions by 2.5% annually.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

To achieve our goal of a 37.5% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2033, we will implement a comprehensive plan focused on key efficiency measures across our portfolio: HVAC Upgrades: We will replace older, less efficient HVAC systems with high-efficiency models that reduce energy consumption. This includes installing energy-efficient chillers, air handlers, and heat pumps, which can significantly lower our energy use and associated emissions. LED Lighting: Transitioning all interior and exterior lighting to LED fixtures. Building Automation Systems (BAS): Implementing advanced BAS will allow for automated control of HVAC, lighting, and other systems, optimizing energy use in real time based on occupancy and weather conditions, minimizing waste and improving operational efficiency. Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs): Installing VFDs on pumps, fans, and motors will provide more precise control of speed and energy use, enhancing efficiency in building operations and reducing overall energy consumption. High-Efficiency Boilers: We will replace outdated boilers with high-efficiency models, reducing fuel consumption and emissions associated with heating our properties. We are on track to achieve our long-term reduction goals by 2033. As of 2024, we are at 70% completion toward our 15-year science-based goal to reduce Scopes 1 & 2 GHG emissions by 37.5% by 2033.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

Row 3

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 3

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

HCP -USA-002-OFF Target Validation Decision Letter.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2019

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:
☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply
☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)
☑ Methane (CH4)
☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)
☑ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)
☑ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 1

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2018

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

54260

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

54260.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100.0

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100.0

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2033

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

37.5

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

33912.500

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

71829

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

71829.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

-86.34

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Scope 1 emissions relate to direct emissions from the generation of fuel burned on site including natural gas that we consume. Our current long-term goal is a 37.5% reduction in absolute emissions for Scopes 1 and 2 by 2033 compared to a 2018 baseline, with an annual short-term goal of 2.5% each year (e.g., a 2.5% decrease from 2023 to 2024). Due to the acquisitions and dispositions affecting our portfolio annually, this goal is tracked and publicly reported by comparing rolling base year (like-for-like) reductions year-over-year for all boundary properties that have been owned for two consecutive calendar years. This method was approved when we validated our target with the Science Based Targets initiative. Through the end of 2024, we have achieved an 26.4% cumulative reduction in emissions for Scopes 1 and 2 using the rolling base year (like-for-like) method, which is 70% of our 2033 goal (on a like-for-like basis). We achieved an emissions reduction of 8.2% in 2024. The science-based targets are publicly disclosed in our 2024 Corporate Impact Report as well as the Science Based Targets initiative's website.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

The objective of our goal is to achieve a 37.5% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2033, using a 2018 baseline.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

To achieve our goal of a 37.5% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2033, we will implement a comprehensive plan focused on key efficiency measures across our portfolio: HVAC Upgrades: We will replace older, less efficient HVAC systems with high-efficiency models that reduce energy consumption. This includes installing energy-efficient chillers, air handlers, and heat pumps, which can significantly lower our energy use and associated emissions. LED Lighting: Transitioning all interior and exterior lighting to LED fixtures. Building Automation Systems (BAS): Implementing advanced BAS will allow for automated control of HVAC, lighting, and other systems, optimizing energy use in real time based on occupancy and weather conditions, minimizing waste and improving operational efficiency. Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs): Installing VFDs on pumps, fans, and motors will provide more precise control of speed and energy use, enhancing efficiency in building operations and reducing overall energy consumption. High-Efficiency Boilers: We will replace outdated boilers with high-efficiency models, reducing fuel consumption and emissions associated with heating our properties. We are on track to achieve our long-term reduction goals by 2033. As of 2024, we are at 70% completion toward our 15-year science-based goal to reduce Scopes 1 & 2 GHG emissions by 37.5% by 2033.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

Row 4

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 4

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

HCP -USA-002-OFF Target Validation Decision Letter.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2019

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2018

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

245132.0

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

245132.000

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100.0

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100.0

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2033

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

37.5

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

153207.500

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

259131

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

259131.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

-15.23

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Scope 2 emissions relate to indirect emissions from the generation of purchased electricity, steam, heating and cooling that we consume. Scope 3 relates to emissions from our indirectly managed properties. Our current long-term goal approved by SBTi is a 37.5% reduction in absolute emissions for Scopes 1 and 2 by 2033 compared to a 2018 baseline. The science-based targets are publicly disclosed in our 2024 Corporate Impact Report as well as the Science Based Targets initiative's website. Like many other real estate companies, we track progress on our goal by using a same-store type methodology to account for acquisitions and dispositions of properties year over year (i.e., look at absolute emissions year-over year for all boundary properties that have been owned for two consecutive calendar years to determine absolute emissions reductions). Through the end of 2024, we have achieved an 26.2% cumulative reduction in emissions for Scopes 1 and 2, which is 70% of our 2033 goal. The science-based targets are publicly disclosed in our 2024 Corporate Impact Report as well as the Science Based Targets initiative's website. As a company, we have also set an annual short-term GHG emissions reduction goal of 2.5% each year based on our SBTI target (37.5% divided by 15 years = 2.5% per year, e.g., a 2.5% decrease ease from 2023 to 2024. We achieved an emissions reduction of 8.2% in 2024 for both Scope 1 and 2.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

The objective of our goal is to achieve a 37.5% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2033, using a 2018 baseline.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

To achieve our goal of a 37.5% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2033, we will implement a comprehensive plan focused on key efficiency measures across our portfolio: HVAC Upgrades: We will replace older, less efficient HVAC systems with high-efficiency models that reduce energy consumption. This includes installing energy-efficient chillers, air handlers, and heat pumps, which can significantly lower our energy use and associated emissions. LED Lighting: Transitioning all interior and exterior lighting to LED fixtures. Building Automation Systems (BAS): Implementing advanced BAS will allow for automated control of HVAC, lighting, and other systems, optimizing energy use in real time based on occupancy and weather conditions, minimizing waste and improving operational efficiency. Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs): Installing VFDs on pumps, fans, and motors will provide more precise control of speed and energy use, enhancing efficiency in building operations and reducing overall energy consumption. High-Efficiency Boilers: We will replace outdated boilers with high-efficiency models, reducing fuel consumption and emissions associated with heating our properties. We are on track to achieve our long-term reduction goals by 2033. As of 2024, we are at 70% completion toward our 15-year science-based goal to reduce Scopes 1 & 2 GHG emissions by 37.5% by 2033.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply
☑ Other climate-related targets

(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

Row 1

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:
☑ Oth 1

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

01/01/2020

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:
☑ Organization-wide

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:
☑ Absolute

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Waste management
☑ metric tons of waste recycled

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

12/31/2023

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

5695

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/31/2024

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

5751.95

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

5834

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

244.0737489025

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:
☑ Achieved

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Yes. this relates to reducing waste and that relates to reducing Scope 3

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☑ Science Based Targets initiative – approved supplier engagement target

(7.54.2.17) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

HCP -USA-002-OFF Target Validation Decision Letter.pdf

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Our long-term waste target is two-fold: 10% landfill waste disposal reduction by 2030 (compared to a 2020 baseline year), and 10% increase in recycling by 2030 (compared to a 2020 baseline year). We also have an annual short-term goal of 1% reduction in the case of landfill reduction and increase for recycling. The targets are for all properties in our portfolio which are within our operational control (i.e., within our boundary). Increasing recycling instead of disposing of waste in landfills helps to reduce our Scope 3 emissions, in line with our long-term science-based Scope 3 target.

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

The target objective is to achieve a 10% increase in recycling by 2030, compared to a 2020 baseline, with an additional annual short-term goal of increasing recycling by 1% each year. This target applies to all properties within our operational control, contributing to the reduction of Scope 3 emissions and supporting our broader sustainability efforts.

(7.54.2.21) List the actions which contributed most to achieving this target

In 2024, we achieved our long-term recycling target early by implementing portfolio-wide recycling and waste diversion programs and introducing innovative initiatives at key campuses. For example, at The Cove in South San Francisco, we partnered with Polycarbin to recycle hard-to-recycle single-use lab plastics and nitrile gloves. Across the portfolio, these and other recycling efforts, including expanded receptacle availability, e-waste collection, and composting, resulted in a 2.4% like-for-like increase in recycling for the year and a 12.1% cumulative increase since 2020, surpassing our 10% target ahead of schedule

Row 2

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Oth 2

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

01/01/2020

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

☑ Absolute

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Energy productivity

☑ Other, energy productivity, please specify :Reduction in energy consumption

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

12/31/2023

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

1153982

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/31/2024

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

1136672.27

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

1133692

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

117.2173107264

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

No

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☑ No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Our long-term energy reduction goal is to decrease energy consumption by 15% by 2030 against a 2020 baseline year, and an annual short-term goal of 1.5% each year. The targets are for all properties in our portfolio which are within our operational control (i.e., within our boundary). As of the end of 2024, we achieved an overall aggregate decrease in energy usage of 1.8% on a like-for-like basis, achieving our short-term annual goal.

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

The objective of our energy reduction target is to decrease energy consumption by 15% by 2030, using 2020 as a baseline, with a short-term annual goal of reducing energy usage by 1.5% each year. This target applies to all properties under our operational control, primarily through initiatives such as LED lighting upgrades, energy management systems, and high-efficiency HVAC installations.

(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Our plan for achieving the energy reduction target involves implementing energy efficiency projects across all properties within our operational control. Key actions include upgrading to LED lighting, installing energy management systems, and replacing older HVAC units with high-efficiency models. These initiatives are designed

to support both our long-term goal of a 15% reduction in energy consumption by 2030 and our short-term goal of a 1.5% reduction annually. By the end of 2024, we achieved a 1.8 % decrease in energy consumption on a like-for-like basis, surpassing our annual short-term goal for the year. This progress demonstrates the effectiveness of our energy efficiency measures and keeps us on track toward meeting our long-term target.
[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	*0*	*`Numeric input*
To be implemented	*77*	*636.41*
Implementation commenced	*0*	*0*
Implemented	*244*	*2587*
Not to be implemented	*0*	*`Numeric input*

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☑ Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

760

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

☑ Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

273235

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

1639413

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

n/a

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings
☑ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

908

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 2 (location-based)
☑ Scope 2 (market-based)
☑ Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

327813

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

2221347

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

n/a

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings
☑ Motors and drives

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

109

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 2 (location-based)
☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

35564

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

118815

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

n/a

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☑ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

343

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

☑ Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

108625

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

8887473

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

n/a

Row 5

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings
☑ Other, please specify :High efficiency chillers

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

151

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 2 (location-based)
☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

52698

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

4311632

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

n/a

Row 6

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☑ Other, please specify :Fan Wall Conversion

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

132

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

27182

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

163094

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

n/a
[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:
☑ Internal incentives/recognition programs

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Each year, we host an annual conference for our property managers, maintenance personnel and leasing agents to interact, share best practices, and discuss policies, goals and objectives for the year. Achievements are highlighted and recognition awarded for emission reduction activities such as LEED and ENERGY STAR certifications. The feedback received and information learned at the recognition programs held at our annual conference drive energy reduction and best practice initiatives through our management teams. In 2024, we launched a quarterly Sustainability Award to recognize property management teams making measurable contributions to energy and emissions reduction.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Employee engagement

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Employees are encouraged to proactively identify opportunities for energy savings, water savings and GHG emissions reductions at our properties and at our corporate offices. Employees provide input to members of the Corporate Impact Team with respect to these opportunities. Further, during out annual property management conference, each year, we bring together property managers, maintenance teams, and leasing agents to align on policies, goals, and performance. The event fosters collaboration, recognizes achievements such as LEED and ENERGY STAR certifications, and shares best practices in sustainability and efficiency. Feedback informs ongoing improvements across our portfolio.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Our dedicated energy efficiency ("green") budget is utilized for those projects identified as energy savings opportunities across our portfolio. Based upon the input from our Capital Asset Management team and our property management teams, projects are identified that are capable of reducing emissions and are added to the green budget. We also employ internal best practices to identify potential efficiency savings that may be incurred at our properties, and assess a comprehensive range of projects and practices that can reduce emissions (and water consumption), all of which aid in driving investments in our emissions reduction activities. These projects include installation of building automation systems, HVAC equipment upgrades and replacements, purchase of energy efficient appliances, LED lighting retrofits and other projects.

Row 4

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Dedicated budget for low-carbon product R&D

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Our Development operating budget includes allocations for LEED certification for new developments, targeting LEED Gold or silver certification. Our Outpatient Medical operating budget includes allocations for ENERGY STAR certification costs. We typically seek ENERGY STAR certifications for Outpatient Medical buildings when possible.

Row 5

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Financial optimization calculations

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Considerations of payback in number of years and Return on Investment (ROI) are key components to any energy saving/emission reduction project and aid in driving investments in our emissions reduction activities. These financial optimization calculations are analyzed prior to and following implementation of projects, and are also discussed with senior management and the Board of Directors every quarter.
[Add row]

(7.72) Does your organization assess the life cycle emissions of new construction or major renovation projects?

(7.72.1) Assessment of life cycle emissions

Select from:

☑ Yes, both qualitative and quantitative assessment

(7.72.2) Comment

In 2024, we reached an important milestone toward developing embodied carbon reduction targets across our portfolio. We conducted Whole-Building Life-Cycle Assessments (WBLCA) on three pilot development projects to evaluate emissions across all life cycle stages. These assessments highlighted the design and material decisions that contribute most to embodied carbon hotspots and provided actionable insights to reduce impacts. The findings are now informing the creation of data-driven low-carbon procurement guidelines. The pilot projects also revealed opportunities to strengthen materials data collection and to standardize processes for tracking associated emissions. By focusing on building typologies and geographic regions forecasted in our development pipeline, this initiative positions Healthpeak to make informed decisions and prepare for evolving embodied carbon regulations that may affect future projects.
[Fixed row]

(7.72.1) Provide details of how your organization assesses the life cycle emissions of new construction or major renovation projects.

(7.72.1.1) Projects assessed

Select from:
☑ All new construction and major renovation projects

(7.72.1.2) Earliest project phase that most commonly includes an assessment

Select from:
☑ Design phase

(7.72.1.3) Life cycle stage(s) most commonly covered

Select from:
☑ Whole life

(7.72.1.4) Methodologies/standards/tools applied

Select all that apply
☑ GHG Protocol - Product Life Cycle Accounting and Reporting Standard

☑ One Click LCA

(7.72.1.5) Comment

In 2024, Healthpeak completed Whole-Building Life-Cycle Assessments (WBLCA) on three pilot development projects to evaluate embodied carbon across all life cycle stages. These assessments were performed with support from our external consultants, who utilized the One-Click LCA software platform to calculate emissions associated with design and material choices. This methodology enabled us to identify key carbon hotspots and quantify the impacts of alternative material and design decisions with a high level of accuracy. The results are being used to establish portfolio-wide low-carbon procurement guidelines, scheduled for completion in 2025. In addition, the pilot projects highlighted opportunities to improve materials data collection and standardize tracking of embodied carbon across our development process. By focusing on the building typologies and geographic regions most prevalent in our pipeline, these efforts will help us anticipate upcoming embodied carbon regulations and proactively embed low-carbon strategies into future projects.
[Fixed row]

(7.72.2) Can you provide embodied carbon emissions data for any of your organization's new construction or major renovation projects completed in the last three years?

(7.72.2.1) Ability to disclose embodied carbon emissions

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.72.2.2) Comment

Our consultants performed Whole-Building Life-Cycle Assessments (WBLCAs) on three pilot development projects using the One-Click LCA software platform to evaluate embodied carbon across all life cycle stages. These assessments provided detailed insights into the material and design choices that drive the highest emissions. For development and redevelopment projects completed outside of this pilot program, embodied carbon impacts were estimated based on the Carbon Leadership Forum (CLF) Whole Building Life Cycle Assessment (WBLCA) Benchmark Study v2, published in 2025. This benchmark study provides representative embodied carbon values across common building typologies and materials, allowing us to generate credible estimates in the absence of project-specific LCAs. By combining detailed WBLCAs with benchmark-based estimates, we maintain visibility into embodied carbon performance across our broader portfolio. This dual approach helps us prepare portfolio-wide low-carbon procurement guidelines, scheduled for completion in 2025, and ensures that both detailed project-level data and standardized benchmark estimates inform our embodied carbon strategy.
[Fixed row]

(7.72.3) Provide details of the embodied carbon emissions of new construction or major renovation projects completed in the last three years.

Row 1

(7.72.3.1) Year of completion

2024

(7.72.3.2) Property sector

Select from:
☑ Healthcare

(7.72.3.3) Type of project

Select from:
☑ New construction

(7.72.3.4) Project name/ID (optional)

n/a

(7.72.3.5) Life cycle stage(s) covered

Select from:
☑ Whole life

(7.72.3.6) Normalization factor (denominator)

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Total Building Floor Area (Sq. Ft.)

(7.72.3.7) Denominator unit

Select from:

☑ square foot

(7.72.3.8) Embodied carbon (kg/CO2e per the denominator unit)

3659.49

(7.72.3.9) % of new construction/major renovation projects in the last three years covered by this metric (by floor area)

46

(7.72.3.10) Methodologies/standards/tools applied

Select all that apply

☑ GHG Protocol - Product Life Cycle Accounting and Reporting Standard

☑ Other, please specify :CLF Benchmark Study v2, Published 2025: https://carbonleadershipforum.org/clf-wblca-v2/

(7.72.3.11) Comment

n/a

Row 2

(7.72.3.1) Year of completion

2024

(7.72.3.2) Property sector

Select from:

☑ Healthcare

(7.72.3.3) Type of project

Select from:

☑ New construction

(7.72.3.4) Project name/ID (optional)

n/a

(7.72.3.5) Life cycle stage(s) covered

Select from:
☑ Whole life

(7.72.3.6) Normalization factor (denominator)

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Total Building Floor Area (Sq. Ft.)

(7.72.3.7) Denominator unit

Select from:
☑ square foot

(7.72.3.8) Embodied carbon (kg/CO2e per the denominator unit)

3938.16

(7.72.3.9) % of new construction/major renovation projects in the last three years covered by this metric (by floor area)

54

(7.72.3.10) Methodologies/standards/tools applied

Select all that apply
☑ GHG Protocol - Product Life Cycle Accounting and Reporting Standard
☑ Other, please specify :CLF Benchmark Study v2, Published 2025: https://carbonleadershipforum.org/clf-wblca-v2/

(7.72.3.11) Comment

n/a
[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?

Select from:
☑ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Row 1

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:
☑ Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:
☑ Green Bond Principles (ICMA)

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Power
☑ Other, please specify :LEED Certified Buildings

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

As of the end of 2024, Healthpeak had 6.1 million square feet in LEED certified property space, which operate with lower GHG emissions from efficient lighting and HVAC systems. In 2021, we issued two green bonds under our Green Financing Framework in alignment with the International Capital Markets Association (ICMA) Green Bond Principles 2021 (GBP) and Green Loan Principles 2020, and in 2022, we completed allocation of the net proceeds under those bonds. The two green bonds had total gross proceeds of $950 million, with aggregate net proceeds of approximately $938 million allocated to finance Eligible Green Projects, which include green buildings (our products as a real estate investment trust). By "green buildings," we mean investments related to the construction, maintenance, or refurbishment of buildings that have or are expected to receive the following green building certifications: LEED Gold and above or ENERGY STAR rating of 85 and above. We allocated the net proceeds in 2021 and 2022 to 4 LEED Gold certified buildings in our portfolio.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.74.1.6) Methodology used to calculate avoided emissions

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Represents the total estimated annual percentage reduction from the Eligible Green Project (i.e., the LEED Gold certified building), as compared to the estimated target GHG emissions originally submitted to USGBC during the LEED certification process

(7.74.1.7) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the low-carbon product(s) or services(s)

Select from:

☑ Not applicable

(7.74.1.8) Functional unit used

MTCO2e

(7.74.1.9) Reference product/service or baseline scenario used

2033

(7.74.1.10) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the reference product/service or baseline scenario

Select from:

☑ Not applicable

(7.74.1.11) Estimated avoided emissions (metric tons CO2e per functional unit) compared to reference product/service or baseline scenario

1033

(7.74.1.12) Explain your calculation of avoided emissions, including any assumptions

Represents the total estimated annual percentage reduction from the Eligible Green Project (i.e., the LEED Gold certified building), as compared to the estimated target GHG emissions originally submitted to USGBC during the LEED certification process. The difference is the emissions avoided, which for the four LEED Gold buildings toward which green bond proceeds were allocated, represents a 29% GHG emissions avoidance rate. For the four properties that received allocation of net proceeds from green bonds, we estimate they generated approximately 4% of revenues.

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

4

[Add row]

(7.76) Does your organization manage net zero carbon buildings?

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to in the future

(7.77) Did your organization complete new construction or major renovations projects designed as net zero carbon in the last three years?

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to in the future

(7.78) Explain your organization’s plan to manage, develop or construct net zero carbon buildings, or explain why you do not plan to do so.

Healthpeak has a company-wide strategy to reduce Scope 1 and Scope 2 carbon emissions by 37.5%, and Scope 3 carbon emissions by 18.5% by 2033 compared to a 2018 baseline year. In order to meet this target, Healthpeak will work towards implementing energy efficiency projects throughout its portfolio. Healthpeak continues to research and explore the concept of management, development, and construction of net zero carbon buildings as an effective vehicle. An important underlying principle for Healthpeak is that potential opportunities to reduce carbon emissions should align with our business strategy and provide a long-term return on investment for the company.

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☑ Business activities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Under our definition of our organizational boundary, we only report on properties that are identified as being under our operational control for water. This is consistent with our boundary definitions for Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions. Properties that are not considered to be under our operational control are excluded from our water reporting. The primary driver for this exclusion of the unavailability of water data that we do not control, where the tenants are responsible for their own water utility accounts.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☑ Data is not available

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:

☑ Data collection is in progress

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

☑ 31-40%

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

We do not know the exact volume of excluded water volume because we lack the data avilability to calculate an unknown amount. We have estimated that 31-40% of the water volume may be excluded by considering the total number of properties under our operational control boundary (474) over the total buildings under ownership in 2024 (760).
[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water bills and invoices

(9.2.4) Please explain

The total water withdrawals across our portfolio are measured by collecting the water bills and invoices, recording the total gallons consumed and storing in our internal database for summarization and reporting.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

4182

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

We are not currently prepared to forecast future water withdrawal levels. However, we consistently track and report our year-over-year performance against our long-term water reduction goal, supported by ongoing investment in water-saving projects such as smart irrigation, xeriscaping, and efficient plumbing fixtures. These results are disclosed in our annual environmental reporting, and we will continue to provide transparent updates on our performance and progress toward reduction targets.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

2357.33

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Lower

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ Unknown

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

56.37

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

☑ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

We are not currently prepared to forecast future water withdrawal levels. However, we consistently track and report our year-over-year performance against our long-term water reduction goal, supported by ongoing investment in water-saving projects such as smart irrigation, xeriscaping, and efficient plumbing fixtures. These results are disclosed in our annual environmental reporting, and we will continue to provide transparent updates on our performance and progress toward reduction targets. For more information on our water withdrawals from areas with High or Extremely High Baseline Water Stress please see our SASB disclosures on page 67 of our 2024 Corporate Impact Report on our website: https://healthpeak.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/Healthpeak-2024-Corporate-Impact-Report-LR.pdf [Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

201

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

☑ 26-50

(9.3.4) Please explain

Using the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, we identified that 201 of the 474 of our facilities within our operational control boundary are located in regions classified as High or Extremely High baseline water stress. While the risks were identified, our assessment has not found water stress to present a potential substantive effect on our operations to date. Water use at our properties is primarily for HVAC, lavatories, and landscaping, which has allowed us to manage consumption effectively through efficiency measures and ongoing monitoring. In addition, we integrate water-related considerations into our enterprise and environmental risk management processes, ensuring that potential risks are continuously evaluated alongside other climate-related issues.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.4) Please explain

We have reviewed the properties in our portfolio, including priority location assessments using tools such as the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas and physical risk scenario analysis, and determined that there are likely no environmental risks in our upstream or downstream value chain that would have a substantive effect on our organization prior to our buildings becoming operational and under our operational control. Environmental risks such as water stress, flooding, or other climate-related factors are assessed and managed as part of our property due diligence, design, and development processes. Once properties are completed and within our operational control, we integrate them into our ongoing environmental risk management program.
[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 1

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.723502

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.366548

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

338.36

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 2

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.996457

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.72365

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

183.42

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 3

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

28.950728

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

81.96388

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

117.85

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 4

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

28.80534

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

81.860348

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

103.44

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 5

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 5

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

30.253179

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

81.454643

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

102.99

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 6

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 6

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.481831

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.625732

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

102

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 7

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 7

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.843181

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.790977

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

87.88

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 8

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 8

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.845377

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.202717

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

61.06

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 9

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 9

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

26.970899

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.073523

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

55.53

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 10

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 10

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.843078

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.801211

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

36.24

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 11

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 11

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

30.484179

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

81.633507

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

34.32

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 12

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 12

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.719048

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.902427

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

32.21

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 13

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 13

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.683472

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

105.09018

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

31.77

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 14

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 14

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

42.228

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

87.940474

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

31.64

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 15

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 15

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.33393

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.8913

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

26.61

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 16

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 16

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.804622

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

105.103792

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

24.94

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 17

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 17

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.744968

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

105.118302

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

22.83

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 18

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 18

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.633958

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.80673

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

22.04

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 19

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 19

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.76349

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.643762

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

21.16

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 20

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 20

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.877696

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.204866

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

17.67

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 21

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 21

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.031536

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.854086

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

17.27

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 22

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 22

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.391016

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.609929

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

17.14

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 23

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 23

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Hudson River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.153508

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

75.535596

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

16.94

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 24

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 24

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.463006

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

112.292008

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

16.7

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 25

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 25

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.463671

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

112.350483

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

16.65

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 26

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 26

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.572383

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.853971

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

15.88

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 27

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 27

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.638545

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.998979

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

14.96

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 28

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 28

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.746918

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.130343

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

14.55

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 29

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 29

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

41.091095

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.996792

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

14.41

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 30

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 30

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.054347

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.073904

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

13.99

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 31

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 31

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

36.175391

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

86.604557

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

13.97

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 32

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 32

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.908762

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.239639

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

13.67

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 33

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 33

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.043211

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.879849

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

12.91

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 34

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 34

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.930087

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.336194

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

12.47

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 35

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 35

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Hudson River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.616566

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

73.978976

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

12.43

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 36

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 36

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.488775

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.925557

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

12.19

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 37

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 37

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.691448

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.113115

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

12.1

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 38

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 38

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.734532

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.345503

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

12.08

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 39

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 39

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

45.0508

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

93.051947

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

11.35

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 40

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 40

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.490999

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.924317

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

10.94

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 41

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 41

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.570168

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

112.070088

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

10.63

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 42

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 42

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.982404

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

105.252405

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

10.52

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 43

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 43

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.201495

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

118.629788

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

10.5

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 44

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 44

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.736843

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

86.895722

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

10.34

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 45

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 45

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.905937

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.242963

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

10.32

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 46

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 46

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

29.619251

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

98.490178

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

10.18

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 47

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 47

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.833727

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.126454

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9.76

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 48

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 48

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

38.967369

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.752686

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9.68

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 49

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 49

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.169236

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

118.500538

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9.6

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 50

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 50

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.54271

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

112.275239

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9.57

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 51

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 51

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.574434

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.88048

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9.53

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 52

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 52

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.686053

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.856962

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9.43

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 53

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 53

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.529041

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.87158

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.98

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 54

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 54

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.767603

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.860092

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.8

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 55

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 55

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.733512

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.345174

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.79

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 56

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 56

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

41.683414

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

88.076699

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.78

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 57

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 57

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.529587

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.871553

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.73

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 58

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 58

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

26.122545

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

80.260097

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.61

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 59

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 59

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Hudson River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

37.058935

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

76.403285

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.47

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 60

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 60

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.579386

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.882454

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.45

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 61

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 61

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Hudson River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.382778

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

74.324384

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.27

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 62

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 62

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.864056

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.986271

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.23

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 63

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 63

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.907295

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.241504

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.16

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 64

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 64

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.665423

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.416252

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.04

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 65

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 65

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.605207

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

105.090194

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.02

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 66

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 66

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.653345

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.980047

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

7.75

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 67

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 67

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.176582

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

80.23781

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

7.5

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 68

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 68

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.047474

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

83.994839

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

7.43

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 69

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 69

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Hudson River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

37.465336

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

77.662113

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

7.29

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 70

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 70

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Hudson River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

37.510448

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

77.695781

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.83

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 71

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 71

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.905408

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.241097

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.74

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 72

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 72

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.892185

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.230576

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.73

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 73

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 73

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.585577

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.887853

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.67

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 74

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 74

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.885123

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.467016

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.63

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 75

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 75

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

42.067092

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

88.092662

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.49

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 76

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 76

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

41.65828

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

88.621167

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.49

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 77

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 77

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.58051

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.882896

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.4

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 78

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 78

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.670496

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.920484

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.4

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 79

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 79

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.000255

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.057486

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.26

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 80

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 80

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.693214

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.345181

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.21

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 81

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 81

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.603841

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

105.090541

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.18

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 82

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 82

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.892

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.230056

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.17

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 83

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 83

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.908569

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.243453

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.07

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 84

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 84

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.690392

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.830231

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.04

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 85

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 85

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.894153

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.21021

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

5.94

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 86

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 86

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.535984

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.889075

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

5.8

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 87

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 87

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

26.263275

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

80.203023

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

5.77

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 88

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 88

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.901163

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.208207

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

5.54

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 89

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 89

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

41.681355

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

88.083493

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

5.31

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 90

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 90

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.588968

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.975363

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

5.25

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 91

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 91

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.891145

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.230569

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

5.16

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 92

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 92

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.202433

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

118.631304

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.86

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 93

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 93

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.890468

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.230919

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.81

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 94

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 94

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

41.089839

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.993756

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.7

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 95

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 95

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.924837

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

98.363784

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.6

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 96

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 96

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.989207

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.075828

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.59

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 97

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 97

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.113759

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.676426

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.57

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 98

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 98

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.901437

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.95742

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.56

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 99

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 99

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.529538

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.870708

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.39

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 100

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 100

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.65346

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.978711

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.23

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 101

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.904042

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.957066

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.21

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 102

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

29.897621

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.965465

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.17

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 103

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.900993

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.208987

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.16

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 104

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

29.619319

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

98.492693

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.16

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 105

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

45.967509

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

94.362311

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.1

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 106

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.488389

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.92421

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.07

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 107

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.906786

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.242718

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.04

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 108

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

42.077374

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

88.336608

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.97

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 109

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.587941

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.975881

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.95

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 110

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.114194

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.675876

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.83

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 111

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.608458

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.716763

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.82

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 112

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.686189

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.856895

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.79

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 113

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.65361

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.982103

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.67

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 114

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.219948

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.166764

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.61

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 115

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

45.056559

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

93.358674

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.5

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 116

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.578297

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.881394

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.25

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 117

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.739252

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.338252

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.23

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 118

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.731042

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.333689

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.2

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 119

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.653505

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.979304

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.19

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 120

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Hudson River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.339926

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

74.461908

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.19

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 121

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

26.126077

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

80.260226

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.17

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 122

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

30.267782

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

81.555265

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.94

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 123

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.886169

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.465727

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.91

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 124

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.690539

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.832634

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.89

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 125

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.400435

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

118.551689

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.82

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 126

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

29.620383

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

98.492725

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.7

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 127

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.745216

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.965607

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.68

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 128

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.530071

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.874527

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.64

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 129

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.313347

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.71345

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.58

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 130

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Hudson River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

38.866452

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

77.232488

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.58

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 131

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.886676

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.182386

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.57

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 132

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ St. Lawrence

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

41.676223

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

87.800851

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.57

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 133

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.580304

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.883781

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.54

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 134

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.752765

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.39001

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.53

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 135

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.55787

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.185386

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.48

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 136

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.886203

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.182377

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.47

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 137

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

44.952497

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

93.260484

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.35

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 138

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.452045

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.806228

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.24

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 139

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.208537

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

106.678845

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.19

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 140

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.010015

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.5452

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.12

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 141

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.675472

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.923325

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.09

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 142

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.829065

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.213749

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.06

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 143

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.052476

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.07481

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.98

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 144

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.2188

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.16934

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.94

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 145

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.689959

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.832346

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.93

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 146

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.15985

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.63722

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.83

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 147

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ St. Lawrence

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

42.18407

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

87.801231

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.83

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 148

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

45.18301

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

93.364468

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.78

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 149

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.733769

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

106.300926

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.66

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 150

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

36.809091

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

114.11653

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.62

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 151

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ St. Lawrence

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

42.89476

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

83.637988

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.62

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 152

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.893852

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.208695

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.61

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 153

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.901437

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.95742

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.54

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 154

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.637361

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.882147

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.52

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 155

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

26.597192

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

80.093169

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.51

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 156

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.15985

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.63722

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.48

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 157

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.784114

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

106.322796

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.4

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 158

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.886522

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.869173

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.35

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 159

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

29.529496

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

98.590517

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.34

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 160

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.672951

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.923604

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.33

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 161

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.906349

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.237378

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.33

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 162

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.028368

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

96.88356

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.31

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 163

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.898972

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.194759

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.3

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 164

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.051622

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.074644

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.24

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 165

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

45.16334

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

93.0106

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.19

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 166

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Great Salt Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.886185

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.870695

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.17

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 167

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.89958

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.194942

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.13

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 168

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

44.955841

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

93.260741

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.05

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 169

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

45.037147

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

92.85132

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.01

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 170

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

28.536142

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.53488

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.98

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 171

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.486415

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.986684

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.94

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 172

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

30.16548

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

81.734594

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.92

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 173

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.897207

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

106.43375

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.8

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 174

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.893422

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.207484

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.79

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 175

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

26.595468

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

80.092879

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.77

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 176

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.878154

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.206044

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.72

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 177

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.664992

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.416667

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.72

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 178

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.903831

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.495186

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.71

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 179

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.045265

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.004656

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.7

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 180

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.896082

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.21091

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.68

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 181

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.827842

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.21536

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.65

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 182

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.550516

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

104.860493

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.61

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 183

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

26.595004

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

80.093169

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.51

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 184

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.486306

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

111.986623

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.46

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 185

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.751813

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

97.389909

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.37

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 186

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

26.950884

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.327343

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.36

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 187

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

28.959135

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

81.960937

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.27

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 188

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.785052

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

112.129293

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.22

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 189

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

45.963726

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

94.365329

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.19

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 190

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.16969

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

80.84881

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.15

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 191

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.707653

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

99.081648

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.08

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 192

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.756739

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

106.346812

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.07

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 193

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

36.176808

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

86.608307

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.04

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 194

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

36.176577

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

86.60807

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.03

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 195

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.299333

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.495698

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.02

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 196

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.962468

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

84.018477

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 197

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.962553

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

84.015317

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 198

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

45.967454

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

94.36215

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 199

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.639347

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

112.193071

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 200

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Mississippi River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

28.194609

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

82.351446

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a

Row 201

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Hudson River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

38.711332

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

77.188198

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

n/a
[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Tier II of the ERT standard, "Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline"

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We monitor water withdrawals as they are most relevant to our operations and directly tied to our long-term water reduction goal. We do not monitor other water categories as they have not presented a significant risk to our operations. We will continue to evaluate these categories as part of our enterprise and environmental risk management process.
[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:
☑ No, CDP supply chain members do not buy goods or services from facilities listed in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

2700449000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

645731.47

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

We are not currently prepared to forecast future water withdrawal levels. However, we consistently track and report our year-over-year performance against our long-term water reduction goal, supported by ongoing investment in water-saving projects such as smart irrigation, xeriscaping, and efficient plumbing fixtures. These results are disclosed in our annual environmental reporting, and we will continue to provide transparent updates on our performance and progress toward reduction targets.
[Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances	Comment
	Select from: ☑ No	*None that we are aware of.*

[Fixed row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to address this within the next two years

(9.14.3) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact

Select from:

☑ Important but not an immediate business priority

(9.14.4) Please explain

At this time, we have not defined internal criteria or a methodology to classify a property as a "low-water impact" product. While we actively implement water-saving initiatives across our portfolio and have achieved measurable reductions in water withdrawals, we do not currently disclose any properties under this designation. We continue to monitor industry practices and may revisit this classification in the future as definitions become more standardized and relevant to our sector.
[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

Water pollution

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

We do not maintain a separate water pollution target. Instead, we measure our performance through our portfolio-wide water withdrawal reduction goal, which we view as the most relevant indicator of our water stewardship progress.

Water withdrawals

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:
☑ Yes

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:
☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

We do not have a dedicated WASH services target. Instead, we measure our performance through our portfolio-wide water withdrawal reduction goal, which we view as the most relevant indicator of our water stewardship progress.

Other

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:
☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

We have not established additional water-related targets beyond withdrawals.
[Fixed row]

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:
☑ Target 1

(9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:
☑ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals
☑ Reduction in total water withdrawals

(9.15.2.4) Date target was set

01/01/2020

(9.15.2.5) End date of base year

12/31/2023

(9.15.2.6) Base year figure

1158

(9.15.2.7) End date of target year

12/31/2024

(9.15.2.8) Target year figure

1146.42

(9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

1103

(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Achieved

(9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

475

(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

☑ None, alignment not assessed

(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Our long-term water reduction goal is to decrease water consumption by 10% by 2030 against a 2020 baseline year, and an annual short-term goal of 1% each year. The targets are for all properties in our portfolio which are within our operational control (i.e., within boundary).

(9.15.2.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

We work to implement low-flow plumbing and fixtures; drought tolerant xeriscaping and landscaping; smart water metering to decrease water usage throughout our portfolio. By the end of 2024, we had reduced our water consumption by 4.7% from the previous reporting year on a like-for-like basis across our portfolio. On a cumulative basis since 2020, we achieved our 10-year reduction goal in 2024 by 115%.

(9.15.2.16) Further details of target

n/a
[Add row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: ☑ No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: ☑ No, we do not use indicators, but plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

Legally protected areas

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ Not assessed

(11.4.2) Comment

While we have not fully assessed our portfolio's proximity to the type of area listed, we consider the surrounding habitat, including the protection, restoration, and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions, and habitats for threatened and endangered species when evaluating the site selection of new developments. Further, all vendors in the development supply chain need to adhere to the policies in the Vendor Code of Conduct, which encourages all vendors/suppliers to (1) meet or exceed applicable environmental laws; (2) obtain, maintain and keep current all required environmental permits and registrations and follow reporting requirements; (3) identify and manage substances that pose an environmental threat; and (4) reduce or eliminate waste of all types, including water and energy, by implementing conservation measures and recycling.

UNESCO World Heritage sites

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ Not assessed

(11.4.2) Comment

While we have not fully assessed our portfolio's proximity to the type of area listed, we consider the surrounding habitat, including the protection, restoration, and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions, and habitats for threatened and endangered species when evaluating the site selection of new developments. Further, all vendors in the development supply chain need to adhere to the policies in the Vendor Code of Conduct, which encourages all vendors/suppliers to (1) meet or exceed applicable environmental laws; (2) obtain, maintain and keep current all required environmental permits and registrations and follow reporting requirements; (3) identify and manage substances that pose an environmental threat; and (4) reduce or eliminate waste of all types, including water and energy, by implementing conservation measures and recycling.

UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ Not assessed

(11.4.2) Comment

While we have not fully assessed our portfolio's proximity to the type of area listed, we consider the surrounding habitat, including the protection, restoration, and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions, and habitats for threatened and endangered species when evaluating the site selection of new developments. Further, all vendors in the development supply chain need to adhere to the policies in the Vendor Code of Conduct, which encourages all vendors/suppliers to (1) meet or exceed applicable environmental laws; (2) obtain, maintain and keep current all required environmental permits and registrations and follow reporting requirements; (3) identify and manage substances that pose an environmental threat; and (4) reduce or eliminate waste of all types, including water and energy, by implementing conservation measures and recycling.

Ramsar sites

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ Not assessed

(11.4.2) Comment

While we have not fully assessed our portfolio's proximity to the type of area listed, we consider the surrounding habitat, including the protection, restoration, and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions, and habitats for threatened and endangered species when evaluating the site selection of new developments. Further, all vendors in the development supply chain need to adhere to the policies in the Vendor Code of Conduct, which encourages all vendors/suppliers to (1) meet or exceed applicable environmental laws; (2) obtain, maintain and keep current all required environmental permits and registrations and follow reporting requirements; (3) identify and manage substances that pose an environmental threat; and (4) reduce or eliminate waste of all types, including water and energy, by implementing conservation measures and recycling.

Key Biodiversity Areas

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ Not assessed

(11.4.2) Comment

While we have not fully assessed our portfolio's proximity to the type of area listed, we consider the surrounding habitat, including the protection, restoration, and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions, and habitats for threatened and endangered species when evaluating the site selection of new developments. Further, all vendors in the development supply chain need to adhere to the policies in the Vendor Code of Conduct, which encourages all vendors/suppliers to (1) meet or exceed applicable environmental laws; (2) obtain, maintain and keep current all required environmental permits and registrations and follow reporting requirements; (3) identify and manage substances that pose an environmental threat; and (4) reduce or eliminate waste of all types, including water and energy, by implementing conservation measures and recycling.

Other areas important for biodiversity

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ Not assessed

(11.4.2) Comment

While we have not fully assessed our portfolio's proximity to the type of area listed, we consider the surrounding habitat, including the protection, restoration, and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions, and habitats for threatened and endangered species when evaluating the site selection of new developments. Further, all vendors in the development supply chain need to adhere to the policies in the Vendor Code of Conduct, which encourages all vendors/suppliers to (1) meet or exceed applicable environmental laws; (2) obtain, maintain and keep current all required environmental permits and registrations and follow reporting requirements; (3) identify and manage substances that pose an environmental threat; and (4) reduce or eliminate waste of all types, including water and energy, by implementing conservation measures and recycling.
[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

☑ Waste data

☑ Fuel consumption

☑ Progress against targets

☑ Year on year change in absolute emissions (Scope 1 and 2)

☑ Electricity/Steam/Heat/Cooling consumption

☑ Renewable Electricity/Steam/Heat/Cooling consumption

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

Climate change-related standards

☑ ERT Standard “Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification”

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

See page 69-70 of our Corporate Impact Report linked to the right. We completed our verification review of the following GHG emissions and other related Environmental Indicators (EI) parameters in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, “Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline”, a GRESB and CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, characteristic data, and reporting boundaries.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

Healthpeak-2024-Corporate-Impact-Report-LR.pdf

Row 2

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Water security

☑ Water withdrawals– total volumes

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

Climate change-related standards

☑ ERT Standard “Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification”

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

See page 69-70 of our Corporate Impact Report linked to the right. We completed our verification review of the following GHG emissions and other related Environmental Indicators (EI) parameters in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, “Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline”, a GRESB and CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, characteristic data, and reporting boundaries.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

Healthpeak-2024-Corporate-Impact-Report-LR.pdf
[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information	Attachment (optional)
	Please see annual Corporate Impact Report.	*Healthpeak-2024-Corporate-Impact-Report-LR.pdf*

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Vice President of Communications, Marketing and Sustainability

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☑ Environment/Sustainability manager

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

☑ No